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1) Executive summary

Constraints on the formation of a planetary system can be derived from observations of interstellar clouds, star-forming regions and exoplanets, enabling the characterization of the diversity of ingredients, processes, and products of stellar formation. The study of nascent extra-solar stellar systems and their planets is however limited by our inability to study the formation processes of a single system over the entire formation interval, which takes millions of years. In addition, since these are distant systems, it is not possible to examine all the processes, especially those that leave specific imprints in the chemical, isotopic, and structural makeup of dust and minerals, i.e., at micrometer- and submicrometer-scales (Messenger et al. 2006). The study of our Solar System provides the complementary information and in particular a complete chronology of the major events that shaped it. In the case of the Solar System, these events resulted in the formation of an inhabited planetary system. Confronting the astrophysical view of planet formation as observed across the Galaxy to that derived for the Solar System is of prime importance to assess whether the processes governing the formation of our planetary system were the exception or the rule.

For that purpose, extra-terrestrial samples, which date from the early stages of the Solar System, are of fundamental importance. As a matter of fact, the most detailed information on the processes, conditions, and timescales of the early history of the Solar System has so far come from the study of extra-terrestrial samples in Earth-based laboratories. Most of them are delivered naturally to Earth and occur in the form of rocks (meteorites), fragments (micrometeorites), or dust (interplanetary dusts particles, IDPs). This suite of samples is among the most studied in Earth and Planetary Science laboratories and has enabled us to probe some of the constituents of the solar accretion disk (chondrules, refractory inclusions, matrix, macromolecular organics), to examine in detail the first steps of planetesimal formation (agglomeration of dust, impacts, differentiation) and to determine the timing of different processes (absolute and relative).

However, cosmochemistry (the science of extra-terrestrial samples) is tied to the type of sample available for laboratory studies. The present day cosmochemical view of Solar System formation is limited by biases inherent to the fact that most samples are collected passively, at 1 astronomical unit. First, direct information on the origin of most samples within the Solar System is generally lost. Second, the Earth’s atmosphere plays an important role in filtering out most of the fine-grained material (μ-meteorites and IDPs) against strongly lithified objects (meteorites). Last, the volatiles (ices) and most semi-volatile (salts) species are largely lost during the orbital transfer from the source region to the Earth.

The last thirty years of cosmochemistry and planetary science have shown that one major Solar System reservoir is vastly undersampled in the available suite of extra-terrestrial materials, namely small bodies that formed in the outer Solar System (>10AU). Because various dynamical evolutionary processes have modified their initial orbits (e.g., giant planet migration, resonances), these objects can be found today across the entire Solar System as P/D near-Earth and main-belt asteroids, Jupiter and Neptune Trojans, comets, Centaurs, and small (diameter <200km) trans-Neptunian objects. This reservoir is of tremendous interest, as it is recognized as the least processed since the dawn of the Solar System and thus the closest to the starting materials from which the Solar System formed. This is underlined by the extremely interesting results obtained by in-situ studies of isotopic compositions of matter from comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko by ESA’s Rosetta mission (see Hoppe et al. 2018 for a review), and from laboratory studies of anhydrous chondritic porous interplanetary dust particles (CP-IDPs) (Ishii et al. 2008), ultra-carbonaceous Antarctic micrometeorites (UCCAMs) (Duprat et al. 2010), and matter from comet 81P/Wild 2 returned to Earth in 2006 by NASA’s Stardust mission (Brownlee et al. 2006).
The next major breakthroughs in planetary science will come from studying outer Solar System samples in the laboratory, but this can only be achieved by an L-class mission that directly collects and returns to Earth materials from this reservoir. The proposed strategy consists in 1) a direct trajectory to the rendezvous target, 2) a reconnaissance of the terrain with an orbiter payload including an optical camera, a near-infrared spectrometer and a thermal infrared camera, 3) collection of surface/subsurface samples (at least two locations) that are volatile and dust rich and 4) return of the samples to Earth. The re-entry capsule must be able to conserve the samples at cryogenic temperature. The selected target should be as primitive as possible which might exclude near-Earth objects from the candidate list. Comets and P/D main belt asteroids including main belt comets would then appear as the most accessible and scientifically valuable targets, with comets being our preferred targets because of their activity that can be used to characterize the volatiles and also because their surface should be more “primitive”.

By ticking the “sample return” box for the first time, ESA would join the other main space agencies (e.g., NASA, JAXA, CNSA) across the world and therefore maintain Europe at the highest level in the field of Solar System exploration. By bringing back to Earth intact samples of the population of starting materials, ESA would make history. The scientific value of such a mission would largely rival (to say the least) that of any interplanetary mission that has flown before.

Figure 1: Postulated sequence of events tracing the formation and early evolution of the Solar System. The most primitive Solar System bodies were born small (diameter <250 km) and far from the Sun. After their formation, some were injected into the inner Solar System as a consequence of giant planet migration (Nice model).
2) Deciphering the birth of the Solar System

For decades the Solar System was assumed to be the prototype for planetary system formation. With the detection of over 4000 confirmed exoplanets, it has become apparent that many planetary systems exist that differ substantially in their structural properties from our Solar System, most notably with respect to the distribution of the planetary masses in the system. Nevertheless, the formation of the Solar System is still of special interest for several reasons. First, it is only for the Solar System that we can directly examine material that is left over from the formation process in the form of meteorites and interplanetary dust particles. Second, only for the Solar System do we have detailed structural and temporal information about the entire system including its smaller bodies. Last but not least, it is only for the Solar System that we know for sure that life exists (Pfalzner et al. 2015). Hereafter, we summarize our current understanding of the formation and early evolution of the Solar System, which is derived to a large extent from the study of extra-terrestrial samples (meteorites, interplanetary dust particles) and of their parent bodies. These are, with a few exceptions, the small bodies (asteroids, giant planet Trojans and irregular satellites, Kuiper belt objects, and comets).

