

<u>Mercury's magnetosphere :</u> (some) Bepi Colombo science possibilities

Dominique Delcourt

LPP, Ecole Polytechnique-CNRS-UPMC





Nature's original patterns are reproduced on various scales and in various contexts

Mercury's magnetosphere





- Different boundary conditions

 (tenuous atmosphere, solar wind parameters)
- Different spatial and temporal scales
 (~1:8 and ~1:30, respectively)



















1. Different epochs 🖙 Different science possibilities



McNutt et al. [2012]





2. Different orbits 🖙 Different science possibilities



Sundberg et al. [2012]

2. Different orbits 🖙 Different science possibilities





Sundberg et al. [2012]



2. Different orbits 🖝 Different science possibilities



Sundberg et al. [2012]



2. Different orbits @ Different science possibilities

Reflected Planetary

Surface

Plasma

Magnetic

Field Lines

Zero

Northward offset of magnetic equator

Korth et al. [2014]

Magnetic Equator

Planetary Equator

of ~480 km

 $Z_{\rho 0}$



3h



3. Different payloads 🖙 Different science possibilities



3. Different payloads P Different science possibilities

Bepi Colombo science:

Interior - Core/mantle, composition, magnetic field Surface - Morphology, composition, temperature Exosphere - Composition, dynamics, sources/sinks, coupling Magnetosphere - Composition, dynamics, sources/sinks, coupling



D

MS ML

Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter (MMO, JAXA)

RMAG	magnetometer
PPE	Mercury Plasma Particle Experiments (MEA, MIA, MSA, HEP, ENA)
VI	Plasma Wave Investigations (SORBET, MEFISTO, EWO, LF-SC, DB-SC, WPT, AM2P)
SASI DM	exosphere imager dust analyzer

Mercury Planetary Orbiter (MPO, ESA)

BELA	laser altimeter
SA	radio science
MERMAG	magnetometer
MERTIS	IR spectrometer
/GNS-MANGA	γ-neutron spectrometer
MIXS / SIXS	X spectrometer
PHEBUS	UV spectrometer
SIMBIO-SYS	imager, visible-NIR spectrometer
SERENA	Search for Exospheric Refilling
	and Emitted Natural Abundances
	(ELENA, MIPA, PICAM, STROFIO)



3. Different payloads 🖙 Different science possibilities h. = 200 km Orbital Period 12 hours acecraft mission 1 MPO 400 x 1500 km, 2.3 hours B-field measurements φ = Periapsis latitude h_e = Apoapsis altitude h = Periapsis altitude = Orbital inclination 15.193 k Colom 327° Mercury true anomaly 237° Mercury true anomaly (near start of orbital phase) (maximum eclipse) Two-pol MESSENGER MMO nominal mission : 200 x 15000 km, 12 hours extended mission : 200 x 10000 km, 8 hours 400 x 12000 km, 9.3 hours







FIPS ion measurements :

- full energy range (0.05-13 keV/q) scanned in 8 s
- 15° angular resolution over 1.4 π steradian FOV



Raines et al. [2010]



Onboard MMO, 3D distributions obtained in 1 s - electrons from MEA 1-2 (3 eV/q - 30 keV/q) - ions from MIA (5 eV/q - 30 keV/q)

and MSA (1 eV/q – 38 keV/q ; mass <u>: 1-60</u>)



Hoshino et al. [1998]



Hoshino et al. [1998]



Ashour-Abdalla et al. [1993]



Onsager et al. [1991]





Hoshino et al. [1998]





Onsager et al. [1991]



Keiling et al. [2004]





Large velocity shear layer with small K-H growth rate on dawnside

Structure of magnetotail current sheet





Composition



Baumgardner et al. [2008]



 Coupling with exosphere (UV spectrometer but not only)



Exospheric Na content not constant over a complete Mercury's cycle



Bosqued et al. [1986]

1986]

Electron measurements



Bosqued et al. [1986]

Electron measurements



Bosqued et al. [1986]



<u>Electron measurements</u>



Blomberg et al. [2007]

In acceleration through low-altitude inverted Vs



Electron measurements

Shirai et al. [1998]



Electron measurements

* « Polar rain » observed in distant tail (X = -200 RE)



- Indirect evidences of energetic electrons from XRS (caused by bremsstrahlung of ~10 keV electrons).
- EPS (25 keV-1 MeV) measures only the high-energy tail of energetic electron bursts.

