The new ULX pulsar in NGC 300 and its fast period evolution

Frank Haberl Stefania Carpano, Georgios Vasilopoulos, Chandreyee Maitra

Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garching

Workshop ULX pulsars, ESAC, Madrid, 2018 June 6-8

The discovery of ultraluminous X-ray pulsars

- M 82 X2 (Bacchetti+ 2014) spin period P = 1.37s, 2.5d modulation in NuSTAR data $\dot{P} = -2x10^{-10}$ s s⁻¹ (over 5 years) $L_{X,max} \sim 2x10^{40}$ erg/s, can vary by factor 100
- NGC 7793 P13 (Fürst+ 2016; Israel+ 2017b) P = 0.42s $\dot{P} = -3.5x10^{-11} \text{ s s}^{-1}$ (over 3 years) $L_{X,max} \sim 10^{40} \text{ erg/s}$, can vary by factor ~1000
- NGC 5907 ULX1 (Israel+ 2017a) $P = 1.136s \text{ in } 2013; 1.428s \text{ in } 2003; P_{orb} = 5.3d$ $\dot{P} = -8.1x10^{-10} \text{ s } \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ L}_{bol,max} \sim 2x10^{41} \text{ erg/s}$
- NGC 300 ULX1 [Carpano+ 2018 (CHMV18), Vasilopoulos+2018 submitted (VHCM18)] at discovery: over 3.5 years: P = 31.71s to 31.54s in Dec 2016 126.3s to 19.05s from Nov 2014 to Apr 2018 $\dot{P} = -5.56x10^{-7}$ s s⁻¹ (over ~4 days) -1.15x10⁻⁵ s s⁻¹ to -1.51x10⁻⁷ s s⁻¹

The spiral galaxy NGC 300

Photo 18a/02 (7 August 200





• NGC 300 is a nearby almost face-on spiral galaxy, located at 1.88 Mpc distance

© European Southern Obser

- X-ray source population studied with ROSAT and XMM-Newton (Carpano+ 2005, +PhD)
- NGC 300 X-1: So far the brightest, but persistent X-ray source. Wolf-Rayet/black hole X-ray binary, with $L_X \sim 2x10^{38}$ erg/s (Carpano+ 2007)

XMM/NuSTAR observations in Dec 2016

- Simultaneous XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations of NGC 300
- 16-20 December 2016, timespan 310ks
- Target: NGC 300 X-1



The supernova impostor SN 2010da

Bronberg Observatory



On 23 May 2010 a new bright source of ~16mag appeared at optical wavelengths, in UV and IR. Originally classified as a supernova.

Swift UVOT/XRT in May 2010



Villar et al. 2016

The supernova impostor SN 2010da in X-rays



- In 2010 detection by Swift XRT with $L_X \sim 6x10^{38}$ erg/s, 4 months later by Chandra with $L_X \sim 2x10^{37}$ erg/s.
- From the high X-ray luminosity associated to the large optical/IR flare, it was concluded the system was a high-mass X-ray binary in outburst (likely with a Be companion star)
- Chandra observations in May and November 2014, luminosity increased by a factor of ~10, from 4x10³⁶ erg/s to 4x10³⁷ erg/s.
- Caution: X-ray luminosities very model (absorption) dependent!

Pulsations in the Dec 2016 XMM/NuSTAR observations



P = 31.71s to 31.54s $\dot{P} = -5.56x10^{-7} s s^{-1}$ (over ~310 ks)

No significant orbital modulation (for P_{orb} between 1 and 3 days): v sin(i) < 4.6x10⁻⁵c; mass function f < 8x10⁻⁴ M_{sun}; i < few degrees

The pulse profile and its energy dependence



EPIC-pn (0.2-10 keV) NuSTAR (3-20 keV)

Spectral analysis of the Dec 2016 XMM/NuSTAR observations

Spectral model:

tbabs*pcfabs*(powerlaw*highecut+diskbb)



tbabs: Tuebingen-Boulder absorption model pcfabs: partial covering absorption (0.75x10²² cm⁻²) highcut: high-energy cutoff (Ecut 5.6 keV, Efold 7.0 keV) diskbb: multi-temperature blackbody (0.18 keV)

Simultaneous fit to phase-averaged spectra: $L_X = 4.7 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg/s}$ (0.3–30 keV, unabsorbed)

Spectral analysis of the 2010 & 2016 XMM observations

EPIC pn spectra from 2010 (green) & 2016 (red/black)



Same spectral model Much higher absorption in 2010 (47.2 vs. 0.66) x10²² cm⁻²

In 2010 the observed flux was about 8 x lower, while the intrinsic luminosity was similar.

Long-term evolution of the pulse period



Long-term luminosity evolution



High absorption in early years causes most of the flux variations. Relatively small variations of intrinsic luminosity (accretion rate).

Absorption corrected flux (0.3-10 keV) of hard spectral component.

NGC 300 ULX1 as test case for accretion torque theory

X-rays powered by release of gravitational potential energy

 $L_x \approx GM\dot{M}/R_{NS}$ disk truncated at magnetospheric radius

 $R_{M} \approx \xi R_{Alfven}$ accretion torque $N_{acc} \approx \dot{M} \text{ sqrt}(GMR_{M})$ $N_{tot} \approx n(\omega_{fast}) N_{acc}$

 $\omega_{\text{fast}} = (R_{\text{M}}/R_{\text{co}})^{3/2}$ $\rightarrow 1$ transition to propeller regime

 $\begin{aligned} n(\omega_{fast}) &= [7/6 - (4/3) \ \omega_{fast} + (1/9) \ \omega^2_{fast} \]/(1 - \ \omega_{fast}) \\ Wang \ (1995) \\ NGC \ 300 \ ULX1: \ \omega_{fast} \ small \ (n(\omega_{fast}) \rightarrow 7/6) \\ other \ approximations \ (e.g. \ Parfrey+2016) \end{aligned}$

$$-\dot{P}/P = P/(2\pi I_{NS}) N_{tot}(B, P, L_x, M_{NS}, R_{NS})$$

Observables P, \dot{P} and L_x + Typical NS parameters allows to estimate B and to predict P-evolution (for given accretion rate)



Assumption of constant accretion rate Solid black and coloured dashed lines: Wang (1995) (NGC 300 ULX1: 2016 XMM and 2018 Chandra) Black dotted line: Ghosh & Lamb (1979)

Past, present and future of NGC 300 ULX1



• NGC 300 ULX1 – a new ULXP with period far away from equilibrium

- Period evolution follows standard accretion theory $B_{equ} \approx 6 \times 10^{12} \text{ G}$ (developed for geometrically thin disk, sub-Eddington accretion)
- Accretion rate shows relatively little variations spin reversal of the NS before 2014?