The protosun and solar nebula were formed ~4.6 Gyrs ago (as attested by U-Pb dating of Ca-Al-rich inclusions in chondritic meteorites; Amelin et al. 2002, 2011; Bouvier et al. 2007) by the self-gravitational collapse of a dense molecular cloud core, like new stars being formed today in regions of active star formation (Fig. 1). The population of solid materials initially present in the molecular cloud from which the Solar System formed comprised materials with a variety of origins. An important constituent is interstellar dust, most of which is assumed to have formed in the interstellar medium (ISM) (Zhukovska et al. 2016), while stardust, mostly from asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and supernovae, identified as so-called presolar grains in primitive Solar System materials (Zinner 2014), was estimated to account for a few percent of interstellar dust (Hoppe et al. 2017). Interstellar dust grains have been altered to various degrees by high-energy radiations while in the diffuse ISM.

According to spectroscopic observations of nascent extra-solar systems and the dense cloud environments in which they form, the starting materials included small (<1 µm diameter) silicate grains that were essentially amorphous in nature (e.g., Kemper et al., 2004), carbonaceous grains (Sandford et al. 1991, Pendleton et al. 1994), and a variety of ice species and complex organic molecules.

When the solar nebula evolved into a Keplerian disk, chemical differentiation began. The density and temperature profiles of the disk (inversely correlated with heliocentric distance; Fig. 1) lead to various degrees of chemical, isotopic and mineralogical alteration of the primordial materials as a function of heliocentric distance. While the inner parts of the disk reached temperatures high enough to vaporize silicates, the outer portions of the nebula remained at cryogenic temperatures. It follows that during the protoplanetary disk phase, the starting materials were best preserved in bodies that formed in the outer Solar System. Furthermore, the simultaneous presence of low- and high-temperature mineral phases – believed to have formed respectively far from and close to the Sun – in chondritic (primitive) meteorites and in comets (Lisse et al. 2006, Zolensky et al. 2006, Nakamura et al. 2008), implies that radial mixing in the protosolar disk played a prominent role in shaping the composition of small bodies (Ciesla 2007).

In less than 1 Myr and still during the disk phase, first generations of bodies, the planetesimals, formed. This included small bodies such as the parent bodies of iron and achondritic meteorites (like the terrestrial planets, these small bodies underwent differentiation) but also the massive cores of planets such as Jupiter. An early formation of Jupiter’s massive core is currently proposed as the
origin of the observed isotopic dichotomy between non-carbonaceous and carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., Kruijer et al. 2017). The last generations of bodies to form were the parent bodies of chondritic meteorites in the inner Solar System (<~10AU) and of interplanetary dust particles in the outer Solar System (>~10AU; Neveu & Vernazza 2019) as well as the terrestrial planets. Concerning small bodies, recent modelling work (Neveu & Vernazza 2019) suggests that the timescale of completion of formation was positively correlated with their formation location. Accretion ended earlier inward of the snow line (~2Myr for ordinary chondrite parent bodies; Henke et al. 2012; Monnerneau et al. 2013) than just beyond Jupiter (3–4 Myr, CM-like bodies), which itself stopped earlier than for IDP-like objects farther out (>5 Myr for bodies at ~8 au and >6 Myr at ~20 au). Given that the early thermal evolution of small bodies was mainly governed by the decay of the short-lived radionuclide $^{26}\text{Al}$ (half life of ~0.7 Myr), this implies that a significant fraction of the starting materials escaped global scale parent-body alteration (such as differentiation, aqueous alteration and/or thermal metamorphism) in bodies that formed at large heliocentric distances, namely among IDP-like bodies.

Small bodies and their associated fragments that formed farther from the Sun thus appear as the most pristine Solar System objects because they formed in the coldest region of the protoplanetary disk and because they formed sufficiently late to be little affected by $^{26}\text{Al}$ heating. Spectroscopic surveys of small bodies (Vernazza et al. 2015, see Vernazza & Beck 2017) currently imply that chondritic porous IDPs are the most likely samples for most of these bodies. Although mineralogically different from IDPs, also the Tagish Lake meteorite might be a fragment of a small body that formed in the outer region of the protoplanetary disk (Vernazza et al. 2017, Fujiya et al. 2019).

The present orbits and optical colors of small bodies further imply that the migration of giant planets governed the subsequent dynamical evolution of the Solar System and thus modified its initial architecture (e.g., Tsiganis et al. 2005, Gomes et al. 2005, Morbidelli et al. 2005, Levison et al. 2009, Walsh et al. 2011). One important outcome from these models, which is further supported by current

Figure 2 (from Neveu & Vernazza 2019): Postulated sequence of events tracing the time, place, and duration of formation of small bodies (top) to present-day observed characteristics (bottom; vertical spread reproducing roughly the distribution of orbital inclinations). The accretion duration is shown as gradient boxes ending at the fully formed bodies. Numerical simulations suggest that volatile-rich IDP-like bodies (blue dots; B, C, Ch, Cg, P, D, comets, grey and ultra-red KBOs) accreted their outer layers after 5-6 Myrs.
spectroscopic surveys (Vernazza & Beck 2017; Fig 1 & Fig. 2), is that primitive trans-Neptunian objects were inserted in the inner Solar System and can now be recognized as P/D near-Earth and main-belt asteroids and as Jupiter Trojans in addition to comets, Centaurs and present trans-Neptunian objects.

Whereas primitive IDP-like small bodies are - by far - the largest population of small bodies (Fig. 3), they are the least understood bodies due to the fact that we do NOT possess representative unaltered samples (at least cm scale) of these bodies in our collections. Bodies that were formed in the outer Solar System should therefore be considered as prime targets for Solar System exploration, and in particular in the framework of a sample return mission. Laboratory analysis of samples of these bodies would provide a major breakthrough in our understanding of Solar System formation and the path to an inhabited planetary system.

