# What can the Dispersed Matter Planet Project do for ARIEL?



#### Carole Haswell, The Open University

Principal Collaborators: Dan Staab, John Barnes, Luca Fossati, Mark Jones, Guillem Angelada-Escude, James Doherty, Joe Cooper, James Jenkins

# Outline

• motivation: WASP-12:



stellar activity masked by planetary mass loss new way to select host stars of ablating planets

- Dispersed Matter Planet Project (DMPP) Search for Them among BRIGHT NEARBY STARS! Very efficient RV planet search 39 initial targets, good success rate
- First discoveries DMPP-1, DMPP-2, DMPP-3 ...
- Characterisation of DMPP planets
   mass-radius-composition relationships, exogeology
- DMPP systems good for characterisation even if not transiting...

## Activity: characterised by R<sub>HK</sub>



Fossati, Ayres, Haswell, Bohlender, Kochukhov & Floer 2013, ApJLett Ca II H& K line cores

Line core

Emission

strength

#### Activity: characterised by R<sub>HK</sub> -4.2basal limit MS sample -4.4HJ hosts -4.6 $\log(R'_{\rm HK})$ -4.8 -5.0-5.2Line core emission quenched by diffuse 0.50.60.7 0.80.91.01.1 0.41.2B - V

Dan Staab PhD work

gas



Doherty, Haswell, Barnes, Staab, Fossati 2018, Poster Cool Stars 20; 2019 in prep

Staab, Haswell, Smith, Fossati, Barnes, Busuttil, Jenkins, MNRAS, 2017, 466, 738

# Absorbing gas constrained to orbital plane?



Haswell, Fossati, Ayres, France, Froning et al 2012, ApJ, 760, 79

### Mass-losing close-in planets



#### e.g. Kepler 1520b have HUGE scale-heights

KIC 1255b aka Kepler 1520b:

- Detected by transiting dust
- Coexists with metal-rich vapour
- Subliming low mass planet

• Below our RV detection threshold DMPP systems host analogues and progenitors of Kepler 1520b ?

Fossati, Haswell et al 2010, Haswell, Fossati et al 2012, Rappaport et al 2012, ApJ, 752, 1 Brogi et al 2012 A&A 545, L5



# Nearby analogues and progenitors of Kepler 1520b



- WASP-12's Mg II h & k line cores have ZERO flux!
- main sequence stars all show chromospheric emission cores
- Emission cores must be absorbed
- Similar signal in the optical Ca II H&K line cores

WASP-12 system shrouded in diffuse absorbing gas

Haswell et al 2012, ApJ, 760, 79



# Nearby analogues and progenitors of Kepler 1520b: find with Ca II H&K

- Examined > 6000 bright stars
- Identified ~100 prospects
- Winnowed the best dozen targets



OPTICON: 5 nights OHP/SOPHIE in 2015A (April)

ESO: 5 nights 3.6m/HARPS Sept 2015

Search for short-period planets using RV method

> BRIGHT hosts of masslosing, low-mass, short period rocky planets?

# Absorbing gas constrained to orbital plane?



BRIGHT hosts of masslosing, low-mass, short period TRANSITING rocky planets??

## The Dispersed Matter Planet Project: Targets



## 39 targets, all d < ~100pc

BRIGHT hosts of masslosing, low-mass, short period TRANSITING rocky planets??

Unevolved Main Sequence population, 0.4 < B-V < 1.2, sample of 2716 stars

#### The Dispersed Matter Planet Project: Characterisation transiting exoplanet candidates from Kepler ~10 times more distant Microlensing planets in Galactic bulge than SuperWASP planets our Sun $-26\,000\,\mathrm{light}$ -years -Milky Way Galaxy 100 000 000 000 stars Transit surveys like

SuperWASP sensitive to more distant host stars

RV discoveries restricted to nearby DMPP systems have distances stars typical of RV discoveries

## The Dispersed Matter Planet Project: Targets



# 39 targets, all d < ~100pc

BRIGHT hosts of masslosing, low-mass, short period TRANSITING rocky planets??

Unevolved Main Sequence population, 0.4 < B-V < 1.2, sample of 2716 stars Haswell, Staab, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Fossati, Jenkins, Norton, Doherty, Cooper 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10874



Unevolved Main Sequence population, 0.4 < B-V < 1.2, sample of 2716 stars

#### Dispersed Matter Planet Project (DMPP): RV signal detection

- short period planets detected where sufficient data (> 60 RV points)
  - Sticking-point is pinning down ephemeris: Aliasing a big problem
  - False Alarm Probability (FAP) used to assess signal
  - 1% or 0.1% FAP common in literature
  - 10%, 1% and 0.1% indicated

