



# FERO (Finding Extreme Relativistic Objects): Statistics of Relativistic Fe K $_{\alpha}$ Lines in Type I AGN

I. de La Calle<sup>1</sup>, A.L. Longinotti<sup>1</sup>, S. Bianchi<sup>2</sup>, M. Guainazzi<sup>1</sup>, M. Dovciak<sup>3</sup>  
(1) ESAC, Madrid (2) Università degli studi di Roma Tre, (3) Astronomical Institute, Academy of Sciences, Prague

The detection of a broadened and skewed Fe K $_{\alpha}$  line in AGN spectra is generally interpreted as an effect on X-ray photons due to the gravitational field of the black hole. Measuring the parameters of broad Fe lines provides therefore a diagnostic of the accretion disc structure. The presence of this feature has been debated among the AGN community for a long time. Most studies of bright individual sources have shown that the detection of a broad line can be very dependent on the assumed spectral model. Recent works on large samples of AGNs converged to say that the broad line is more common in low luminosity AGN (Nandra et al. 1997, 2006, Streblynska et al. 2005, Guainazzi et al. 2006) but there is no agreement on the fraction of detected Fe lines and on the average line intensity. We present the results of the analysis of a large sample composed by 161 XMM-Newton archival observations of AGN, expanding the work presented in Guainazzi et al. 2006. **The main results may be summarised as follows:** a) Strong broad Fe lines are found only in AGN with spectra of extremely good statistical quality (Longinotti's talk) b) Stacking of the upper limits shows that the "red wing" is far less common than expected and that any broad line emission is nearly absent in sources at higher 2-10 keV luminosity.

### THE SAMPLE

**161 RQ Type I AGN** (65% of sources with H $_{\beta}$  available)

- 17 NL
- 84 SY
- 28 BL
- 39 NC
- 15 NL
- 77 QSO
- 33 BL
- 29 NC

**Distribution of Luminosities**

SY: 43,2028  
QSO: 44,8139  
NL: 43,5172  
BL: 43,3055

$\langle L_X \rangle_{\text{SAMPLE}} = 1.0 \cdot 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$

**Distribution of redshift**

58%  $z < 0.1$   
88%  $z < 0.5$

- The Full Sample: 161 Type I AGN corresponding to 221 XMM-Newton observations public up to March 07.
- Only sources with  $N_{\text{H}} < 2 \cdot 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  are included.
- Only EPIC-pn data used, in different observing modes.
- Exposure times between 1 ksec and 400 ksec, with 90% of observations < 100 ksec.

**Spectral Analysis**

- Pre-analysis cut: only source spectra with good statistics are fitted (>17 d.o.f).
- Spectra rebinned with 25 background subtracted cts/channel, and > 3 bins.
- Fit done in the 2 - 10 keV rest frame.
- The same model has been uniformly applied to the whole sample.

### THE MODEL

**Starting model:** Power law + Pexrav + 5 zero-width Fe K lines + Kyrline

**Model Components:**

- Two pexrav components to test for the presence of torus and accretion disc reflection.
- Discarded: The limited XMM bandwidth does not allow to distinguish two continua.
- Test relativistically blurred pexrav (kdblur blurring code, Fabian et al. 2002) to account for reflection off inner accretion disc.
- Discarded in favour of torus reflection given the presence of ubiquitous narrow Fe K line (Bianchi+07, Yaqoob+04).
- Addition of absorbi to account for ionised absorption although no significant dependence has been found for line EW and intrinsic N $_{\text{H}}$ .

**Model Parameters:**

- Kyrline disc inclination limited to < 60° after considering that the sources are all type I and edge-on discs should not be seen according to unification models.
- R and  $\Gamma$  limited to  $\pm 3\sigma$  errors after Dadina (2008) ( $\Gamma = 1.89 \pm 0.48$ ,  $R = 1.23 \pm 0.7$ )
- Kyrline spin values fixed to 0 and 1, and free; no significant difference found between spin 0 and 1, so spin free assumed.
- Width of the 6.4 Fe K line left free with upper limit fixed to optical FWHM H $_{\beta}$  line (only for sources where this is available, other case width fix to 1 eV)

**Final model:** Power law \* absorbi + Pexrav + 5 Fe K lines + Kyrline

### RESULTS: Fe Broad Line Detections

Within our Full Sample there is a **Flux Limited Sample**.

- 31 Sources RXTE all-sky Slew Survey fulfilling: CR (3-8 keV) > 1 cts/sec,  $N_{\text{H}} < 2 \cdot 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

**149 Sources (fulfill the pre-analysis cut)**

- 56 Broad Fe K $_{\alpha}$  Line Detections
- 90% C.L. Upper Limits
- Flux Limited Sample Sources

**Detection Fraction<sup>(1)</sup>**

SAMPLE	FULL	FLUX LIMITED
	8 ± 2 %	32 ± 11 %

(1) Spurious detections not taken into account (IZW177, IRAS13349+2438, NGC985, PDS456 and MR2251-178)

### RESULTS: Stacked Spectra

**How to read the figures**

Blue Profile: Kyrline from spinning BH, Intensity 100 and 50 eV  
Green Profile: Unresolved Fe K line, 150 eV

Number of Sources in the Stacked Spectrum

Estimated EW with 90% errors, or EW UL at the 90% C.L.  
The energy range used to estimate EW: 4-7 keV

**Methodology**

Take unbinned ratios for every source:

- Calculate redshift correction.
- Perform rebinning (150 or 300 eV).
- If new energy bins contain fractions of channels in the old grid, an exposure fraction F is assigned to the ratio:

r1	r2	r3	Ratio in unbinned energy grid
F=1	F	F=1	

Once all ratios are on the same energy grid, the stacked ratio is calculated as the weighted mean and re-plotted (see left figure)

New energy grid: ratio in old channel across two E bins is weighted for a fractional exposure

### SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Broad lines in Stacked Spectra are WEAK; Intensity never higher than 80 eV

- Possible trends with physical drivers (BH mass, accretion rate, L $_{\text{x}}$ ): inconclusive
- With hard X-ray luminosity: very tentative evidence
- Possible trends with source type: to be investigated
- Seyfert and Quasars show similar EW but hard residuals are prominent in QSO: effect of different intrinsic continuum or simply poor statistics?
- BL type significantly differ from NL type apparent contradiction with lack of trend with accretion rate

Det: Detection  
Up: Upper Limits

QSO: Quasars  
SY: Seyfert1  
BL: Broad Line  
NL: Narrow Line

Detections not included in these stacked plots to avoid bias of bright sources

Luminosity Bin:  
L1: L $_{\text{x}} < 3 \cdot 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$   
L2:  $3 \cdot 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} < L_{\text{x}} < 1.5 \cdot 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$   
L3: L $_{\text{x}} > 1.5 \cdot 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$