A collective brainstorming exercise between ground and space observers and astro/cosmochemists identified the following top-level science objectives that justify a sample return mission of a primitive small body:

- What is the path to an inhabited planetary system?
- What were the initial ingredients of the Solar System and how were these ingredients distributed around the young Sun?
- What is the fraction of presolar material that survived until today in outer Solar System bodies?
- How diverse was the origin of the starting materials and what was the environment of the pre-solar cloud core?
- What is the pathway of life-forming elements (C,H,N,O) from the interstellar medium to the Solar System?
- How and when did planetesimals accrete in the outer Solar System?

3) Extra-terrestrial samples: a partial and biased view of Solar System building blocks

The suite of extra-terrestrial materials offers a unique opportunity to study early Solar System processes in the laboratory, and to understand the nature of the small bodies population. Still, the science value of these samples is dependent on our understanding of where they come from (parent bodies) and whether or not they sample all reservoirs of early Solar System materials.

In the following we will describe briefly the nature of extra-terrestrial materials and present how they sample the small bodies population.

3.1 Meteorites ARE NOT representative samples of primitive small bodies

The most detailed information on the processes, conditions, and timescales of the early history of the Solar System has so far come from the study of meteorites. This stems from the fact that they represent more than 99.9% of the mass of extra-terrestrial materials in our collections (Fig.
Meteorites have been classified into around 50 compositional groups. Such diversity results from differences in the nature of the constituents that were accreted onto the parent bodies and differences in the time of accretion (which impacted the early thermal evolution). Meteorites are classified into chondrites (from undifferentiated bodies) and achondrites (from differentiated parent bodies). In addition to preserving the earliest nebular condensates, the least-altered meteorites (some chondrites) contain traces of the starting materials, including interstellar dust grains and molecular cloud material. The most primitive meteorites contain small concentrations (ppb to ~200 ppm) of presolar grains that formed around evolved stars and in the ejecta of stellar explosions (Zinner 2014). Known presolar minerals with a stellar origin are silicon carbide, graphite, silicon nitride, oxides (e.g., \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) and \(\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4\)), and various silicates.

However, even the most primitive meteorites are comprised almost entirely of secondary materials formed within the solar nebula or their parent bodies. The most notable of these secondary materials are chondrules (mm-sized silicate spherules), which are the result of a process that converted most of the nebular solids into molten spherules. The chondrule-formation process overprinted earlier generations of solids.

Notably, meteorites are rocks, and therefore experienced a lithification process, that agglutinated components into a cohesive sample. It is currently understood that this lithification process was mainly driven by the early thermal evolution of the parent body, which experienced early heating via the radioactive decay of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) and thus processes such as differentiation, metamorphism and aqueous alteration. Impact compaction may have played a role as well (Grimm and McSween, 1993; Brearley, 2006; Bland et al., 2014; Davison et al. 2016). As a consequence of the early thermal evolution of their parent bodies, none of the currently known meteorites can be considered as truly primitive (Brearley, 2006; Beck et al., 2014a,b; Garenne et al., 2016; Bonal et al.)
2016). This also includes the Tagish Lake carbonaceous chondrite, which might be a fragment of the aqueously altered core of an IDP-like asteroid (Vernazza et al. 2017) that formed in the outer region of the Solar System, beyond the orbits of Uranus and Neptune, or possibly even in the Kuiper belt (Fuyija et al. 2019). Tagish Lake is very C-rich (4-5 %), and has a high porosity and unusually low contents of chondrules and calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions (CAIs) (Hiroi et al. 2001). However, presolar silicate abundances are low, the result of aqueous alteration on the parent body (Floss & Haenecour 2016). CP-IDPs have much higher presolar silicate abundances, which are comparable to those observed in matter from comet 81P/Wild 2 (Floss & Haenecour 2016). CP-IDPs can thus be considered to represent more primitive material than the Tagish Lake meteorite.

Spectroscopic observations of small bodies have allowed identifying the parent bodies of the main meteorite classes (OCs, HEDs, CM chondrites to name a few) and to characterize their distribution across the Solar System (see Vernazza & Beck 2017 for a review). These observations have shown that the meteorite parent bodies are all located in the main asteroid belt (between 2 and 3.3 AU) and are absent beyond ~4AU (Fig. 1, 2). Such distribution is compatible with a formation in the inner Solar System (< ~10 AU) for these bodies.

Telescopic observations of small bodies have further revealed that a large fraction (~50%) of the surface material of main belt asteroids (at least 30% of all C-type asteroids most P and D-types) as well as comets, Centaurs and trans-Neptunian objects appear unsampled by our meteorite collections. Instead, interplanetary dust particles (IDPs) may be representative samples of the surface material of these bodies (Bradley et al. 1996, Vernazza et al. 2015, 2017, Vernazza & Beck 2017). In particular, it is now well established that the water-rich Tagish Lake meteorite cannot be representative of the surface composition of D-type asteroids as suggested earlier (Hiroi et al. 2001). Instead, the Tagish Lake meteorite and possibly CI chondrites may be samples of the aqueously altered cores of these bodies (Vernazza et al. 2017). Note that a definitive proof of this suggestion is currently missing.

3.2 Interplanetary dust particles: only partially representative of primitive bodies

IDPs, the likely samples of the most primitive Solar System bodies, differ from meteorites in being much smaller (<2 mm), more plentiful (they contribute most of the mass of extraterrestrial material that comes to the present-day Earth) and different in texture and composition (Bradley 2005). In particular, some classes of IDPs appear to be the most primitive material in the Solar System and at present provide our best source of information on the nature and evolution of the particles in the preplanetary solar nebula (Bradley 1999, Flynn et al. 2016, Levasseur-Regourd et al. 2018). IDPs are currently classified into two main classes (chondritic porous IDPs and phyllosilicate-rich IDPs; Bradley 2005) with chondritic porous IDPs (CP IDPs) currently recognized among the available extra-terrestrial materials as the closest to the starting ones.

