## PLANCK 2014 THE MICROWAVE SKY IN TEMPERATURE AND POLARIZATION





# The Planck Simulation Challenge: Full Focal Plane 8

Reijo Keskitalo

Computational Cosmology Center, Berkeley Lab on behalf of the Planck Collaboration

[presented by Julian Borrill]





#### PURPOSE

- 1. Validation & verification: requires accurate fiducial simulation
- 2. Uncertainty quantification & debiasing: requires massive Monte Carlo

#### SPECIFICATION

- 1. Instrument model:
  - a. Satellite focal plane, pointing & flags
  - b. Detector beams, band-passes & noise
- 2. Sky model:
  - a. Foregrounds
  - b. CMB
- 3. Processing:
  - a. Replication of both DPC's map-making
  - b. At massive scale



### Focal Plane, Pointing & Flags: TOAST vs DPC Hit Maps





# Overall discrepancy in hits: $\Delta T/T \sim 10^{-8}$







#### Bandpasses: Spurious Component Maps







Planck Simulation Challenge, Ferrara, Dec 2014

esa

#### Noise Estimation





#### Foregrounds: Planck Sky Mødel

in the second se

Improved 10-component model:

- 1. CO lines
- 2. Cosmic Infrared Background
- 3. Free-free
- 4. Point Sources (Infrared)
- 5. Point Sources (Radio)
- 6. Spinning dust
- 7. Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (Kinetic)
- 8. Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (Thermal)
- 9. Synchrotron
- 10. Thermal dust



DR1

DR2







#### Cosmic Microwave Background: Scalar, Tensor & Non-Gaussian



- Start with the Planck 2013 cosmology
- Construct 3 components of CMB sky
  - 1. Scalar CMB
    - a) Lensed
    - b) Rayleigh-scatteredc) Doppler-boostedfrequency-dependent

- Tensor CMB 2.
- 3. Non-Gaussian CMB
- Construct total CMB skies for various  $(r, f_{NI})$

#### $CMB = CMB_{S} + \sqrt{r CMB_{T}} + f_{NI} CMB_{NG}$





#### FFP8 Fiducial Realization



Changes from DR1/FFP6:

- 1. Beamskyset never written to disk
- 2. TOD injected into flagged EF files
- 3. Mission-baseline destriping (HFI)
- 1,134 data combinations:
- 1. Frequency channel & detset
- 2. Mission, half-mission, year & survey
- 3. Full & half-ring

16 map flavours:

- 1. 3 x CMB + 2 x Foreground + Noise
- 2. 10 x total: (5 x [r, f<sub>NL</sub>]) x (2 x FG)

#### 18,144 maps 250K NERSC CPU-hours





#### Scalar CMB, Foreground & Noise Maps





esa





# Polarization





-10 1 10 10 μK or kJy sr

#### Comparison With Real Data







Temperature

Cesa

Planck Simulation Challenge, Ferrara, Dec 2014

#### Noise Monte Carlos

Changes from FFP6/DR1:

- 1. 10x MC set size.
- 2. 10x map types.
- 3. Mission baseline destriping.

FFP8 contains:

- 1. 10<sup>4</sup> mission channel full maps
- 2. 10<sup>3</sup> other full maps
- 3.  $10^2$  half-ring maps.

671,400 maps 12M NERSC/CSC CPUhours (10K maps/hour)







planck

### CMB Monte Carlos

płanck

Changes from FFP6/DR1:

- 1. 10x MC set size.
- 2. Polarization.
- 3. Much larger effective beams.
- 4. Transition to MC-limited.

#### FFP8 contains:

- 1. 10<sup>4</sup> mission channel full maps
- 2. 10<sup>4</sup> half-mission channel full maps
- 3. 10<sup>4</sup> mission (some) detset full maps

#### 460,000 maps 8M NERSC CPU-hours







#### MC Map Counts





Channel/Detset; Mission/Half-Mission/Year/Survey; Full/Half-Ring





#### High Performance Computing. Considerations





- 1. Minimize I/O.
- 2. Optimize communication.
  - a. Data distribution
  - b. Hybridization
- 3. Use an appropriate language.







#### Example uses Of FFP8 In DR2



- 1. Fiducial maps
  - a. Validate & verify analysis codes
  - b. Quantify uncertainties in
    - Component separation
    - Lensing reconstruction
    - Catalog construction
- 2. Noise MCs
  - a. Validate pixel-pixel covariance matrices
- 3. CMB MCs
  - a. Estimate TT/EE beam transfer functions
- 4. All MCs
  - a. Combine across frequency according to component separation prescription(s) & use for statistical tests of CMB sky.





#### Community Access To FFP8 & Future Plans











The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada.

