



Planck isotropy and statistics

Enrique Martínez-González Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-UC) on behalf of the Planck Collaboration



Outline



- Isotropy and statistics tests
- Data and simulations used
- Tests of primordial Gaussianity
- CMB anomalies
- Conclusions

esa



planck







- Isotropy, i.e. the same properties in all directions, is a well known property of the CMB that motivates the cosmological principle. Due to its fundamental implications it is very relevant to quantify the degree of statistical isotropy of the CMB anisotropies at all scales.
- Primordial CMB fluctuations are predicted to be very close to Gaussian in the simplest inflationary scenarios. Any deviation from Gaussianity is thus a good indicator of the presence of foreground residuals and secondary anisotropies but also of physics beyond the standard cosmological model.
- At a more practical level, isotropy and Gaussianity are assumed in the derivation of the power spectra and the cosmological parameters.







A battery of statistical tests have been applied to the temperature and polarization data:

- Variance, skewness and kurtosis
- N-pdf at low resolution
- N-point correlation functions
- Minkowski functionals
- Multiscale analysis
- Stacking









- The Planck best-fit ∧CDM model is confronted to the Planck CMB maps extracted from four component separation methods: Commander, NILC, SEVEM and SMICA.
- The common mask is used to remove the contaminated pixels from the analysis.
- The Planck best fit model is represented by realistic (FFP8) Planck simulations that, in addition to the statistical properties of the CMB signal, also contain the most relevant characteristics of the observational process (e.g., beam, noise, Doppler boosting, lensing, ...).
- 1000 (FFP8) simulations (only 200 used for NILC)



Temperature and polarization data





/ariance, skewness and kurtosis



The variance of the CMB is estimated from the Planck maps and corresponding realistic simulations. The higher order moments are calculated from the normalized data map.



A significantly low variance is consistently found at different resolutions, component separations, frequencies and masks. The lowest probabilities are found at the lowest resolutions. In agreement with Planck Collaboration XXIII (2014).



N-point correlation functions



Difference with respect to the \land CDM model



esa

	Probability			
Function	Comm.	NILC	SEVEM	SMICA
Two-pt. Pseudo-coll. three-pt Equil. three-pt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.972 \\ 0.921 \\ 0.740 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.982 \\ 0.922 \\ 0.769 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.974 \\ 0.918 \\ 0.758 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.981 \\ 0.922 \\ 0.790 \end{array}$
Four-pt	0.646	0.655	0.656	0.659

General agreement is found for the N-point correlation functions.

However, the 2-point function shows a relatively low χ^2 value indicating low correlations relative to the model (a similar behaviour to the one already seen in WMAP and Planck Collaboration XXIII 2014).



Minkowski Functionals





esa

MFs in needlet space

MFs tell us about the morphological properties of the data. There are three on 2D:

- v₀=area,
- v₁=perimeter
- v₂=genus.

3

3

3

MFs are computed in real and needlet spaces. Needlet space allows a multiresolution analysis of MFs.

General consistency with Gaussianity is found. However, some differences among CS methods are seen for some MFs.





Multiscale analysis





p-values (%) of area above 4σ

planck

SMHW/T-map		UTP			
Area	Scale $[^\prime]$	Comm.	NILC	SEVEM	SMICA
	200	3.8	6.5	3.7	3.8
Cold	250	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4
	300	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4
	400	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.9
	200	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.5
Hot	250	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.0
	300	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.9
	400	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
CAUSS/T-man		UTP			

GAUSS/T-map		UTP				
Area	Scale $[^\prime]$	Comm.	NILC	SEVEM	SMICA	
Cold	$200 \\ 250 \\ 300 \\ 400$	1.7 1.2 1.6 N/A	3.0 2.0 6.0 N/A	1.7 1.2 1.2 N/A	1.7 1.2 1.8 N/A	
Hot	$200 \\ 250 \\ 300 \\ 400$	2.9 5.7 N/A N/A	6.0 11.0 N/A N/A	2.8 5.6 N/A N/A	2.6 5.4 N/A N/A	

Similar results are obtained for other filters (GAUSS, SSG84).

The area is dominated by the Cold spot and shows a significantly low probability, as do the kurtosis and peak statisitics.

The results are similar to the ones for the first release (Planck Collaboration XXIII 2014).



Planck 2014: The microwave sky in temperature and polarization, Ferrara, Dec 2014



Multiscale analysis

The statistics of peaks constitutes a powerful alternative test to search for non-Gaussian features.