CP IDPs are structurally similar to cometary materials in being extremely fine-grained, porous, and fragile (Bradley and Brownlee, 1986, Rietmeijer and McKinnon, 1987). In fact, CP IDPs are so fragile that these materials are unlikely to survive atmospheric entry as macroscopic bodies, so it is not surprising that similar materials are not represented in the meteorite collections. CP IDPs are largely aggregates of subgrains <0.5 µm in diameter, with rare grains larger than several micrometers. The subgrains are solid nonporous matter containing a mix of submicrometer glass with embedded metal and sulfides (GEMS) (Bradley 1999), organic materials, olivine, pyroxene, pyrrhotite, less-well-defined materials, and a number of less-abundant phases (Bradley 2005). GEMS grains are submicrometer amorphous Mg-Si-Al-Fe silicate grains that contain numerous 10–50-nm-sized FeNi metal and Fe-Ni sulfides, comprising up to 50 wt% of anhydrous IDPs. CP IDPs are highly enriched in C [2–3× CI (Thomas et al., 1993)] and volatile trace elements (Flynn et al., 1993)
relative to CI carbonaceous chondrites. Detailed chemical, mineralogical, and isotopic studies of these particles show that they have experienced minimal parent-body alteration (as opposed to CI and CM chondrites they escaped aqueous alteration), and are rich in presolar materials, e.g., presolar silicates (Floss & Haennecour 2016).

Figure 4: Illustration of the sampling bias of IDP-like bodies with respect to meteorite-like ones based on current extra-terrestrial samples. Collected IDPs are far too small to be representative by any means of the overall composition of the body they originate from. Also, IDP-like bodies are volatile-rich and we crucially need to bring back intact volatiles from these objects to Earth to make progress in our understanding of their origin and composition.

One major difficulty with IDPs is to understand to what extent a given particle is representative of an entire body and to what extent its most fragile compounds have been lost or altered during its journey to Earth and/or during atmospheric entry. Using the texture of primitive meteorites such as type 3 ordinary chondrites or CV chondrites as a benchmark (Fig. 4) tells us that a 100 µm-sized IDP (this is the typical size of an IDP) cannot be representative by any means of a body at the 4 to 5 cm scale (which is the typical size of most recovered meteoritic samples) not to say of the bulk of its parent body. To sum up the difficulty of interpreting the IDP record, one should imagine all meteorites sieved into ~100 µm-sized fragments and subsequently mixed and dispersed. It would be impossible to retrieve the elemental, mineralogical and isotopic composition of individual meteorite classes from this mixture. That is exactly the problem we are facing with IDPs.

IDPs also do not inform us about the composition of the volatiles present within their parent bodies. Yet, the low densities of IDP-like asteroids (see Vernazza & Beck 2017 for a review) and those of comets and KBOs imply that volatiles must be a main component of these bodies. Volatiles have been relatively well characterized in the case of comets but it remains to be determined whether the same volatiles are present in IDP-like asteroids, centaurs, and KBOs. The volatile composition may also vary from C- to –P to D-types informing us about a compositional trend in the protoplanetary disk.
In conclusion, although IDPs contribute most of the extraterrestrial material that comes to the present-day Earth, their ultimate composition and their link with their parent population is far less understood than in the meteorite case. This stems from the fact that (i) the scientifically exploitable mass of material available to the science community is smaller by at least 12 orders of magnitude in the IDP case than in the meteorite one, (ii) individual IDPs are not informative of the bulk composition of their parent body and (iii) their parent bodies are not made of refractory material only but of volatiles as well (major fractions of volatiles obviously do not make it to the ground nor do they survive near-Earth temperatures).

![The outer Solar System reservoir](image)

**Figure 5**: Overview of the currently available spectral data over the near-infrared and mid-infrared spectral ranges for P/D-type main belt asteroids, Jupiter Trojans and comets suggesting a common origin for these now dynamically separated populations.

4) The next step: sample return of primitive matter from the outer Solar System

Reaching a global understanding of Solar System formation and evolution requires, inter alia, to possess representative samples from all major compositional classes of small bodies in our collections. So far, this is only the case for the parent bodies of meteorites, which represent less than 50% (in mass) of all Solar System small bodies (Fig. 3). Small bodies that appear unconnected to
meteorites should therefore be targeted in priority. Among them, those that may be connected to CP IDPs appear as the most promising targets for future exploration (see previous section). These comprise the P- and D-type asteroids (both main belt and near-Earth), the Jupiter Trojans, the comets, the Centaurs and small (D<250km) KBOs (Vernazza et al. 2015, 2017, Vernazza & Beck 2017). Note that both Ryugu and Bennu, targets of the Hayabusa 2 and OSIRIS-Rex missions, are C-type asteroids and thus less primitive than P/D types and comets (see Fig. 2).

The Nice model – which invokes a late outward migration of Uranus and Neptune (Gomes et al., 2005; Tsiganis et al., 2005; Morbidelli et al., 2005; Levison et al., 2009) - implies that the P/D-type main belt asteroids (and thus P/D near-Earth asteroids) and the Trojans of Jupiter likely have the same origin as outer Solar System small bodies such as Centaurs, short period comets and small (D<250km) trans-Neptunian objects. Available spectroscopic observations of these populations as well as the similarity in size distributions between the Jupiter Trojans and trans-Neptunian objects (Fraser et al. 2014) support such hypothesis (Fig. 5). It thus appears that both the near-Earth and main belt asteroid population host a fraction of bodies that were formed in the outskirts of the young Solar System. In addition, an in-depth analysis of the spectral properties of these bodies along with numerical simulation that attempt to decipher their early thermal evolution imply that these objects have been barely affected by heating processes since their formation (Neveu & Vernazza 2019).

These objects (P/D type asteroids, Jupiter Trojans, comets, centaurs, small KBOs) - which seem to be genetically linked - thus appear as the most primitive known bodies in the Solar System. Based on current knowledge, they are the largest population of small bodies in the Solar System and they appear as the most likely parent bodies of CP IDPs, which are so far the closest materials to the starting ones. The fact that the Tagish Lake meteorite and CP-IDPs, which have very different mineralogies and evolutionary histories, are likely from small bodies that formed in the outer Solar System underlines the importance for a careful selection of the target asteroid in this proposal (see section 6). The lack of representative samples with CP-IDP composition in our collections of these primitive bodies implies that many major questions regarding the formation of these objects and that of the Solar System are still unanswered. We list them hereafter.

