Gaia DR3 and its foreseen binary star content

Frédéric Arenou, Dimitri Pourbaix and the Gaia NSS team

EUROPEAN ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING





Gaia non-single stars (NSS) data processing

- Exploring a 1.8 billion sources catalogue is not like analysing one's favourite binary star
- Searching (automatically) for binaries has been done only on sources that do not appear single, seen from their large Goodness-of-Fit (GoF) either astrometric, photometric or spectroscopic.
- This allowed to select and process:
 - Astrometric binaries (AB), G < 19
 - Spectroscopic binaries (SB1/2)
 - Eclipsing binaries (EB)
 - And combinations of the above
 - not for DR3: Resolved doubles/binaries
 - not for DR3: Multiple star orbits
- Our choice for Gaia data release DR3 : this is the first publication of Gaia NSS, a conservative approach has been adopted, filtering out many solutions, keeping the most significant only.



- Gaia
 - Main outcome of the catalogue: orbital or trend parameters
 - Also an improvement on system parameters (parallax, velocity...)
 - Astrometric binaries (ordered by decreasing periods)
 - VIMF (fixed variable binary), acceleration 7p (5 astrometric parameters+2 derivatives), acceleration 9p, orbital solutions Processing cascade: the simplest NSS model which fitted the data with an acceptable GoF has been accepted
 - Spectroscopic binaries (by decreasing periods)
 - Trend solution (polynomial from 1st to 4th degree), SB1 / SB1C (e~0), SB2 / SB2C
 - **Eclipsing binaries**
 - For DR3: orbital parameters + temperature ratio + sum of radii

Managing the binary zoo



ESA/Gaia/DPAC/CU4/NSS, Y. Damerdji, E. Gosset, R. Blomme, T. Morel, P. Panuzzo



ESA/Gaia/DPAC/CU4/NSS, C. Siopis, G. Sadowski

S15B: 476



Unfortunately, with 1.8 billion sources, what can go wrong does go wrong...

Most bad GoF actually originate from resolved double stars or calibration issues E.g., over the 40-millions sources with a bad single star fit (RUWE > 1.4 & G < 19), a very small fraction only may actually be processed as unresolved astrometric binaries.

When looking for periodicity, one always finds significant periods related to some nonastrophysical signals, e.g. the scanning law (2h/6h spin, 63d precession, one year...) To avoid spurious frequencies, a strong filtering has been applied on all kind of binaries Drawback of producing a weird selection function, while possibly some contamination still

Caveats



Rough upper limits of the number of solutions

- Astrometric binaries
 - Acceleration ~ 3.10⁵
 - Orbital ~ 10⁵
 - Including ~ 7.10² known substellar hosts
- Spectroscopic binaries
 - SB1+SB1C ~ 1.5 10⁵
 - SB2+SB2C ~ 5.10³
 - Trends ~ 5.10⁴
- Eclipsing binaries
 - ~ 4.10^4 (> 10^6 from the variability analysis)
- Astrometric + Spectroscopic ~ 3.10⁴
- Spectroscopic + Eclipsing ~ 10²

NB: astrometry gives the orbit (or acceleration) of the photocentre, not the primary : a low astrometric signal may originate either from a low mass companion or from twins

These preliminary numbers may strongly decrease after validation. They will remain anyway larger than existing catalogues



Period-eccentricity diagrams







EUROPEAN ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING

NB: Astrometric orbits have an expected dip around one-year periods

S15B: 476



Median precision for orbital parameters

A good overlap between periods of the various orbital types (+ trends)

Astrometric orbits

- Period range (1%-99%) ~ 100-1500d, median relative uncertainty of period ~ 2% Median relative uncertainty of angular semi-major axis $\sim 5\%$ (better than 20%)

Spectroscopic binaries

- Period range ~ 1-1000d, median relative uncertainty of period ~ 1%
- Median relative uncertainty of semi-amplitude $\sim 6\%$

Combined astrometric + spectroscopic solutions

- Period range ~ 80-2000d, median relative uncertainty of period ~ 1%
- Median relative uncertainty of angular semi-major axis $\sim 3\%$

Eclipsing binaries

Period range ~ 0.3-5d, excellent relative uncertainty of period

- (better than 20%)

S15B: 476







