The value of qualitative conclusions for the interpretation of

Super Soft Source grating spectra

Jan-Uwe Ness XMM-Newton Science Operations Centre European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC) Madrid, Spain















ph.cm⁻² ksec⁻¹ Å⁻

SSS spectrum of V4743 Sgr with TMAP Atmosphere model Rauch et al. (2010)



Series of SSS spectrum of V4743 Sgr with Wind-type Atmosphere model van Rossum (2012)





Summary I/II:

SSS spectra are powered by nuclear burning on surface of White Dwarf Bright and soft => Perfect targets for XMM-Newton/Chandra gratings!

Blackbody shape but EXTREMELY complex in the details:

- Blue-shifted absorption lines \rightarrow Expanding material (produced in photosphere or further out?)
- Complex profiles that vary with time
- Also systems with strong emission lines on top of bb continuum
- Some spectra from different systems very similar (e.g., sub-classes of SSe and SSa)

Summary II/II:

Atmosphere models provide the right fundamental physics to draw **quantitative** conclusions (mass, temperature, composition, luminosity).

But:

Hydrostatic models probably too limited in assumptions (line blue shifts)

Expanding codes more promising, but also have limitations e.g., observed complexity of profiles not modeled Both: Unidentified lines

Ludwig Wittgenstein (1889-1951): **"The limits of my language means the limits of my world."**

=> We need to LOOK at the spectra and also learn from **qualitative** conclusions:

- Line of sight has complex density profile
- Changes of profiles with time indicate non-static absorbing material
- Subclasses of SSe and SSa

Example of qualitative conclusion:

The variations in hardness are caused by variations in the column density of OI (23.5A), longwards of which, there is systematically more emission during soft phase (orange)



Produced by Jan-Uwe Ness Sep 7, 2013