

cherenkov telescope array



CTA Distributed Operations

Jim Hinton (CTA Project Scientist) 19/10/17 SciOps2017, ESAC Madrid





cherenkov telescope array

Science with the Cherenkov Telescope Array

arXiv:1709.07997

Contents

Chapters and corresponding authors:

1. Introduction to CTA Science — J.A. Hinton, R.A. Ong, D. Torres
2. Synergies — S. Markoff, J.A. Hinton, R.A. Ong, D. Torres
3. Core Programme Overview — J.A. Hinton, R.A. Ong, D. Torres
4. Dark Matter Programme – E. Moulin, J. Carr, J. Gaskins, M. Doro, C. Farnier, M. Wood, H. Zechlin
5. KSP: Galactic Centre – C. Farnier, K. Kosack, R. Terrier
6. KSP: Galactic Plane Survey – R. Chaves, R. Mukherjee, R.A. Ong
7. KSP: LMC Survey – P. Martin, C. Lu, H. Voelk, M. Renaud, M. Filipovic
8. KSP: Extragalactic Survey - D. Mazin, L. Gerard, J.E. Ward, P. Giommi, A.M. Brown
9. KSP: Transients – S. Inoue, M. Ribo, E. Bernardini, V. Connaughton, J. Granot, S. Markoff, P. O Brien, F. Schussler110
10. KSP: Cosmic Ray PeVatrons - R. Chaves, E. De Ona Wilhelmi, S. Gabici, M. Renaud
11. KSP: Star Forming Systems - S. Casanova, S. Ohm, L. Tibaldo
12. KSP: Active Galactic Nuclei – A. Zech, D. Mazin, J. Biteau, M. Daniel, T. Hassan, E. Lindfors, M. Meyer
13. KSP: Clusters of Galaxies - F. Zandanel, M Fornasa
14. Capabilities beyond Gamma Rays - R. Bühler, D. Dravins, K. Egberts, J.A. Hinton, D. Parsons
15. Appendix: Simulating CTA - G. Maier
Acknowledgements
References
Glossary







 CTA Consortium of >1000 scientists from 32 nations



(cta

 γ -ray enters the atmosphere

Electromagnetic cascade

10 nanosecond snapshot

0.1 km² "light pool", a few photons per m^2 .

Primary **Y**

e+

e+

e⁺

8



4 LSTs, 25 MSTs, 70 SSTs



4 LSTs, 25 MSTs, 70 SSTs





- An Open Observatory / User Facility
 - For the first time in this waveband
 - Annual AoO, TAC ranking, long-term schedule
 - Proposal preparation support, tracking, helpdesk +
 - Public science data archive
 - After proprietary period
- Two Telescope Arrays one Observatory
 - Inter-site coordination
 - Uniform approach to science operations
- Main Challenges
 - Sub-array operation, wide field of view, instrument response generation, background modelling, rapid alert generation and response, data volume, science operations during construction

Phasing



State of Array Completion (%)



Organisation





Organisation







Admin Services Gateway







Science User Perspective





Pipeline

• Products

- Photon (candidate) event list data (FITS)
- Instrument response functions, background model
- Science analysis tool suite, supporting documentation

Scheduling of Observations



• Many challenges

- Coordination between sites, fast response to ToOs and internally generated science alerts, reoptimisation triggered by changing conditions, mixing technical and science ops, wide field of view → merging of proposals → pointings, sub-array operation (decision making on array splitting)
- Three step process:
 - Long-term annual AO \rightarrow TAC ranking target grouping
 - Medium-Term adaptation through season (weather++), technical and calibration actions, inter-site coordination
 - Short-term automatically refined/adapted night time schedule, ToO reaction – preparing for complex inputs – e.g. gravitational wave error boxes, inter-observatory coordination
- Fairly advanced prototype tested for first 'Data Challenge'
 - Scheduling of surveys planned as Key Science Projects



Scheduling

Galactic Longitude

- Fairly advanced prototype tested for first 'Data Challenge'
 - Scheduling of surveys planned as Key Science Projects

d

Data Collection

- Observation Execution
 System
 - Telescope control / subarray operation
 - Data collection and first reduction/calibration steps
 - Science Alert Generation within 1 min.
 - ToO Handling
 - Dynamical adjustment of schedule based on atmo. monitoring input





Atmospheric Monitoring + Calibration

(cta

- Atmospheric Monitoring
 - All sky camera for clouds
 - Ceilometer for height
- Atmospheric Calibration
 - Ramen LIDAR (scattering height profile), Small robotic telescope (transmission)
- Telescope Calibration
 - Multi-λ calibrated light flashers
 - Air showers and muons
 - Ring image of known
 intensity
 - +end-to-end cosmic ray electrons
 - Present in every field, look like γs, convenient spectral feature!