4.1 What is the path to an inhabited planetary system?

This is the founding interrogation of this proposal. There are abundant astrophysical observations of the various stages of planet formation from the molecular clouds to protoplanetary disks to exoplanets. But they are snapshots at a given time, which often do not probe all chemical reservoirs, and only offer a partial view of the path to a planetary system. This proposal aims to understand the mechanisms from which a molecular cloud evolved into a planetary system. It is a case study, the Solar System, which happens to have evolved into an inhabited planetary system.

4.2 What were the initial ingredients of the Solar System and how were these ingredients distributed around the Young Sun?

What silicates?

Whereas silicate grains in the interstellar medium appear to be dominantly amorphous, current observation of P/D main belt asteroids, Jupiter Trojans and comets reveal a mixture of amorphous and crystalline silicates (Fig. 5). Furthermore, in all the aforementioned populations, there are objects enriched in crystalline olivine with respect to pyroxene whereas the remaining objects tend to have
about as much crystalline pyroxene as olivine (Vernazza et al., 2015), thus implying two main primordial reservoirs of primitive small bodies as well as a compositional gradient in the primordial outer protoplanetary disk (10-40 AU).

Analyses in the laboratory of CP IDPs (the probable analog materials of these bodies) reveal a similar mixture of amorphous and crystalline silicates. Nonetheless, the relative abundance of the two phases (amorphous vs crystalline) in primitive bodies remains an open question that these samples haven’t addressed because of their small scale. Having representative samples of the outer Solar System will provide constraints on the exact nature/composition of the silicates and thus on the level and radial extent of thermal processing of the silicates in the protoplanetary disk.

What organics?

The nature and distribution of organic molecular material in the present-day Solar System is ultimately related to its origins, although dynamical interactions and processing have modified all but the most pristine. Diffuse interstellar and dense molecular clouds, of the kind that spawned the Sun and planets, contain copious quantities of the basic building blocks that led to ices and complex molecules of interest (e.g., Pendleton & Allamandola 2002; Boogert et al. 2015). The early chemistry of our own Solar System and of other planetary systems is thought to depend, largely, upon the degree to which organic and ice components form (in the solid phase on dust grains or directly in the gas phase), are exchanged between the gas and solid state (as grains experience energetic processing) and survive in the developing planetary system (precursor and more complex materials).

So far, the question as to whether organics found in meteorites and IDPs have a solar system origin or an ISM heritage has remained unanswered. Attempts to answer this question are hampered by poor sampling of solar system organics. The Rosetta mission to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko has emphasized the fact that some objects are extremely enriched in organics when compared to meteorites and IDPs (and inner Solar System objects). The estimated fraction of organic materials in cometary dust based on the Rosetta measurements is around 45-weight % (Herique et al., 2017, Bardyn et al. 2017). These results have opened the idea that the outer Solar System is the host of “organic worlds”, while such organic-rich samples are extremely rare among the suite of cosmomaterials, and are limited to a few small dust particles (UCAMMs, Duprat et al., 2010). Obtaining a sample of an outer Solar System small body would be key in addressing the nature and origin of extra-terrestrial organics.

What volatiles?

Comets are currently the most important source of knowledge regarding the nature and relative abundance of the volatiles that were incorporated in outer Solar System bodies.

More than 20 primary chemical species have now been detected in comets via spectroscopic surveys at infrared and radio wavelengths (Bockelée-Morvan et al., 2004; Mumma and Charnley, 2011) and in-situ observations by the Rosetta spacecraft (Le Roy et al. 2015, Altwegg et al. 2019) including H₂O, CO, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₂, C₂H₆, CH₃OH, H₂CO, HOCH₂CH₂OH, HCOOH, HCOOCH₃, CH₃CHO, NH₂CHO, NH₃, HCN, HNCO, CH₂CN, HC₃N, H₂S, OCS, SO₂, H₂CS, and S₂ (see Altwegg et al. 2019 for a more complete list). H₂O is the most abundant species followed by CO₂. Similar species have been observed in the interstellar medium (e.g., Gibb et al. 2004).

In the Solar System, a fundamental question remains regarding the origin and early evolution of these volatiles. Specifically, to what degree is the volatile composition inherited from the parent
molecular cloud, and to what degree are the volatiles formed in situ within chemically active regions in the disk, resetting previous chemical signatures and losing memory of the interstellar phase? Providing answers to this question would allow constraints to be placed on the thermodynamical profile of the outer protoplanetary disk during the early Solar System.

Another major unknown is the crystallographic structure of the ice species. Is it mainly amorphous or crystalline or a mixture of both? Also, are clathrates present? Answers to these questions would allow achieving a proper understanding of the trapping mechanisms of noble gases.

Finally, the source of Earth’s water has been a matter of debate for decades: did water-rich asteroids or comets/TNOs deliver water to Earth? Some carbonaceous chondrites have been found to match the isotopic value (D/H) of Earth’s oceans whereas the majority of comets have a higher D/H ratio (Altwegg et al. 2015 and references therein). Yet, isotopic properties of water outgassed from cometary nuclei may be different due to fractionation effects at sublimation. In this case, all comets and by extension all objects formed in the outer Solar System may share the same Earth-like D/H ratio in water, with profound implications for the early Solar System and the origin of Earth’s oceans (Lis et al. 2019).

**Are there chondrules, CAIs, AOAs or other microstructures?**

Chondrules, AOAs (Amiboidal Olivine Agregates) and CAIs (Calcium Aluminium Inclusions) are ~mm-sized particles that record high-temperature processes and whose origin and formation process remains highly debated. It is currently proposed that AOAs and CAIs formed in the inner Solar System. Traces of these inclusions have been found in the Stardust samples of comet Wild 2. However, their overall abundance in primitive small bodies with respect to the remaining dust particles is currently unknown. Such information would provide valuable insights on the level of radial mixing in the Solar System accretion disk.

