

# A hard X-ray view of the AGN population with NuSTAR

George Lansbury (Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge – Herchel Smith fellowship)

> With: James Aird (IoA), David Alexander (Durham), Poshak Gandhi (Southampton), Daniel Stern (JPL), The NuSTAR Extragalactic Surveys group





The shape of the cosmic X-ray background (CXB) requires a varied population of AGN (e.g., Setti & Woltjer 1989):

some unobscured ( $N_H < 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ), some obscured ( $N_H > 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ), some **Compton-thick (N\_H \ge 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2})** 



Compton-thick AGN account for a large fraction (~10-60%; e.g., Treister+2009, Buchner+2015) of supermassive black hole growth, but are challenging to observe..





At "soft" energies (<10 keV) *Chandra* and *XMM* have resolved 70-90% of the CXB into individual AGN (e.g., Worsley+2006)



.. but the CXB peaks at "hard" X-ray energies (>10 keV) (*NuSTAR* has resolved ~30% of the CXB peak; Harrison, Aird + 2016)



## The NuSTAR census of AGN: the extragalactic survey program



#### NuSTAR quick facts:

- NASA Small Explorer (SMEX)
- Launched in June 2012
- The first focusing mission at E > 10 keV



- 12' x 12' field-of-view
- Sensitive at E = 3–78 keV





COSMOS: Civano+ 2015 GOODS-N: Del Moro+ in prep. UDS: Masini+ in prep.

#### NuSTAR extragalactic survey "wedding cake":



(3) The "snapshot" survey of Swift BAT AGN Baloković+ in prep.

George Lansbury

X-ray Universe Rome

## The NuSTAR serendipitous survey: example fields (8 out of 331)





## The 40-month NuSTAR serendipitous survey





- Performed for 331 NuSTAR fields (510 exposures)
- Cumulative exposure time = **20 Ms**
- Areal coverage  $\approx$  **13 deg<sup>2</sup>**
- # detected sources = 497 sources (~300 with spec-z's)
- Faintest flux (at 8-24 keV) = **1.5 × 10<sup>-14</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>**

Lansbury+2017a ApJ 836 99L ApJ electronic article provides machine-readable catalog tables optical spectra, etc.

#### Comparing hard X-ray surveys: Swift BAT survey & NuSTAR serendip survey



Lansbury+2017a, submitted



Redshift (z)

## The 40-month NuSTAR serendipitous survey: optical properties





Type 1 = optically unobscured (broad-line AGN) Type 2 = optically obscured (narrow-line AGN)

serendipitous survey sample selected at > 8 keV:

$$f_{\text{Type 2}} = 53^{+14}_{-15}\%$$



Lansbury+2017a

### Completing the AGN census: hunting for hidden black hole growth using the NuSTAR serendip survey





ioa

Compton-thick AGN:  $N_H \gtrsim 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ 

## AGN X-ray spectra: the effect of obscuration





## Extremely hard sources in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey



Lansbury+2017b, submitted

*NuSTAR + Chandra | XMM | Swift* **0.5-24 keV X-ray spectra** for the hardest *NuSTAR* serendip sources ( $\Gamma < 0.6$  at 3-24 keV) :



# Extremely hard sources in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey



Modelling the X-ray spectra with a range of spectral models:

- 1. Transmission-dominated model
- 2. Reflection-dominated model
- 3. Self-consistent torus model

- 50% are Compton-thick (CT)  $(N_{\rm H} > 1.5 \times 10^{24} {\rm ~cm^{-2}})$
- 50% are likely highly obscured  $(N_{\rm H} > a \text{ few} \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2})$

Lansbury+2017b, submitted

see Del Moro+2017, Zappacosta+2017, Civano+2015 for additional CT candidates in the NuSTAR surveys

- Intrinsic X-ray luminosities of  $\log L_{\rm X} = 42.5 45$
- J0505 J0823 J1410 J1444  $10^{-3}$ z = 0.313z = 0.067z = 1.539z = 0.036 $10^{-4}$  $10^{-5}$  $\text{keV}^{-1}$ ]  $10^{-6}$  $s^{-1}$  $keV^2$   $[cm^-$ J1512 J1653 J1534 J1506 z = 0.034z = 0.069z = 0.160z = 0.354 $10^{-4}$  $10^{-5}$  $10^{-6}$  $10^{-7}$ 101010101 Energy (observed frame) [keV]
- Most (≈75%) of the extreme sources would not be identified as highly obscured based on the <10 keV (e.g., Chandra / XMM-Newton) data alone
- Elusive at non-X-ray wavelengths, e.g.:
   ≥50% not selected as AGN in optical (e.g., BPT)
   88% not selected as AGN in infrared (WISE colors)

## The low redshift Compton-thick (CT) fraction: data versus models and the state of t



The observed CT fraction at low redshifts,  $f_{CT,obs} \approx 30\%$ , is high compared to models. (The implied intrinsic CT fraction is even higher;  $f_{CT,int} \gtrsim 50\%$ )

Possible explanations:

 Current AGN population models underpredict the number of CT AGN – they need updating for the new low-flux/luminosity regime probed by NuSTAR

#### and/or

2. There is an intrinsic connection between CT AGN and galaxy-rich environments which is boosting  $f_{CT}(z < 0.07)$  in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey

# $L_X$ vs. $L_{MIR}$ : Indirect evidence for extreme absorption

X-ray Universe Rome





Using the MIR as a proxy for the intrinsic luminosity:

Two CT NuSTAR sources have **X-ray luminosities suppressed by ≈ 2-3 orders of magnitude** (e.g., more extreme than Circinus & NGC1068)



.. and both are hosted by **galaxy major mergers** (typically rare: e.g., <1% of SDSS AGN)

Is there a merger-induced high central gas content, resulting in  $N_H \gg 10^{24}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> obscuration? (relevant to, e.g., Kocevski+2015, Ricci+2017 results)

## Summary



- The NuSTAR serendipitous survey:
  - 40-month catalog: resources available online
  - The survey provides a large hard X-ray census of (relatively) distant AGN:
     497 sources (276 spec-ID'd), (z) = 0.56
  - Type 2 (i.e., optically obscured) fraction,  $f_{\text{Type 2}} \approx 53\%$
- Completing the AGN census by hunting for Compton-thick (CT) AGN:
  - Identified extremely hard sources in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey.
     Modelling the X-ray spectra → new highly obscured & CT AGN
  - The *observed* CT fraction at *z* < 0.07 (*f*<sub>CT,obs</sub> ≈ 30%) is surprisingly high
     → Do AGN population synthesis models need updating for the faint hard X-ray regime?
  - The L<sub>X</sub>-L<sub>MIR</sub> plane & host galaxy imaging highlight two particularly extreme CT AGN residing in major mergers