Onboard MMO, 3D distributions obtained in 1 s

- electrons from MEA 1-2 (3 eV/q 30 keV/q)
- ions from MIA (5 eV/q 30 k<u>eV/q</u>)

and MSA (1 eV/q – 38 keV/q ; mass : 1-60)

C

-2.0

2.0





Ho et al. [2011]

-1.0

0.0

 $X_{MSO}(R_M)$

1.0

0300

-2.0



Plasma Wave Investigation (PWI) onboard MMO

NEW wrt MESSENGER payload

IF two sets of E field sensors : MEFISTO, WPT **IDC-10 MHz** two sets of B field sensors : LF-SC, DB-SC [1 Hz-20 kHz, 10 kHz-640 kHz] three sets of receivers : SORBET, AM2P, EWO

MEFISTO, WPT (spin plane) :

- first in situ E-field measurements in specific environment (lack of conducting ionosphere that limits potential drop, dipole offset leading to precipitation asymetry...)
- plasma convection (DC), ULF wave, substorm phenomena (induced E-field), field-aligned currents (current closure), coupling with solar wind and characterization of upstream electron populations, Hermean Kilometric Radiation (trapped below 80 kHz)...

☞ LF-SC (spin plane), DB-SC (spin axis) :

• many scientific goals (identification of magnetospheric regions and boundaries, substorms, wave-particle interactions, solar wind monitoring, turbulence...) that all include wave mode analysis (e.g., whistlers, KAWs, EMIC...)



hock turbulence

10k

MCR?

100k

Whistler

waves

1k

Frequency [Hz]

-60

-80

100

120

1M

-40

-60

-100

-120

0.1

Low-frequency

1

10

100

-80 sensitivity

<u>Plasma Wave Investigation (PWI)</u> onboard MMO

Fundamental frequency of magnetized plasma : *plasma frequency*

<u>SORBET :</u>

Continuous spectral power survey along MMO orbit with Thermal Noise Receiver (2.5 kHz - 640 kHz)

- quasi-thermal noise spectroscopy (mapping of electron density and temperature, both core and halo)
- radio wave detection (trapped cyclotron waves, direction finding and polarisation)
- Figh Frequency Receiver (500 kHz 10.2 MHz)
 - solar activity monitoring (emissions of type II, III, CMEs)
 - synchroton emission of radiation belts (?)

<u>AM2P :</u>

Active experiment using mutual impedance principle that provides electron density and temperature (128 Hz-143 kHz)

<u>EWO :</u>

Detector and frequency analyzer E-field (DC-32 Hz and 10 Hz - 20 kHz) B-field (0.1 Hz – 20 kHz)



Meyer-Vernet et al. [2000]





<u>Plasma Wave Investigation (PWI)</u> onboard MMO

Scientific objectives		Receivers			Sensors			
	EWO	SORBET	AM ² P	WPT	MEFISTO	LF-SC	DB-SC	
Structure of the magnetosphere								
Identification of regions and boundaries of the magnetosphere	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	
Global plasma convection				х	х			
Global profile of plasma density and temperature		х	х	х	х			
Current closure/dissipation at low altitude				х	х			
Plasma wave propagation	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	
Dynamics of the maanetosphere								
Solar wind-magnetosphere coupling	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Response of the magnetosphere to large-scale solar wind structures	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	
Nature of Herman substorms	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	
Magnetosphere–exosphere coupling	X	x	X	X	x	X	x	
Search for transient radiation belts	x	x		x	x	X	x	
Energy transfer and scale coupling								
Reconnection	х			Х	х	х	х	
Identification of auroral processes		х		Х	х	х	х	
Wave-particle interactions								
Nonlinear kinetic processes	х	х		х	х	х	х	
Non-gyrotropic effects	х	х		х	х	Х	x	
Solar radio emissions and alagnostics								
Space weather/observation of key parameters		X	Х	X	X		Х	
Opportunity of stereoscopic observation		X		х	X			
Characterization of solar radiations (interplanetary shocks and electron beams)	х	X		X	х	Х	х	
Dust impact measurement	х	х		х				

Kasaba et al. [2010]





Brandt et al. [2008]

<u>ENA imaging</u>







Nature's original patterns are reproduced on various scales and in various contexts

The scientific objectives behind BepiColombo can be viewed by considering the following 12 guestions :

