Is there a giant Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in the sloshing cold front of the Perseus cluster?

Stephen Walker (NASA/GSFC)

J. Hlavacek-Larrondo M. Gendron-Marsolais A. C. Fabian H. Intema J. S. Sanders J. T. Bamford



Walker et al. 2017, MNRAS 468, 2506, arXiv:1705.00011

Astronomy Picture of the Day

iscover the cosmos! Each day a different image or photograph of our fascinating universe is featured, along with a brief explanation written by a professional astronomer.







Video at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yu1yF1z7Ins













Only on one side of cluster

- Only on one side of cluster
- Look at surface brightness and kT profiles:

Surface brightness



kT profiles



- Only on one side of cluster
- Look at surface brightness and kT profiles
- Look at metallicity profiles:

Metallicity profiles





- Only on one side of cluster
- Look at surface brightness and kT profiles
- Look at metallicity profiles
- Look at radio data

Radio constrained behind cold front





ZuHone et al. 2013

Radio fills bubbles



CHANDRA X-RAY





Comparing to simulations



 $beta=p_{th}/p_B=200$







Different B field strengths don't work



Different B field strengths don't work



Different B field strengths don't work





Centaurus



Centaurus



Centaurus



Abell 1795





Abell 1795

Conclusions

- Bay in Perseus is consistent with being an 'inverted' cold front
- Radio, X-ray surface brightness, temperature and metal distribution most consistent with this
- Consistent with simulations of gas sloshing
- If true, can provide constraints on ICM physics, B field

Conclusions

- Different scenarios for cluster microphysics lead to significant differences in large, (~50kpc) size structures.
- Similar features seen in Centaurus and Abell 1795
- All consistent with the same average magnetic/thermal pressure ratio (beta=200)
- Higher magnetic fields strongly disfavoured.

Grazie!

ANA DE