2016_01_04-04_09_13



2016_01_04-04_21_00



2016_01_04-04_32_47



K. Kosack ctapipe example





"Monte-Carlo" Simulations



- Instrument response function generation for CTA is based on simulations (showers → atmosphere → telescope → electronics)
 - Energy dispersion matrix (E)
 - Point-spread function (E)
 - Effective Collection Area (E)
- All are functions of:
 - Site, Azimuthal Angle (geomagnetic effects), Atmospheric density profile (season), Aerosol optical depth height distribution
 - Sub-array configuration, Telescope configuration (mirror reflectivity, +++)
 - Zenith angle
- Huge phase space. Favoured solution:
 - Specific simulation tuned to the specific conditions / configuration used during a given observation
 - Challenging to generate and to deliver to end user in a simple way (time-dependence, FoV position-dependence, ...)

Computing



- Approach used for design optimisation simulations
 - Site selection, array layout, telescope design ...
- GRID-based approach using DIRAC
 - 130M HS06 CPU-Hours used
 - 10 PB transfered
 - CTA Grid Members: IN2P3-CC, DESY-ZN, CNAF, CYFRONET,GRIF,IN2P3-CPPM, IN2P3-LAPP, FASCATI, OBSPM, PIC, CESNET, CAMK, CIEMAR, INFN-Torino, M3PEC, ...
- Model with distributed resources heavily explored for operations phase -



GW170817

- Want to be prepared with CTA
 - ToO System
 - Automated
 - Fast
 - Intelligent
 - Rapid slewing
 - LST 30 s
 - Others ~1 min
 - To/from anywhere in observable sky

Conclusions

- CTA planned as a major new international user facility many challenges in the area of science operations!
- Preparations more advanced in some areas than others a lot of work ahead → goal is to be ready for guest observer access by 2021
- Clearly there is a lot we can learn from the many major observatories represented here

32

**Alert generation in 1 minute

100

Alerts and triggers to/from CTA for variable objects Including gravitational waves and optical transient factories

60

Time from GRB [sec]

- For GRBs CTA requires (MeV) triggers*
 - Swift, Fermi GBM → SVOM, ? ...

40

20

Start at $t_0 + Q$

30 seconds

- Triggers from CTA^{**} \rightarrow broad astronomical community
 - Rate expected to be low but identified events likely to be extremely important: GW sub-threshold, redshift measurement, ...

80

Field of View Example

The Large Magellanic Cloud

CTAO Systems

CTAO Systems

Calibration

		Time scale	Random triggered events	Air shower events	Muon events	On-telescope light source	Moveable precision light source	Light source on UAV	Central laser beam	Central timing distr. system	Camera tracker (LED+CCD)	Star currents (or noise) in pixels	Star images on special camera target	On-telescope star tracker	Kemote sensing and global atmosph.	LIDAR	Robotic telescopes for stellar photometry	Compartison with space instruments	Cosmic-ray electron flux and spectral break
	Requires manual intervention		no	no	no	no	yes	yes	no		no	no	no	no				no	no
Calibration for image reconstruction	Pixel baseline	sec	۲	۲	۲														
	Pixel linearity	yr				(•)	۲												
	Pixel spectral response	yr				(•)	۲												
	Pixel integration window	day		۲	۲	۲	۲												
	Pixel signal per p.e.	day		۲	۲	۲	۲												
	Pixel flat fielding (amplitude)	h			۲	۲	۲												
	Pixel flat fielding (timing)	h		۲	۲	۲	۲												
Trigger monitor ing	Pixel trigger thresholds	day		۲			۲												
	Image trigger threshold	day		۲					(•)										
	Inter-telescope trigger timing	day		۲						۲									
Pointing calibration	Camera position rel. to optical axis	sec									۲		۲						
	Model for pointing corrections	mo										۲	۲						
	Real-time pointing monitoring	sec										۲		۲					
	Consistency of pointing calibration across telescopes	h		۲															
Intensity calibration	Inter-calibration and cross-calibration of telescopes	mo		۲	۲		۲	۲	۲										
	Absolute calibration: light yield to digital counts	yr			۲		۲	۲	۲										
Energy scale and effective area	Atmospheric profile	day													۲				
	Atmospheric transmission	h		(•)											(•)		۲		
	Aerosole profile	h		(•)											(•)	۲			
	Absolute energy calibration	yr		(•)														۲	۲
	Effective area calibration	yr		(•)														۲	۲

On-Site Team

Figure 6.1 – Organigramme for on-site operations. See Figure 4.1 for embedding in the CTAO organisation. The Assembly, Integration and Test (AIT) branch exists only during construction; it is desirable to have part of the AIT personnel move to maintenance once construction is nearing completion.