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2017 UG Recommendations

Recommendation 2016-06-08/01:

• [...] implement an iterative adjustment to the parameters for the 2-D PSF. [...] This activity needs to be considered as of the highest priority because of its impact on many other aspects of the calibration.

Recommendation 2015-05-22/02: The UG identifies the following tasks in order of priority;

1. Cross-calibration of the responses of the XMM-Newton X-ray cameras and spectrometers. This is a longstanding issue, and it should be resolved as far as is possible in the near future.
2. Evidence for a shift in gain of the PN detectors, which is dependent on the quiescent background. This should be investigated and quantified, and a correction implemented.
3. Calibrated spectra from NuSTAR and XMM-Newton sometimes show a significant mis-match in spectral slope and offset above 3keV. This is a matter which the IACHEC should be encouraged to investigate.
4. Complete the calibration of the PN Burst Mode, RDPHA correction.

Recommendation 2016-06-08/02:

• The time and energy reconstruction of the pn Timing mode should be studied with respect to recently observed discrepancies.

Recommendation 2017-05-11/05:

• The NuSTAR off-axis observation of the Crab has the potential to serve as a “standard candle” [...] study the implications of this observation [...].
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**XRT PSF Modification**

PKS 2155-304
- Piled-up
- Annular extraction regions for EPIC

Sample of non-piled-up on-axis sources

---

*Read et al. 2014*
Systematics in the PSF modelling for all 3 XRTs improved by iterative tuning of the on-axis PSF model parameters:

- Minimisation of annular spectral residuals w.r.t circular spectral model
- Source sample: 11 observations of bright non-piled-up point sources located at the nominal aim point
- Most relevant on-axis PSF parameters were investigated ($r_0$ and $\alpha$)
- Main changes affect off-axis angles < 3’

New XRTn_XPSF CCFs released: 08/2017 (Smith et al., XMM-CCF-REL-348)

2-D King profile:

$$B(r) = \frac{A}{(1 + (r/r_0)^2)^\alpha}$$
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Update of the **CORRAREA** Correction

The **CORRAREA** tool was implemented in SAS 14 (autumn 2014):

- Applies an empirical correction to the EPIC effective areas.
- Can be used to evaluate the impact that the current relative EPIC $A_{\text{eff}}$ uncertainties have on astrophysical parameters derived from spectral fitting.
- Derived from a sample of ~50 sources (FF and EFF modes only).
- Currently, a non-default SAS option.

A recalibration and full validation of the **CORRAREA** correction is currently being undertaken:

- Based on SAS 16.1, and current public CCFs.
- Larger source sample (~ 350 observations).
- More instrument modes (LW, SW) and filters (Thick).
- Revised screening: common GTIs, background selections, pile-up evaluation.
- Largely automated pipeline from data reduction to spectral and residual fitting.

⇒ To be released in summer 2018
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PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

- Simulated line energy trend after CTI correction using the LTCTI model based on LTCTI model residuals
- Actual line energy trend after CTI correction using the LTCTI model
- Actual line energy trend after applying the empirical LTCTI correction

Line energy trend with no correction for the LTCTI

Current Calibration

Long-term CTI and model residuals
After correcting for the long-term CTI:

- an additional **gain correction** is required
- residuals show correlation with quiescent background
- use the Discarded Line Counter (**NDISCLIN**) HK parameter as proxy for the QB
PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

Current SAS implementation:

- Correct energies for long-term CTI.
- Use the average discarded line rate, \texttt{NDISCLIN}, as proxy for the quiescent background.
- Determine mode / CCD dependent \texttt{NDISCLIN} rate scaling.
PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

Current SAS implementation:

- Correct energies for long-term CTI.
- Use the average discarded line rate, NDISCLIN, as proxy for the quiescent background.
- Determine mode / CCD dependent NDISCLIN rate scaling.
- Use this for an additional background-dependent gain correction.
PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

With Background Gain Correction

With Current Calibration
PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

With Background Gain Correction

With Current Calibration
The average discarded line rate,\textbf{ NDISCLIN}, is not always representative of the actual quiescent background.

- Need to use the \textit{instantaneous} discarded line rates (HK data).
- \texttt{S/W} change required: \texttt{implemented in SAS 17}
- Currently undergoing testing and calibration
PN: Quiescent Background Gain Correction

Current Calibration (i.e.: w/o QBGC)

Discarded Line rate versus Time
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EPIC-pn / NuSTAR Comparison

Comparison of 4 observations of 3C 273:
- PN imaging modes
- Strictly simultaneous PN-NuSTAR data
- Models fit to NuSTAR (extrapolated below 3 keV)

⇒ Systematic PN residuals: flux and spectral shape

- NuSTAR results comparing focused with stray-light measurements of the Crab confirm NuSTAR normalisation underestimated by ~ 12% (Madsen et al. 2017)

⇒ 15 – 20% PN flux deficit (> 3 keV)
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PN Timing Mode observations show several cases of sources with:

- larger than expected residuals at instrumental edges
- significant differences in line E with respect to e.g. grating data (up to 70 eV at ~ 6 keV)

Sources are in the moderate count rate regime.

Possible issue with rate dependent correction in Timing Mode (and Burst Mode).

- The chain of corrections affecting the TI & BU mode energy scale is being systematically evaluated.
- Additional observations added to the calibration sample (150 v. 45)
- Possible additional calibration point at Au-L edge (11.93 keV)

Work in progress...
2017 UG Recommendations: Summary of Status
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EPIC: Instrument Operations

- Smooth EPIC instrument operations over the last year
- No major events to report
- In general, the instruments are functioning nominally
MOS: CTI and Gain Update

• New set of MOS CTI & Gain CCFs released in 02/2018
  (M. Stuhlinger, XMM-CCF-REL-354 / 355):
    – MOS1: 24 epochs
    – MOS2: 27 epochs

• Epoch conformity between MOS1 and MOS2 broken after rev. 1163 (04/2006), mainly due to evolving charge traps within CCD columns

  ➢ Improvement of energy reconstruction of up to 5 eV @ 1.5 keV and 15 eV @ 6 keV (for peripheral CCDs in latest epoch).

  ➢ Energy scale is now accurate to < 5 eV for all CCDs.
MOS: Contamination Monitoring

Primary monitoring source:
SNR 1E0102

Contamination status shows no change in trend:

- MOS1 stable
- MOS2 steadily increasing (~14 % Aeff loss @ 0.5 keV in 2018)
PN: Stability Monitoring

N132D 0.3-2.5 keV

Stable to < 0.5%

N132D 2.0-6.0 keV

R. Saxton, 2017, XMM-SOC-CAL-TN-0212
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Summary of Calibration Plans & Activities

- EPIC CORRAREA: recalibration and extended validation  
  Summer 2018

- EPIC-pn quiescent background gain correction:
  - in SAS 17  
  - calibration  
  Imminent  
  Summer 2018

- Re-evaluation of EPIC-pn fast mode energy scale corrections  
  In progress

- EPIC-pn empirical RMF modelling  
  In progress

- EPIC-pn LTCTI correction for windowed modes (LW, SW)  
  In progress

- Include Cu-Ka fluorescence emission (8.0 keV) in EPIC-pn long-term CTI modelling  
  Under investigation