

# XMM Fulfill Programs

Last year's UG meeting comments on Fulfill programs:

- TAC advice sometimes inconsistent regarding whether proposals should be allocated to Fulfil or GO
- TAC needs to be fully aware of the criteria for Fulfil programs
- PIs need to be clear in their proposal as to why they are requesting Fulfil - if they were, then it becomes the TAC's responsibility

# Fulfil Programs (from p18 of OTAC Procedures)

The Fulfil program was introduced following a recommendation of the XMM-Newton Users' Group. The main criterion for acceptance is that the proposed observations are of **archival value rather than of specific scientific interest**. Examples are missing sources of important samples and filling "holes" in areas where a complete coverage would be of interest. The following points should be considered:

- Observations of a fulfil program are normally accepted only at **"C"-priority**. (They will be scheduled with the same probability as "normal "C"-priority observations.)
- Observations of a fulfil program which are accepted in "C"-priority time are **not accounted against the 'C"-time budget of the panel**.
- Observations of a fulfil program **can also be accepted in priority "A" or "B" time of the panel**, if the panel considers the observations as scientifically sufficiently important.
- The **panel cannot change a program, which was not submitted as fulfil, to a fulfil program**.

# XMM Fulfill Programs

Last year's UG meeting comments on Fulfill programs:

- TAC advice sometimes inconsistent regarding whether proposals should be allocated to Fulfill or GO
- TAC needs to be fully aware of the criteria for Fulfill programs
- PIs need to be clear in their proposal as to why they are requesting Fulfill - if they were, then it becomes the TAC's responsibility

Consulted TAC chairs, received following comments:

*AGN:* - most panel members relaxed re Fulfill programs, as would be C if accepted (Chair unhappy)  
- **good to keep, ensure TAC members understand they should be marked just like others**

*Stars:* - Fulfill category clearly explained in procedures  
- but TAC can reject and suggest would be better as LP or GO, but → delay to resubmit!  
- TAC decision can be arbitrary!  
- no obvious solution, but **TACs really should respect category chosen by proposer!**