Moreover, we know via the current location of the chondrite (chondrule-rich meteorites) parent bodies (Fig. 2) that chondrule formation was an important process in the inner Solar System, but we do not know if this process occurred in the outer Solar System. Also, chondrule formation is expected to have been a motor of planetesimals formation (by pebble-accretion of self-gravitation, Alexander et al., 2008; Johansen et al., 2015). If chondrules were essentially absent in the outer Solar System, what drove planetesimal formation there?

**The radial compositional distribution around the young Sun**

One of the biggest unknowns regarding the composition of material around the young Sun is its radial distribution. We do not have direct evidence today on where the different classes of meteorites and IDPs formed in the protosolar nebula. The Stardust mission has revealed that some level of radial mixing occurred (Brownlee et al., 2006) during the earliest epochs. However, there is growing evidence that poorly-mixed reservoirs existed in the early Solar System as shown by stable isotope systematics of non-carbonaceous and carbonaceous chondrites (Warren et al., 2011; Budde et al., 2016; Fig. 6). One possible explanation for the observed isotopic dichotomy is the opening of a gap in the protosolar nebula generated by the formation of Jupiter (Kruijer et al. 2017). In that case, it is expected that Saturn (and possibly Uranus and Neptune) should also have opened a gap raising the possibility for a further isotopic dichotomy between carbonaceous chondritic material and trans-Saturnian (not to say trans-Neptunian) material.
Figure 6 (from Warren 2011): Isotopic dichotomy between carbonaceous and non-carbonaceous meteorites. It is currently proposed that non-carbonaceous and carbonaceous meteorites formed inward and outward, respectively, of Jupiter (Kruijer et al. 2017). These types of measurements require ultra-high precision that can only be achieved in Earth's laboratories.

4.3 What is the fraction of presolar material that survived until today in outer Solar System bodies?

Outer Solar System objects are expected to host the most primitive Solar System materials and in particular materials that were not modified by early Solar System processes, and that formed through condensation in outer shells of presolar stars or by condensation in supernova ejectas: these are the presolar grains (Zinner 2014). In the case of meteorites, such grains (SiC, Graphite, silicates, …) that predate the formation of the Solar System have been identified through their exotic isotopic composition in major and minor elements (O, Mg, Si, Ti, C, N,…). These small grains are rare in available cosmomaterials and are the oldest materials we have in hand. Based on the detection of cosmogenic nuclides in presolar SiC grains, which were produced by spallation from galactic cosmic rays, exposure ages in interstellar space between 10 Myr and 1 Gyr were inferred (Gygard et al. 2009; Heck et al. 2009).

The abundance of presolar grains that was incorporated into outer Solar System objects is not known today. Their nature is also unconstrained. In the case of meteorites, only very refractory grains have been identified. This is likely related to the fact that inner Solar System materials experienced high-temperature processes or/and aqueous alteration. What we see today are the “survivors”. It is very likely that outer Solar System objects contain a larger fraction of presolar grains, including types that could not have survived in the inner Solar System. Being able to study these grains would provide an unprecedented look at materials that were incorporated in the protosolar nebula. It is noteworthy in this respect that CP-IDPs contain on average about 2 times more presolar grains than the meteorites with the highest presolar grain abundances (Floss & Haennecour 2016) and that individual IDPs associated with comet 26P/Grigg-Skjellerup were observed to have presolar grain concentrations of up to 1.5 wt.% (Busemann et al. 2009), reaching the estimated abundance of a few percent of stardust in the presolar molecular cloud (Hoppe et al. 2017).
4.4 How diverse was the origin of the starting materials and what was the environment of the protosolar nebula and pre-solar cloud core?

The astrophysical environment of Solar System formation can be studied by looking at the structure of the constituents of the protosolar nebula. Having access to such materials can enable to probe the astrophysical environment of the protosolar nebula and the pre-solar cloud core. This can be done through key measurements that can only be achieved today in Earth-based laboratories. This includes the presence of short-lived radio-nuclides (e.g., $^{10}$Be, $^{26}$Al, $^{36}$Cl, $^{41}$Ca, $^{53}$Mn, $^{60}$Fe) which can form through distinct processes (injection from a late SN, continuous production in the Galaxy, irradiation in the early Solar System) to probe the few Myr before and after Solar System time 0. This also includes measurements of spallation products ($^6$Li for example) or cosmogenic isotopes of rare gases to probe the irradiation history of presolar grains as they travelled through the ISM.

4.5 What is the pathway of life-forming elements (C,H,N,O,S) from the interstellar medium to the Solar System?

Life forming elements (C,H,N,O,S) are amongst the most abundant in the Solar System. However, understanding the conditions for the emergence of life requires to have a full understanding of the chemical form in which these elements were delivered to Earth, and the chronology of the delivery (initial accretion, late accretion, Nice Model). Of particular interest, there is a highly active debate regarding the origin of extra-terrestrial organic compounds. Some authors are favouring a direct heritage from the interstellar medium, whereas others argue for a Solar System origin, whether as gas phase chemical products in the earliest phases, or as a product of water-rock interaction on the parent body.

Most of these elements are often considered to be volatiles. Indeed, they can be found as molecules or ices in the interstellar medium. But they can also occur in much more refractory states: complex refractory organic molecules (C,H,N,O,S), carbides (C), nitrides (N), oxides (O) as well as sulfides (S). This means that following the pathway of life-forming elements from the ISM to the Solar System requires characterizing both the volatiles and the refractory phase including the relative abundance of the two, and the distribution of each element across each reservoir. Having hand-samples of both the ice and mineral constituents of objects that formed in the outer Solar System would provide new groundbreaking knowledge on the carriers and origin of life-forming elements. The carriers of these elements could be assessed through a combination of mineralogy and organic chemistry, and the steps involved in the formation process could be investigated in the laboratory through elemental and isotopic measurements.

