

# Accretion geometry and variability of ULX pulsars

### Felix Fürst (ESAC)

Dom Walton, Daniel Stern, Matteo Bachetti, Didier Barret, Murray Brightman, Fiona Harrison, Vikram Rana

and the NuSTAR ULX working group

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Us

### Properties of the three known PULX



	M82 X-2	NGC 7793 P13	NGC 5907 ULX1
Pulse Period	1.37s	0.42s	1.1s
Spin-up (Þ)	2×10 <sup>-10</sup> s/s	3.5×10 <sup>-11</sup> s/s	8×10 <sup>-10</sup> s/s
Orbital Period	2.5 d	64d? 🥎	5.3 d
Superorb. P.	63.8 d	?	78 d
Max. Luminosity	$2 \times 10^{40}$ erg/s	6×10 <sup>39</sup> erg/s	>10 <sup>41</sup> erg/s
Min. Luminosity	<2.5×10 <sup>38</sup> erg/s	$\sim$ 4 $\times$ 10 <sup>37</sup> erg/s	<4×10 <sup>38</sup> erg/s
Optical Comp.	$M > 5 M_{\odot}$	SG B9I	$M \lesssim 3 M_{\odot}$
References	Bachetti et al. 2014; Brightman et al. 2017; Dall'Osso et al. 2015	Fürst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017a	Israel et al. 2017b; Fürst et al. 2017; Walton et al. 2015

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 2

+

### 

### Properties of the three known PULX



	M82 X-2	NGC 7793 P13	NGC 5907 ULX1
Pulse Period	1.37s	0.42s	1.1s
Spin-up (Þ)	2×10 <sup>-10</sup> s/s	3.5×10 <sup>-11</sup> s/s	8×10 <sup>-10</sup> s/s
Orbital Period	2.5 d	64d? 🥎	5.3 d
Superorb. P.	63.8 d	? ←	78 d
Max. Luminosity	$2 \times 10^{40}$ erg/s	6×10 <sup>39</sup> erg/s	>10 <sup>41</sup> erg/s
Min. Luminosity	<2.5×10 <sup>38</sup> erg/s	$\sim$ 4 $\times$ 10 <sup>37</sup> erg/s	<4×10 <sup>38</sup> erg/s
Optical Comp.	$M > 5 M_{\odot}$	SG B9I	$M \lesssim 3 M_{\odot}$
References	Bachetti et al. 2014; Brightman et al. 2017; Dall'Osso et al. 2015	Fürst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017a	Israel et al. 2017b; Fürst et al. 2017; Walton et al. 2015

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 3

+

### 

### Super-obital period in NGC 5907 UXL 1



 $\rightarrow$  super-orbital, as orbit is known from pulsar timing to be ~5d

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 4

4

**AS** 

### XMM observations as function of SO phase





Rising high phase

Minimum

Off-state and recovery + NuSTAR Maximum + *NuSTAR* 

\*

### Fürst et al., 2017, ApJ 834, 77

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 5

### Off-states: sources disappear suddenly



NGC 5907 was "off" during first observation, and appeared at  $1.6 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  only 4 days later.

 $\rightarrow$  Obscuration event or shut-off of accretion?

NuSTAR



### Walton et al. 2015

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 6

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

### Spectral variations over time



Fitted with typical diskpbb model (multitemperature blackbody with variable temperature gradient) + powerlaw hard excess in bright phase



Fürst et al., 2017

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 7

### Spectrum varies with super-orbital phase



Variations are more clearly separated as function of **super-orbital phase** than as function of flux.

 $\rightarrow$  Precessing accretion disk?



Fürst et al., 2017

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 8

### 

### Accretion disk precession in galactic sources

Some local X-ray binaries show superorbital periods (Her X-1, SMC X-1, LMC X-4).

Periods seen in light-curve, pulse profile shape, spectral shape

Warped and precessing accretion disk calculated also by hydro simulations. → But unclear how that would look in super-Eddington case!

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use



reprocessed (soft) X-ravs

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Collimation and scattering

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

Dauser et al. (2017) use a simple model of multiple scatterings within a narrow cone of a puffed up accretion disk

Together with precession, modeling of super-orbital variability of NGC 5907 possible! (but requires very narrow opening angle)

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

### M82 X-2: super-orbital variation is larger

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

Flux variation of M82 X-2 in *Chandra* 

- → Consistent with 68d period (Brightman et al., in prep.)
- → Dynamic range is ~100x, much larger than in NGC 5907!

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

Brightman et al., in prep.

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

**European Space Agency** 

Brightman et al., in prep.

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

# Chandra spectra do not reveal any significant change $\rightarrow$ Definitely not very soft or

- thermal, as expected from "propeller state"
- $\rightarrow$  Variation due to precessing accretion disk also seems unlikely

M82 X-2: no spectral variation with SO phase

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 12

## NGC 7793 P13: pulse profile

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

Pulse profiles are very sinusoidal

Shape is independent of energy

Very different to Galactic (sub-Eddington sources!) → Different emission geometry?

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fürst et al., 2016

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

# On-off difference spectroscopy

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

Subtracting off-phase from onphase to study spectrum of the pulsed component only

→ all sources well fit by just a cutoffpl

→ spectral parameters are similar, but significantly different!

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 14

### **Summary**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Pulsating ULXs show spectral variations as super-orbital and pulse phase. But what are the physics behind these variations? #twittersummary

Only 3 PULXs are currently known, with very similar *observational* properties. Their *physical* properties are still investigated.

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

ESA | 16-May-17| Slide 15