On the chemical abundaces of mixed morphology supernova remnants

F. Bocchino¹, M.Miceli², S. Orlando¹, E. Troja³

¹INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Italy ²Consorzio COMETA, Catania, Italy ³INAF-Istituto di Fisica Cosmica, Palermo, Italy

Mixed Morphology SNRs



F. Bocchino, Mixed-morphology SNRs

DEFINITION: shell-like (asymmetric) morphology in the radio band and centrally peaked thermal emission in the X-ray band. Flat kT profile. (Rho et al. 1998)

They seems to be located close to molecular clouds or high density regions

<u>A mechanism responsible for</u> <u>producing such an unexpected</u> <u>morphology has not yet been</u> <u>uniquely identified</u>

W44 (NRAO / AUI / NSF) Radio, X-ray (ROSAT, Rho et al. 1994), IR (Spitzer, Reach et al. 2006) The X-ray Universe 2008, Granada, 27-30 May

Cloud evaporation vs. radiative model

- Both models try to increase the central density
 - White & Long (1991), Shelton et al. (1994)
- Both model have general difficulties in reproducing some of the MM SNRs features
 - Surf.bri. profile too shallow
 - Central density too low



F. Bocchino, Mixed-morphology SNRs

Enhanced abundances in MM SNRs

- Lazendic & Slane (2006) compiled a new list of MM SNRs
 - 10 out of 26 seems to have high Z
 - Multiple and single thermal components (seems not to be related to Z)
 - Evaporating clouds and thermal conduction radiative model do not address the mixing of ejecta and ISM

 In this work, we study the metal abundances of IC443 and G166.0+4.3

 Listed in Lazendinc & Slane (2006) as standard abundances MM SNRs

IC443 X-ray emission

EPIC Count-rate 0.5-1.4 keV

EPIC Count-rate 1.5-5 keV



Troja et al. 06, ApJ, 649, 258

Troja et al. 08, A&A, in press

F. Bocchino, Mixed-morphology SNRs

IC443 metal abundance



F. Bocchino, Mixed-morphology SNRs

IC443 metal abundance



F. Bocchino, Mixed-morphology SNRs

More in IC443 metallicity



 Extraction regions defined in term of surface brightness contours

- Cross-region contamination may be an issue
 - SAS support still experimental
- Look for variations of temperature and metallicity vs. surface brightness



G166.0+4.3 (a.k.a VRO 42.05.01)



- Interesting radio morphology (small shell and large "wing")
- X-ray emission centrally peaked (between shell and wing, perhaps in the hot tunnel)
- Possibly explained in terms of expansion in a "hot tunnel", bounded by 2 dense regions

G166.0+4.3 (Vro 42.05.01)

1420 MHz CGPS DRAO radio image XMM-Newton/EPIC image (0.3-5 keV) The X-ray Universe 2008, Granada, 27-30 May

Abundances of G166.0+4.3



A new model for MM SNRs

- We explore the possibility that MM SNRs are the results of interaction with progenitor CSM
- > 3D HD model
 - Thermal conduction includes flux saturation effects
 - Ejecta mat. with enhanced metallicity
 - 8-fold symmetry assumed
 - FLASH code



MM-SNRs: 3D modeling

Ejecta concentrated at the center of the SNR



MM-SNRs: 3D modeling

Ejecta concentrated at the center of the SNR



X-ray emission

Morphology in the X-ray band changes during the evolution

Phase I: maximum X-ray emission at the (forward) shock front -> shell-like morphology
Phase II: X-ray emission centrally peaked

-> MM SNRs

Thermal conduction very effective and contributes to enhance central emission





Temperature and Metallicity

During the MM phase:

- Temperature decreases with radial distance
- Average T of shocked ejecta > average T of shocked CSM
- Enhanced metallicity at the center of the remnant
- Metallicity gradually decreases with radial distance

Agreement with observations of metal-rich MM SNRs?





Conclusion

Emerging new class of remnants

- MM SNRs with enhanced metallicity
- What fraction of MM belongs to the new class?
- IC443 and VRO are high-Z, once thought to be low-Z
 - ♦ High-Z MM SNRs may be very common
- (M)HD simulations of CSM-shock interactions
 - May help to understand MM, even with high Z, easing the difficulties of traditional models
 - ♦ kT, n and Z profiles are desperately needed...
 - Challenging data analysis task