4.6 How and when did planetesimals accrete in the Outer Solar System?

With definitive proof of the existence of the extinct short-lived nuclide $^{26}$Al in the Solar System (Lee et al., 1977) came the realization that the major heat source for smaller bodies must have been from the decay of $^{26}$Al as suggested earlier by Urey (1955). Internal evolution models generally assume an accreted abundance of $^{26}$Al tied to the time of formation of the calcium–aluminum inclusions (CAIs) and that $^{26}$Al was distributed uniformly throughout the Solar System. Under these assumptions, chronologies of the formation timescales of the main compositional classes of Solar System have been established (Neveu & Vernazza 2019 and references therein). It appears that primitive small
bodies (comets, P/D main belt asteroids and small TNOs) must have formed at least 5 Myrs after CAIs (Neveu & Vernazza 2019) and that they were the last generation of small bodies to form.

The level of heterogeneity of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) concentrations is, however, debated in the cosmochemical literature (e.g., Krot et al., 2012; Makide et al., 2012; Van Kooten et al., 2016). It has been suggested that \(^{26}\text{Al}\) was injected into the Solar System from an external, proximal supernova source (e.g. Ouellette et al., 2007), allowing for heterogeneity due to incomplete mixing. Alternatively, it was pointed out that the Solar System’s complement of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) is normal for massive star-forming regions in general (Jura et al., 2013; Young, 2014), suggesting a homogeneous distribution inherited from the parental molecular cloud.

Characterizing the concentration of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) in samples of primitive bodies is therefore of prime importance to establish the chronology of events in the early Solar System and to understand whether these bodies are primitive because they accreted late or because \(^{26}\text{Al}\) was initially absent.

5) The era of sample return

Recent observations of asteroid (4) Vesta with VLT/SPHERE (Fetick et al. 2019) and of Neptune with VLT/MUSE (https://www.eso.org/public/images/eso1824a/) have revealed in a striking fashion to what extent the gap between interplanetary missions and ground-based observations is getting narrower. With the advent of very large telescopes (ELT, GMT, TMT), the science objectives of future interplanetary missions have to be carefully thought out so that these missions will complement – not duplicate – what will be achieved via Earth-based telescopic observations in the next decades.

For instance, future ELT adaptive-optics imaging observations of main belt asteroids will allow to resolve craters down to ~2-5 km in size implying that we will be able to characterize their geological history from the ground. In a different register, ELT and JWST observations of Jupiter with the near-infrared integral field spectrograph HARMONI (ELT) and NIRSpec (JWST), respectively, will have a higher spatial resolution (at least a factor of 3) than those performed in-situ by the ESA JUICE mission with the MAJIS near-infrared imaging spectrometer. In the field of Solar System small bodies, this propels missions performing cosmochemistry, namely sample return missions and to a lesser extent landing missions, at the forefront of space exploration.

Apart from ESA, all major space agencies (NASA, JAXA, Roscosmos, CNSA) have already launched (NASA: OSIRIS-Rex; JAXA: Hayabusa, Hayabusa 2; Roscosmos: Phobos Grunt) or plan to launch in the very near future (JAXA: Phobos sample return known as the MMX mission; CNSA: Lunar and near-Earth asteroid sample return) a sample return mission. It becomes an absolute and urgent necessity for Europe to tick the “sample return” box in order to remain competitive at the highest level in the field of Solar System exploration.

A sample return mission would also allow to maintain the currently high scientific level of the community working on extra-terrestrial samples in European laboratories while at the same time providing new challenges and exciting perspectives for developing new state of the art instruments and curation facilities.

Finally, a sample return mission has formidable advantages over other missions as the samples are available for scientific measurements for “eternity” implying that future generation instruments will be able to re-analyse the samples as it is routinely the case with meteorites or Lunar samples and thereby allow making new discoveries over time.
6) Mission profile and instruments

Our top-level science questions require a sample return mission of a small body whose surface composition is as primitive as possible. By primitive, we imply that the surface should not have witnessed any major alteration process including aqueous alteration, metamorphism and differentiation. The surface/subsurface should be volatile-rich and the refractory phase should be similar to CP IDPs. Currently, P/D asteroids, comets, Jupiter and Neptune Trojans, Centaurs and small (D<250 km) TNOs appear as suitable targets as their refractory phase is similar to CP IDPs. Among these populations, P/D asteroids and comets are being favored as they are the most accessible targets. Between these two populations (comets and P/D asteroids), comets are probably the most primitive bodies. The presence of volatiles at the surface and/or within the subsurface of P/D asteroids is not guaranteed, especially in the case of P/D near-Earth asteroids. One task during the study phase of the mission will be to properly evaluate whether near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) are meaningful targets for such a mission. Results from the OSIRIS-Rex and Hayabusa 2 sample return missions will be key in this respect. On the international scene, NASA has turned down the proposed comet surface sample return mission CAESAR, which was one of the two finalists for the next New Frontiers mission. This further postpones the analysis of a returned icy body sample but opens the opportunity for another space agency to take the lead on such a mission concept. A strawman mission concept is described below starting first with the instrument payload of both the main spacecraft and of an eventual lander, then the mission profile, and finally the sample return capability.

6.1 Instrument payload description for Main spacecraft

6.1.1 Orbital payload

The top drivers of the payload strategy are to enable a safe sampling of the surface, and to maximize the scientific value of returned samples by providing a detailed geological and chemical context of the returned samples. It will essentially provide the surface composition in terms of mineralogy and volatiles abundances, as well as the physical properties of the surface (roughness, thermal inertia, surface temperature).

Optical camera
This instrument would meet both engineering and scientific requirements (e.g., Keller et al., 2017). Visible camera observations are needed for spacecraft navigation purposes, for the reconstruction of the shape of the small body with the stereo-photogrammetry technique, for selecting sampling areas, and for geological studies. To achieve both global coverage and high spatial resolution two camera systems could be considered: a wide and a narrow angle cameras. In order to map physical or compositional variations across the surface, the cameras could be equipped with color filters. Color observations would be key to link in situ measurements with the visible-range spectra acquired by Earth-based telescopes.

