

# XMM-Newton/SDSS: star formation efficiency and constraints on the matter density parameter

Tatiana F. Laganá<sup>1,2</sup>, Yu-Ying Zhang<sup>1,3</sup>

Daniele Pierini<sup>4</sup>, Ewald Puchwein<sup>5,6</sup>, Peter Schneider<sup>1</sup>, Thomas H. Reiprich<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Universität Bonn, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, USP, São Paulo, Brasil

<sup>3</sup> National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garching, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Heidelberg Institut für Theoretische Studien, Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Garching, Germany



## Abstract

It is believed that the global baryon content of clusters of galaxies is representative of the matter distribution of the universe, and can, therefore, be used to reliably determine the matter density parameter  $\Omega_m$ . The basic assumption of these methods is that the global baryon fraction and the mass-to-light ratio are constant in cluster scales and they fairly represent the matter and light distribution of the Universe. This hypothesis is challenged by the growing evidence from optical and X-ray observations that the total baryon mass fraction and mass-to-light ratio increases towards rich clusters. In this context, we investigate the dependence of stellar, and total baryon mass fractions as a function of mass. To do so, we used a subsample of 19 clusters extracted from the X-ray flux limited sample HIFLUGCS that have available DR-7 SDSS data. From the optical analysis we derived the stellar masses. Using XMM-Newton we derived the gas masses. Then, we adopted two procedures to derive the total mass. In the first one, we calculate the total mass from the velocity dispersion using optical spectroscopic data. The second one is to use a scaling relation and use the gas mass as a proxy. Then, adding the gas and the stellar mass fractions we obtain the total baryonic content that was found to increase with cluster mass, reaching WMAP-7 prediction for clusters with  $M_{500} \sim 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ . The stellar mass fraction diminishes as cluster mass increases, suggesting a difference in the amount of stars formed per unit of halo mass. That is, the efficiency of star formation varies on cluster scales that lower mass systems are likely to have higher star formation efficiencies. It follows immediately that, the dependence of the stellar mass fraction on total mass results in an increase of the mass-to-light ratio from lower to higher mass systems. We also discuss the consequences of these results in the context of determining the cosmic matter density parameter  $\Omega_m$ .

## SAMPLE AND DATA ANALYSIS


**Sample:** 19 HIFLUGCS clusters have DR-7 SDSS optical data available and are unbiased with respect to the original sample;

To analyze the matter distribution in galaxy clusters through the baryon mass fraction, the fundamental step relies on total, gas and stellar masses estimates. We derived all quantities within  $r_{500}$  and each determination is explained below:

### 1- Gas mass estimates

We determined the gas masses through the XMM-Newton X-ray data analysis.

### 2- Stellar mass estimates



DR-7 SDSS photometric data  
For all galaxies within  $r_{500}$


BACKGROUND GALAXIES  
in an outer annulus  
beyond  $8 \times r_{500}$

- Constrain red-sequence (RS);
- Define red and blue galaxies within  $r_{500}$ ;
- Histogram of the number of galaxies per bin of 0.5mag = luminosity function (LF).

- Use cluster RS;
- Define red and blue galaxies within  $r_{500}$ ;
- Fit the background LF with a power-law;
- Statistical subtraction.

- The power-law fit to the background galaxies is then subtracted from the overall LF;
- Integrating the LF we have the total luminosity;
- Adopting a different mass-to-light ratio for red and blue galaxies (taken from Kauffmann et al. 2003) we derive the stellar masses.

### 3a- Total mass estimates using the velocity dispersion:



- We used the velocity dispersion, which is independent from X-ray and photometric DR-7 SDSS data to derive total masses.

- Taking the harmonic velocities measured within an aperture of 1.2 Abell radii (from Zhang et al. 2011), we followed the method described in Sect.3 of Biviano et al. (2006).

### 3b- Total mass estimates using gas mass as a proxy:

We adopted two procedures to derive the total masses. In the first one, we derived the total masses from the X-ray data, using a scaling relation. We adopted this procedure instead of using the standard measurement from X-ray data under the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium (HE) because the present sample contains relaxed and non relaxed clusters where the HE assumption may not be valid.




Fig.1: Total mass as a function of gas mass for 41 clusters and groups taken from Vikhlinin et al. (2006), Arnaud et al. (2007), Böhringer et al. (2007), and Sun et al. (2009). The continuous line is the power-law best fit for the data.

$$\left( \frac{M_{500}}{10^{14} M_{\odot}} \right) = (0.891 \pm 0.017) + \log \left( \frac{M_{\text{gas}}}{10^{14} M_{\odot}} \right) \times (0.83 \pm 0.22)$$

All the following results are a summary of the work presented in:

Zhang, Y-Y; Laganá, T. F., Pierini, D. et al. 2011, submitted to A&A.

Laganá, T. F.; Zhang, Y-Y; Reiprich, T. H.; Schneider, P. 2011, submitted to ApJ.