DMPP-1: 4 (or 5?) planets Porb ~ 2.88 - 18.57 d ~3.3  $M_{\oplus}$  - ~24  $M_{\oplus}$ DMPP-1: Staab, Haswell, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Fossati, Doherty, Cooper, Jenkins, Diaz & Soto, 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10792



#### **DMPP-1 HARPS observations**



DMPP-1 148 observations over 3 years
 c.f. 373 observations over 13 years for 4 planets orbiting HD 215152
 DMPP-1: Staab, Haswell, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Delisle et al arXiv:1802.04631
 Fossati, Doherty, Cooper, Jenkins, Diaz & Soto, 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10792

#### DMPP-1 bisectors show parallel shifts



Bisectors Bisectors shifted by measured RV DMPP-1: Staab, Haswell, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Fossati, Doherty, Cooper, Jenkins, Diaz & Soto, 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10792





Image credit: Mark Garlick

If dispersed gas in orbital plane, <u>transits likely</u> DMPP-1: Staab, Haswell, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Fossati, Doherty, Cooper, Jenkins, Diaz & Soto, 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10792





TESS data on DMPP-1: marginal transit detection? (<100 ppm threshold) DMPP-1 TESS analysis: Jones, Haswell, Barnes, Staab 2019, in prep



TESS data on DMPP-1: marginal transit detection? (<100 ppm threshold) DMPP-1 TESS analysis: Jones, Haswell, Barnes, Staab 2019, in prep





TESS data on DMPP-1: marginal transit detection? (<100 ppm threshold)

## Need to search with CHEOPS

Larger aperture, should detect really shallow transits

#### DMPP-1 TESS analysis: Jones, Haswell, Barnes, Staab 2019, in prep



а

7.8

7.7

0.94

7.5

7.6

0.94

7.5

7.6

velocity [km/s]

Measured best fit orbit subtracted measured RV subtracted REFLEX RV orbit + line profile changes Haswell, Staab, Barnes, Anglada-Escude, Fossati, Jenkins, Norton, Doherty, Cooper 2019, Nature Astronomy arXiv:1912.10874

7.7

velocity [km/s]

b

7.8

0.0

-0.1

0.0

0.1

velocity [km/s]

0.2

С

0.3

0.4

#### DMPP-2b: fold on ~5.2d , K~40 m/s, Saturn mass





#### DMPP-2b:

Bisector Span Periodgram

FWHM Periodogram



line profile variability does not show RV period Genuine reflex RV detected

#### DMPP-2b:

Highly significant FAP << 0.1% detection of ~5.2d or ~6d period K ~40 m/s

Saturn mass planet orbiting a bright, nearby pulsating star.

Interior planet(s) w/ Mass below detection threshold possible

If dispersed gas in orbital plane, <u>transits likely</u>





#### DMPP-2b:

Highly significant FAP << 0.1% detection of ~5.2d or ~6d period K ~40 m/s



Saturn mass planet orbiting a bright, nearby pulsating star.

Interior planet(s) w/ Mass below detection threshold possible

If dispersed gas in orbital plane, <u>transits likely</u>

> Image credit: Mark Garlick



#### DMPP-3 eccentric binary system



**DMPP-3A** : 0.87 M<sub>☉</sub> (K0V)

**DMPP3-B** : 0.077 M  $_{\odot}$  (80M<sub>Jup</sub> - i.e. close to lowest possible mass of a hydrogen burning star)

#### DMPP-3 eccentric binary system



**DMPP-3A** : 0.87 M<sub>☉</sub> (K0V)

#### **DMPP3-B** : 0.077 M <sub>☉</sub>

(80M<sub>Jup</sub> - i.e. close to lowest possible mass of a hydrogen burning star)

#### DMPP-3: S-type planet in tightest known binary system

#### DMPP-3Ab

- circumprimary super-Earth
  - 2.58  $M_{\oplus}$
  - 6.67 d
  - a = 0.066 AU
  - e = 0.14
- orbit stable > 10<sup>6</sup> years
- *a | e* cycle over 800 yrs
  - 0.0 < e < 0.18
  - *−* ∆*a* = 0.1 %

 $\textbf{DMPP-3A}: 0.87~M_{\odot}~(K0V)$ 

**DMPP3-В** : 0.077 М <sub>⊙</sub>



(80M<sub>Jup</sub> - i.e. close to lowest possible mass of a hydrogen burning star)

# DMPP-3: S-type planet in tightest known binary system

#### DMPP-3Ab

- circumprimary super-Earth
  - 2.58  $M_{\oplus}$
  - 6.67 d
  - a = 0.066 AU
  - e = 0.14
- orbit stable >  $10^6$  years
- a / e cycle over 800 yrs
  - 0.0 < e < 0.18
  - Δa = 0.1 %

 $\textbf{DMPP-3A}: 0.87~M_{\odot}~(K0V)$ 

**DMPP3-B** : 0.077 M  $_{\odot}$  (80M<sub>Jup</sub> - i.e. close to lowest possible mass of a hydrogen burning star)

# DMPP-3: S-type planet in tightest known binary system

#### DMPP-3Ab

- circumprimary super-Earth
- DMPP-3B drives eccenticity
- How did DMPP-3Ab get there?
  - Kozai-Lidov evolution?