Near and thermal infrared imaging spectrometers
Previous ESA missions have demonstrated how these instruments are key for characterizing planetary bodies in terms of mineralogy and physical properties (e.g., Coradini et al., 2007). Absorption bands in the near-infrared range (1-7 µm) are diagnostic of mineral and volatile species and can be detected with spectrometer using current technologies. Knowledge of the surface
mineralogy and organics is key in reconstructing the conditions during the origin and evolution of the small body. Spectral observations in the thermal infrared range (~7-100 µm) can be used to detect complementary minerals as well as to measure surface brightness temperature and derive surface thermal inertia. The latter property is key in retrieving the grain size distribution (granulometry), thermal conductivity, porosity and density of surface materials. Characterizing well these properties across the surface is key for determining the most favourable sites for sample collection. Should the targeted body potentially host permanently shadowed regions, observations in the far infrared range could be considered to study surface deposits at low (<50 K) temperatures.

Mass spectrometer
In case of an outgassing target (e.g., comet), a mass spectrometer (e.g., Balsiger et al., 2007) should be part of the payload to characterize the nature and relative abundance of the different volatile species (H₂O, CO, CO₂ etc.) including noble gases (Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe) and their main isotopes.

Radar ranger and close sub-surface imager
Volatile are not expected at the surface of MBAs or NEAS, but could be present in the close subsurface as was observed on Ceres (Prettyman et al., 2017). A neutron spectrometer would not provide sufficient spatial resolution to investigate the distribution of volatiles on a small object, but the presence of layers of volatiles could be assessed with high-frequency radar. This instrument could also serve as an altimeter to support the descent and sampling phases.

Radio science experiment
A radio science experiment (e.g., Paetzold et al., 2007) monitors the motion of the spacecraft using radio-tracking data in order to derive, in combination with camera and laser altimeter, a set of properties of the body, such as mass, center of mass, the gravity field, rotation axis and moments of inertia. Starting from these properties, the interior structure and distribution of mass within the object can be modelled.

6.1.2 Surface Payload

Dropping a lander/rover at the surface to precisely determine the nature and origin of the local context would definitively be a plus. In the case where the spacecraft could host a ~50kg lander (including payload), such option should be considered seriously as it would allow performing several key measurements at the surface. The costs of the lander could be covered - similarly to the instruments - by the member states. Typically, the lander/rover payload could include: an Alpha Proton X-ray Spectrometer (APXS) to determine the chemical composition, an ion laser mass analyzer to perform molecular, isotopic and elemental analysis of the surface for geochemical characterization, one or several gas analyzers to determine the elemental, molecular and isotopic composition of ices, a thermogravimeter to monitor the possible cometary activity and measure the volatile content in the regolith, a set of sensors to measure the mechanical, thermal, electrical and acoustic surface and subsurface properties, and a panoramic, close-up and microscopic imaging system. Additional lander payload could include a mid-infrared spectrometer, and a Raman microscope, for ices and organics.

Considerable expertise and heritage exist within Europe for both the MSC and lander/rover instruments. In the case of the lander/rover, the proposed mission will capitalize and valorize the considerable investment put in the Philae Lander of the Rosetta mission, in the MASCOT lander onboard Hayabusa 2 and in the ExoMars rover (e.g., Vago et al., 2017). New developments to
Improve performances and miniaturization are expected in the coming years in the framework of new missions.

6.2 Baseline Mission Architecture

A sample return mission to a small body requires the following functions: interplanetary outbound and inbound transfer, small body orbiting, descent-sampling-reascent phases, and Earth re-entry. The necessity to sample multiple locations can be addressed by multiple descent and sampling phases at different locations or by hopping across the surface. Either a single spacecraft or a configuration with a mother spacecraft and a landing/hopping platform could be envisaged. The design of the descent, touchdown and sampling strategy can nowadays take advantages of the expertise gained by precursors JAXA and NASA missions. Particular importance should be given to the type of terrain that the surface platform and sampling mechanism can encounter. Recent missions have shown that terrains can vary considerably from a smooth regolith surface to a very rough landscape, and a flexible system should be designed.

6.3 Sample return key capability

We have identified three key capabilities that a future mission needs to have in order to meet the science objectives.

1) Sample, preserve and return material at cryogenic temperatures in order to keep volatiles species, i.e., water ice in their solid form. The temperature of liquid nitrogen (77K) is sufficient to preserve both crystalline and amorphous ice over a mission time of 5 years. This capability is needed for any volatile and organic bearing targets, like asteroids, and is not limited to comet nuclei. To keep other volatiles such as CO and CO$_2$ and to retain heavy noble gases, a lower temperature (down to 10K) would be required.

2) Sample multiple locations on the target. Lessons from previous space missions have shown that small bodies are chemically, mineralogically and geologically heterogeneous, either due to their formation or evolution. The selection of the sampling locations should be driven by a detailed remote sensing reconnaissance of the target in a phase prior to sampling.

3) Sampling multiple lithologies, including loose regolith (if present), rootless pebble or rock, and a drill core. Obtaining a core down to around ten cm may allow probing below the thermal skin of the object and sample volatile rich material. It will also enable to study the effects of space weathering processes by micrometeoroids bombardments, as well as solar radiation induced fracturation and chemical processing of surface material.

6.4 Flexibility in the choice of the target

The great flexibility in the choice of the target (e.g., P-type main belt asteroid, D-type main belt asteroid, olivine-rich comets, comets enriched in pyroxene, Oort cloud comet entering the inner Solar System for the first time; Vernazza et al. 2015) implies that there is space for several sample return missions to primitive bodies to probe the diversity of this population. Hence, if a foreign space agency would launch a sample return mission to a primitive body (well) before ESA, this should not be considered as a showstopper on ESA’s side. Note that the target should be chosen among small
bodies that have been spectroscopically well characterized over an extended wavelength range to definitively ensure a high degree of similarity between the latter and CP IDPs. Over a limited wavelength range (e.g., visible range alone), the compositional interpretation is rarely unique opening the possibility for an erroneous selection.
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