## References:


- Arnaud, M., Pointecouteau, A., & Pratt, G. W. 2007, A&A, 474, L37  
Biviano, A.; Murante, G., Borgani, S., et al. 2006, A&A 456, 23  
Böhringer, H., Schuecker, P., Pratt, G. W., et al. 2007, A&A, 469, 363  
Dai, X., Bregman, J. N., Kochanek, C. S., & Rasia, E. 2010, ApJ, 719, 119  
Giodini, S., Pierini, D., Finoguenov, A., & Pratt, G. W. 2009, ApJ, 703, 982  
Jarosik, N., Bennett, C. L., Dunkley, J., Gold, B., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 14  
Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., White, S. D. M., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 33  
Lin, Y., Mohr, J. J., & Stanford, S. A. 2003, ApJ, 591, 749  
Puchwein, E., Springel, V., Sijacki, D., & Dolag, K. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 936  
Sun, M., Voit, G. M., Donahue, M., Jones, C., et al. 2009, ApJ, 693, 1142  
Vikhlinin, A., Kravtsov, A., Forman, W., et al. 2006, ApJ, 640, 691  
Zhang, Y., Andermach, H., Caretta, C. A., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A105+

## Acknowledgements:


We thank S. Borgani, F. Durret, Pavel Kroupa, and G. B. Lima Neto for constructive discussion. We also acknowledge Jacopo Fritz for providing the best-fit relation between stellar masses computed from the SDSS DR7 photometric data and spectroscopic data. T.F.L acknowledges support from the FAPESP through grants 2006/96213-9 and 2008/04318-7 as well as from the CAPES through BEX3405-10-9. Y.Y.Z. acknowledges support from the German BMBF through the Verbundforschung under grant No. 50OR 1005 and travel support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Priority Program 1177. D.P. acknowledges support from the German BMBF through the Verbundforschung under grant No. 50OR 0405 and the kind hospitality of the MPE. E.P. is supported by the DFG through Transregio 33. T.H.R. acknowledges support by the DFG through Heisenberg grant RE 1462/5.

## RESULTS

In both panels we show the results derived for  $r_{500}$  for the **gas-mass fraction (red circles)**, **stellar mass fraction (green stars)**, and **baryon-mass fraction (black triangles)** as a function of the total mass. For comparison, we show some of the results in the literature from Dai et al. (2010), Puchwein et al. (2010) and Sun et al. (2009) in the left panel and from Giodini et al. (2009) and Lin et al. (2003) in the right panel. The horizontal lines on the top of the plot represents the WMAP-7 result for the cosmic baryon fraction (Jarosik et al. 2011).



**Left panel:** We show the results obtained using three independent methods: XMM-Newton X-ray data for the gas mass determination, photometric optical DR-7 SDSS for stellar mass measurements, and velocity dispersion for total mass determination.



**Right panel:** We present the results using the XMM-Newton X-ray data for the gas mass determination, photometric optical DR-7 SDSS for stellar mass measurements, and the scaling relation (presented in Fig.1) to derive the total mass using the gas mass as a proxy.

### Baryon fraction dependence on cluster mass

#### Left panel

• The gas-mass fraction increases with the total mass as  $f_{\text{gas}} = 10^{(-1.10 \pm 0.16)} [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(0.38 \pm 0.36)}$ . In order to precisely constrain the slope, we combine our 19 clusters and the groups in Sun et al. (2009), which show an increasing gas mass fraction as a function of the  $M_{\text{tot}}$ , i.e.  $f_{\text{gas}} = 10^{(-1.07 \pm 0.02)} [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(0.30 \pm 0.07)}$ . This shows the importance to have a wide mass range to calibrate the mass dependence of the gas-mass fraction. The stellar mass fraction in our observational sample decreases with increasing cluster mass, i.e.,  $f_{\text{star}} = 10^{(-1.53 \pm 0.05)} [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(-0.49 \pm 0.09)}$ . The best fit for the total baryon fraction still appears flat as a function of the total mass within its 1 error:  $f_b = 10^{(-0.96 \pm 0.250)} [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(0.22 \pm 0.567)}$ . Toward the high-mass end, the value gradually approaches the WMAP-7 prediction, reaching it at  $M_{500} = 7.7 \times 10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}$ .

#### Right panel

• The power-law fits for the total baryon mass fraction  $f_b = 10^{(-1.54 \pm 0.10)} \times [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(-0.359 \pm 0.170)}$ , and for the stellar-mass fraction is  $f_{\text{star}} = 10^{(-0.93 \pm 0.018)} \times [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(0.136 \pm 0.028)}$  for the stellar. Toward the high-mass end, the value gradually approaches the WMAP-7 prediction, reaching it at  $M_{500} = 1.6 \times 10^{15} M_{\text{sun}}$ .

### Mass-to-light ratio

The total mass-to-optical light ratio decreases toward low-mass systems, following the relation  $M_{\text{tot}}/L = 10^{(2.02 \pm 0.10)} \times [M_{500}/10^{14} M_{\text{sun}}]^{(0.361 \pm 0.169)}$ . This result is a direct consequence of the varying star formation efficiency on cluster scales. In this work the M/L varies from 60 M/L up to almost 300 M/L. Total mass-to-optical light ratio does not show evidence of a flattening, and the best-fit leads to a  $M/L = 241 M/L$  for clusters with a total mass of  $10^{15} M_{\text{sun}}$ .

### Constraints on $\Omega_m$

We derived the matter density parameter using the Oort (1958) technique and also from the baryon-to-total mass ratio. Using these two approaches, we obtained  $0.07 < \Omega_m < 0.3$  and  $0.15 < \Omega_m < 0.27$ , respectively. Using the baryon-to-total mass ratio to compute  $\Omega_m$  seems to give narrower range and more accurate