- 1. What can we learn from Mercury about the composition of the solar nebula and the formation of the planetary system?
- 2. Why is Mercury's normalized density markedly higher than that of all other terrestrial planets, Moon included?
- 3. Is the core of Mercury liquid or solid?
- 4. Is Mercury tectonically active today?
- 5. Why such a small planet does possess an intrinsic magnetic field, while Venus, Mars and the Moon do not have any?
- 6. Why do spectroscopic observations not reveal the presence of any iron, while this element is supposedly the major constituent of Mercury?
- 7. Do the permanently shadowed craters of the polar regions contain sulphur or water ice?
- 8. Is the unseen hemisphere of Mercury markedly different from that imaged by Mariner 9. What are the production mechanisms of the exosphere?
- 10. In the absence of any ionosphere, how does the magnetic field interact with the solar wind'
- 11. Is Mercury's magnetised environment characterised by features reminiscent of the aurorae, radiation belts and magnetospheric substorms observed at Earth?
- 12. Since the advance of Mercury's perihelion was explained in terms of space-time curvature, can we decurvate advantage of the proximity of the Sun to test general relativity h improved accuracy?



Nature's original patterns are reproduced on various scales and in various contexts



For properties of its own (« end member magnetosphere ») : 1.



and in various contexts

2. For comparison with Earth's magnetosphere ?

What can we learn from the dynamics of other planetary magnetospheres ?



ILWS International Living With a Star

2. For comparison with Earth's magnetosphere ?

What can we learn from the dynamics of other planetary magnetospheres ?



ILWS International Living With a Star

ILWOP International Living With Other Planets

2. <u>For comparison with Earth's magnetosphere ?</u> What can we learn from the dynamics of other planetary magnetospheres ?





ILWS International Living With a Star

ILWOP International Living With Other Planets



Winglee et al. [1998]



MESSENGER Mission News April 16, 2015 http://messenger.jhuapl.edu

NASA Celebrates MESSENGER Mission Prior to Surface Impact of Mercury

NASA's highly successful MErcury Surface, Space ENvironment, GEochemistry, and Ranging (MESSENGER) spacecraft is coming to the end of its operations. Mission engineers predict that the probe — out of fuel and under gravity's spell — will impact Mercury on April 30 at more than 8,750 miles per hour (3.91 kilometers per second).

The spacecraft launched on August 3, 2004, and travelled more than six and a half years before it was inserted into orbit about Mercury on March 18, 2011. The original plan was to orbit the planet for one Earth year, collecting data to answer <u>six critical questions</u>. But new questions raised by early findings motivated two extensions of orbital operations for a total of three more years. Moreover, through a series of technological innovations, MESSENGER's engineers devised a way to save fuel early on and leverage helium gas later, paving the way for a final one-month extension that enabled mission scientists to continue to acquired novel, low-altitude measurements of the planet closest to the Sun.

"MESSENGER had to survive heating from the Sun, heating from the dayside of Mercury, and the harsh radiation environment in the inner heliosphere, and the clearest demonstration that our innovative engineers were up to the task has been the spacecraft's longevity in one of the toughest neighborhoods in our Solar System," said MESSENGER Principal Investigator Sean Solomon, director of Columbia University's Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory. "Moreover, all of the instruments that we selected nearly two decades ago have proven their worth and have yielded an amazing series of discoveries about the innermost planet."

"Although Mercury is one of Earth's nearest planetary neighbors, astonishingly little was known when we set out," Solomon continued. "MESSENGER has at last brought Mercury up to the level of understanding of its sister planets in the inner Solar System. Of course, the more we learn, the more new questions we can ask, and there are ample reasons to return to Mercury with new missions."

In a briefing at NASA Headquarters today, MESSENGER scientists and engineers ticked off the top science findings and technological innovations from the mission. Near the top of the list of science accomplishments is having provided compelling support for the hypothesis that Mercury harbors abundant water ice and other frozen volatile materials in its permanently shadowed polar craters.

"The water now stored in ice deposits in the permanently shadowed floors of impact craters at Mercury's poles most likely was delivered to the innermost planet by the impacts of comets and volatile-rich asteroids," says Solomon. "Those same impacts also likely delivered the material in the dark layer discovered by MESSENGER to cover most polar deposits and interpreted, on the basis of its sublimation temperature and low reflectance, to be carbonaceous. By this interpretation. Mercury's polar regions serve as a witness plate to the delivery to the inner solar system of water and organic compounds from the outer solar