**DMPP-3A** : 0.87 M<sub>☉</sub> (K0V)

**DMPP3-B** : 0.077 M  $_{\odot}$  (80M<sub>Jup</sub> - i.e. close to lowest possible mass of a hydrogen burning star)

## The Dispersed Matter Planet Project: Targets

Target(s)	Nobs	Status
DMPP-1	148	Compact multi-planet system
DMPP-2	56	Planet orbiting pulsating star
DMPP-3	93 + 8 archival	Circumprimary super-Earth in binary star
		system.
P1-N (DMPP-4)	108	At least two periodic signals, GIARPS
		observations pending. Under analysis.
P1-S (DMPP-5)	81	Probable 1.6M $\oplus$ in 2.4d orbit + firm 12 M $\oplus$
		in 19.8 d orbit (no correlations w/ activity
		indicators). Under analysis.
LP-S	6 +73 archival	Long period 4 MJ giant planet, more DMPP
		observations needed to search for short period
		planets.
3 targets	< 60	Encouraging short period, low amplitude
		periodic signals
2 targets	< 60	$\sim 2 M_{\text{Earth}}$ planets in sub-day orbits appear to
		be excluded
5 targets	< 60	Unclear RV behaviour. More observations
		required.
1 target	< 60	Unclear RV behaviour. Probable pulsator,
		with pulsation-driven RV variability.
22 targets	0	Observations required.
1 target	7	$\log R'_{\rm HK}$ -5.1 from our spectra. Dropped.

# 39 targets d <100pc

DMPP planets found *around* not *within* Neptunian desert



DMPP planets so far

NOT caught in short-lived mass-losing phase crossing the desert



DMPP planets transiting subset will add new dimension to radius valley / Fulton gap

2500 100 DMPP-1b DMPP-1 d DMPP-2 b DMPP-3A b DMPP-1 c 10 2000 M<sub>p</sub> sin i [M<sub>Jup</sub>] 1500 1000 0.1 500 0.01 0.001 0 1 10 100 P<sub>orb</sub> [d]

M<sub>n</sub> sin i vs P<sub>orb</sub>



DMPP-1 planets & DMPP-3Ab Among the most irradiated known low mass planets

DMPP-1: Staab, Haswell,
Barnes, Anglada-Escude,
Fossati, Doherty, Cooper,
Jenkins, Diaz & Soto,
2019, Nature Astronomy
arXiv:1912.10792





# Mass-losing close-in planets e.g. Kepler 1520b, WASP-12b have HUGE scale-heights



Fossati, Haswell et al 2010, Haswell, Fossati et al 2012, Rappaport et al 2012, ApJ, 752, 1 Brogi et al 2012 A&A 545, L5



## **Planet Compositions**

If exclude planets w/  $\sigma(M_P) > 6\%$ diagram would contain 55 Cnc e + solar system planets

DMPP systems: provide > 12 more well-constrained planets.  $M_P$ ,  $R_P$  & composition directly?

Zeng, Sasselov & Jacobsen 2016 ApJ 819, 127



#### ERGO: new samples of stars



- Larger parent samples of inactive solar type stars
- intrinsically more active solar type stars
- evolved stars

#### DMPP planets with ARIEL:

- Kepler 1520b is detectable only by its dust cloud
- Bright analogues and progenitors amenable to gas phase transmission spectroscopy
- Transmission spectroscopy of mineral-rich atmospheres

talks yesterday

- Transmission spectroscopy of dispersed material
- Small planets amenable to phase curve investigations compact multi-planet system orbiting a naked-eye star DMPP paper in prep

# mass-radius-composition relation(s) for small planets



# Conclusions



- DMPP Anomalously low stellar activity efficient selection of short-period planet hosts
- •diffuse circumstellar gas originating from ablating planets VERY EXTENDED ATMOSPHERES!
- Showed you
  - DMPP-1 compact multi-planet system, 4 or 5 planets, Neptune and super-Earths
  - DMPP-2 Saturn mass planet orbiting bright pulsating star
  - DMPP-3 506d eccentric brown dwarf system with circumprimary super-Earth
- If gas confined to orbital plane: TRANSITS! DMPP-1 TESS ☺
- Ablating planets allow bulk composition to be sampled with transmission spectroscopy
- Key characterisation targets
- DMPP planets will be key for exogeology