

Definition of a Model Based Mission Assurance Methodology

Software Product Assurance Workshop 2023

Clement Puybareau TEC-QQS YGT 2022-2023

27/09/2023

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only





- Clement Puybareau
- TEC-QQS YGT at ESA ESTEC
- Supervisor: Isabelle Conway
- Part of a trilateral NASA-ESA-JAXA MBMA Task Force





Technical deep-dive

- Overview of the MBMA methodology and the core concepts built so far
- Example through simple SysML project
- Observations, lessons learned and future work/prospect
- Communicate the philosophy of our work



MBSE = Model Based System Engineering

- "formalized application of modelling to support system requirements, design, analysis, verification and validation activities" - INCOSE-TP-2004-004-02, Sep 2007
- "[MBSE] uses modelling techniques to look at the space system as a whole, with all of the subsystems and individual parts considered while they are all worked on separately by specialist teams" – What is MBSE?, TEC Directorate



5/26

• MBSE = Model Based System Engineering

$\textcircled{1} \rightarrow \cancel{1}$

Describe your system with diagrams instead of words



 Going from a text-based approach to a model-based approach brings numerous advantages:



Single Source of Truth (**SSoT**) + Easier traceability/versioning $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$

Access to automation & auto-coding Improved communication between stakeholders... If they speak the same language



→ If MBSE is so great, why do we not see wider adoption?



HOW IT SHOULD BE...





💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔤 🚱 🛌 📲 🔆 📲 🕂 🔤 📾 🕸 🖬 👘 🔶 The European space agency

HOW IT IS...





💳 🔜 🖬 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔤 💏 🔤 🚱 🚺 📲 🗮 📥 🖓



Observation:

- MBSE methodology and toolset have reached a stable point for System Engineering activities
- Product Assurance activities are not well integrated in the MBSE environment

➔ Need to define a Model Based Mission Assurance Methodology

i.e. defining how PA activities should be done inside a model



End goal of MBMA:

 Allow PA engineers to integrate their activities inside the existing MBSE methodology, unlocking key advantages of the model-based approach





Interfaces with other stakeholders



Modelling and extraction toolset

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 📲 🔚 🔚 🔤 🐜 🚱 🛌 📲 🚼 🖬 📾 🖬 👘 → THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY



• Guiding principle for the first iteration:

K.I.S.S. → Keep It Simple and Stupid

- → Don't change what works
- → Build on top of what has been done
- → Reduce scope to a minimum



K.I.S.S. → Keep It Simple and Stupid

- Focus on one field: **RAMS engineer** (= Fault management)
- Focus on one specific artefact: **FMEA**
- Put in practice in a simplified environment: SysML Cubesat demonstrator



Why choose RAMS?

- They need to have an overview of the whole system
- They can affect the design by emitting specific recommendations





Key questions to answer

- What can go wrong?
- What functionality is damaged/lost?
- Can this affect other components?
- How to mitigate the failure?



Key questions to answer

- What can go wrong?
- What functionality is damaged/lost?
- Can this affect other components?
- How to mitigate the failure?



💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 📰 📲 🔚 🚛 🖓 🕨 🔤 🐜 🚺 📰 📾 🗰 🗤 🔸 The European space Agenc'



Elements shown here are MBSE components
 Does not follow ECSS terminology

 Separate analysis ensures data mapping with Q-ST-30-02C



EXAMPLE: BATTERY COMPONENT





→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY





- Data placeholders + elements to point to
- SIGNAL stereotypes

Fa	ilure mode state machine
•	Failure trigger Failure state
	Failure mode





EXAMPLE: FAILURE PROPAGATION





💶 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🧮 🔚 📲 🔚 📲 🔚 🔤 🐜 🚺 👫 🚼 🖬 📟 📾 🖬 🖛 👘



Retrieving the Fault Management information as FMEA

- FMEA view inside Cameo
- Export in CSV format → Adapts to existing interfaces

Item	🖥 Potential Failure Mode 🚽	End Effect	🗸 Potential Cause(s)
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: Power Bus Reduced Power in Eclipse	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: CubeSat Loss of Spacecraft	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: CubeSat Mission Degradation	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: CubeSat Loss of Mission	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: Battery 1 No Battery Power	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: Power Bus No Power in Eclipse	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: Power Bus No Power in Eclipse	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector
Battery 1	Cell Short-Circuit	EFFECT: Power Bus No Power in Eclipse	EVENT: Battery 1 Faulty Connector



Advantages

- Streamlined workflow
- Independent from existing model
- Elements can be created at any level
 - Allows for black-box analysis, simplifies the ownership separation with suppliers

Trade-off

Lacks interaction with the rest of the model



- Methodology description, SysML profile and User Manual
 - → Fully covers the ECSS requirements for FMEA
- FMEA generation through Cameo SysML plugin (developed by NASA supplier)
 Partially covers the ECSS requirements for FMEA

• Example developed on **Cubesat demonstrator**



- Improve current methodology with interactions between RAMS elements and the rest of the model
- Introduce the methodology to a real-life situation → ESA YPsat project
- **Develop the artefact generation** toolset to be fully compliant with ECSS requirements, allowing user to have a platform ready to be used in ESA projects
- Write guidelines on how to implement MBMA methodology, would serve as basis for a dedicated ECSS Handbook

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Q Q&A at the end of the session



Contact information

- Clement Puybareau: <u>Clement.Puybareau@esa.int</u>
- Isabelle Conway: <u>Isabelle.Conway@esa.int</u>