

# ULX behaviour: the University ULX behaviour: the University Univer



#### **Tim Roberts**

Matt Middleton (Cambridge) Andy Sutton (MSFC) Mar Mezcua (CfA) Dom Walton (Caltech) Lucy Heil (Amsterdam)



# The ultraluminous state



- ULX X-ray spectra different to sub-Eddington BHs (Stobbart et al. 2006; Gladstone et al. 2009; Bachetti et al. 2013 etc...): new ultraluminous state
- Confirmed as super-Eddington accretion onto stellar-mass BH by Motch et al. (2014)

Key question now: how does this work?

Wednesday 10th June 2015







Sutton, Roberts & Middleton (2013)

### Key behaviours



Wednesday 10th June 2015



# Super-Eddington models



- Super-Edd models naturally explain 2-component spectra as optically thick wind + inner disc
- Poutanen et al. (2007) inclination critical for observed spectrum: so on-axis HUL, off-axis SUL
  - Soft X-rays prop. to mdot, hard depend on viewing angle



# **Evolution of behaviour**

Middleton et al. (2015)

#### Data consistent with wind model

Best fitting models for multiple epochs of XMM data – green spectra when also variable. Red/blue are soft/hard components for least (dotted) and most (solid) luminous epochs



Wednesday 10th June 2015



# Origin of variability

- Variability seen predominantly in wind-dominated ULXs
- Face-on systems show little variability
- Explanation: extrinsic hard variability imprinted by edge of clumpy wind passing through line of sight





## Covariance spectra

Middleton et al. (2015)

#### Variability is constrained solely in the hard component – consistent with clumpy wind!



From Middleton et al. (submitted). Top panels: model plus decomposition, red data points:0.9 – 3 mHz, black: 3 – 200 mHz, both taken as covariance spectra against reference 1.5 – 3 keV band. Bottom panels: fits to covariance data. Black – diskbb+nthcomp with ratio fixed as per full model; green – diskbb+nthcomp with ratio free; blue – pure nthcomp

Wednesday 10th June 2015



## Winds

- Interpretation predicated on presence of wind
- Any direct evidence for presence of wind material?
- No narrow emission lines around Fe K in deep Suzaku observation of a HUL object, Ho IX X-1
- But HUL so not viewed through wind!



Walton et al. (2013)

feature; bottom: limits on line equivalent width



# Evidence for winds?

Middleton et al. (2014).



Combined NGC 5408 data – left: continuum model; right: continuum model plus broad, partially ionised absorber

- Long known that soft ULXs can have extensive fit residuals
- Can be fitted by thermal plasma
- □ But also explained by absorption from broadened, partially ionised and blueshifted  $(v \approx 0.1c)$  material – outflowing wind!

Wednesday 10th June 2015



# It's not SF-related plasma

*Sutton et al. in prep.* 

- Expected L<sub>x,SFR</sub> (e.g. Mineo et al. 2012) << observed L<sub>x,plasma</sub>
  In NGC 5408 – SFRs
- located within *XMM* footprint – but resolved by *Chandra* (left)
- > 2/3 of 'plasma' remains spatially unresolved



HST WFPC2 F336W image of NGC 5408, with the XMM footprint in green and Chandra in red. The two main SF clusters are indicated by red arrows.



## Evidence for a wind from behaviour





# A corollary to super-Eddington ULXs

- Ultraluminous state & associated behaviour provides a template for stellar mass BH ULXs
- But different (e.g. classic sub-Eddington state) behaviours could reveal different underlying objects (e.g. ESO 243-49 HLX-1, Servillat et al. 2011)
- For example spectrally hard but highly variable ULX in M51 (Earnshaw talk)
- □ Also extreme ULXs ( $L_x > 5 \times 10^{40}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) show sub-Eddington behaviour (Sutton et al. 2012)



# Extreme ULXs as IMBHs?

Sutton et al. 2015

- NGC 5907 ULX not IMBH spectral turnover (Sutton et al. 2013b, Walton et al. 2015)
- □ IC 4320 HLX revealed as  $z \sim 2.8$  QSO; other eULXs peak at ~ 10<sup>41</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> so 100  $M_{\odot}$  BHs at 10 × Eddington?



Left panel: green – IC 4320 HLX (before QSO ID); grey - M82 X-1; blue – Cartwheel N10; red – NGC 470 ULX.

<u>Right panel:</u> ESO 243-49 HLX-1

Wednesday 10th June 2015



## A new IMBH candidate in a hard state





# Conclusions

- The coarse behaviour of many nearby ULXs can be understood in the context of super-Eddington accretion, including a massive wind
  - Direct evidence for wind now emerging
  - But will this model survive further, more detailed data & techniques, e.g. lags, calorimeter spectra?
- Some minority ULX populations could be revealed by differing behaviour – IMBHs may still be identified via sub-Eddington states