Comparison of the peak CDF of temperature data and simulations Commander NILC SEVEM **SMICA** Kolmogorov deviation from FFP8 peak CDF • • northern cap + + + entire sky * * * southern cap * * northern cap * * * southern cap +++ entire sky northern cap northern cap * * * southern cap +++ entire sky southern cap +++ entire sky ↑ Gaussian peak fit, $\gamma = 0.70$ Gaussian peak fit, $\gamma = 0.70$ Gaussian peak fit. $\gamma = 0.70$ Gaussian peak fit, $\gamma = 0.70$ ++ GAUSS filter at 40' FWHM +++ GAUSS filter at 40' FWHM +++ GAUSS filter at 40' FWHM +++ GAUSS filter at 40' FWHM 34017 peaks 34041 peaks 34102 peaks 34102 peaks hottest at $(-0.00 + 4.73)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 4.72)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 4.75)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 4.75)\sigma$ 0.2 coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.58)\sigma$ coldest at (-0.00 - 4.49)a coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.56)\sigma$ coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.56)\sigma$ $6\sigma - 6\sigma$ $6\sigma^{0}_{-6\sigma}$ -2σ -2σ 60 Kolmogorov deviation from FFP8 peak CDF * * northern cap * * * southern cap northern cap southern cap northern cap +++ entire sky northern cap * * * southern cap entire sky * * * southern cap +++ entire sky Gaussian peak fit, γ = 0.83 Gaussian peak fit, $\gamma = 0.83$ Gaussian peak fit, γ = 0.83 Gaussian peak fit, γ = 0.83 + SSG84 filter at 800' FWHM ++ SSG84 filter at 800' FWHM + SSG84 filter at 800' FWHM +++ SSG84 filter at 800' FWHM 62 peaks 63 peaks 65 peaks -64 peaks hottest at $(-0.00 + 2.64)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 3.12)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 2.74)\sigma$ hottest at $(-0.00 + 2.68)\sigma$ coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.00)\sigma$ coldest at ($-0.00 - 4.10)\sigma$ coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.12)\sigma$ coldest at $(-0.00 - 4.12)\sigma$

Percentage of peaks common to the 4 methods are above 90% for all scales. The peak distributions are consistent with Gaussianity apart from the Cold Spot.



Planck 2014: The microwave sky in temperature and polarization, Ferrara, Dec 2014



planck

Stacking in polarization





planck

Planck 2014: The microwave sky in temperature and polarization, Ferrara, Dec 2014





Some of the most relevant anomalies have been studied in the Planck full data:

- Low variance: already reviewed
- Large scale asymmetries
 The Cold Spot





The Cold Spot



First detected in the WMAP first year data (Vielva et al. 2004) and later confirmed by later WMAP and Planck releases. In the previous slides the Cold Spot appeared as an anomalous feature in terms of kurtosis, area and peak statistics at scales above several degrees.

Here we focus on its internal structure that has also been recently considered in the literature.



The mean profile is anomalous whereas the higher order ones are compatible with Gaussianity.

In polarization the high-pass filtering of the map impedes us in probing the Cold Spot. A forecast based on the unfiltered simulations and the CS noise levels provides an 8% discrimination significance (in agreement with Fernandez-Cobos et al. 2013)



The Cold Spot



Several possibilities have been proposed to explain its nature although none of them is very convincing:

- Statistical fluke of the LCDM model.
- Foreground contamination seems to be discarded (Cruz et al. 2006, Planck Collaboration XXIII 2014).
- The texture origin was originally proposed by Cruz et al. 2007. It was later reexamined by Feeney et al. 2012 for the whole sky finding no evidence but without ruling out this possibility.
- The void origin has been recently invoked based on a super void found by Szapudi et al. (2014) in the WISE-2MASS-Panstarrs galaxy catalogue and independently by Finelli et al. (2014) in WISE-2MASS. However the -150 µK amplitude first estimated by Finelli et al. 2014 using an LTB model has not been confirmed by any of the later works (Zibin 2014, Nadathur et al. 2014).
- Another possibility is the bubble collision considered in Feeney et al. 2013 who found no evidence for it but again not ruling it out.







- Tests of isotropy and Gaussianity provide the basis to support the assumptions made in the derivation of the power spectra and the cosmological parameters.
- In addition they also probe physics beyond the standard cosmological model.
- Planck data demonstrate good consistency with the Gaussianity assumption apart from the known anomalies of low variance and the Cold Spot.
- Polarization at degree angular scales has been probed by stacking at positions of hot/cold spots identified in temperature. The polarization profiles are consistent with the ∧CDM model.
- The anomalies are seen in the temperature full data set at similar levels as in the 2013 release. Due to the high-pass filtering in polarization most of the signal at the largest scales is removed.
- In addition to the significantly low probabilities found for the area, kurtosis and peak statistics, the temperature profile of the Cold Spot shows an anomalous behaviour.



The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada

