

)urham

A. Annuar<sup>1</sup>\*, P. Gandhi<sup>1</sup>, D. M. Alexander<sup>1</sup>, D. Asmus<sup>2</sup>, A. Goulding<sup>3</sup>, C. M. Harrison<sup>1</sup> and G. B. Lansbury<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK. <sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

Compton-thick AGN (CTAGN) are defined by AGN that obscured by the circumnuclear torus (absorbing material) with a column density greater than the inverse Thomson scattering constant,  $\sigma_{T}$ , i.e.  $N_{\rm H} > 10^{24}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. The X-ray spectra of these sources show a broad bump peaking at around 20-30 keV with a steep decline below and above this energy due to photoelectric absorption and Compton-down scattering respectively. In the 2-10 keV band, this is approximated by a flat power law ( $\Gamma \leq 1$ ). At such high column density, the direct X-ray emission from the AGN below 10 keV is totally blocked by the obscuring material, and the observed X-ray flux arising from the photons scattered or reflected at the back-side of the torus is just a few percent of the total energy output. Their X-ray spectra also show a strong Iron K $\alpha$  fluorescence line at ~6.4keV with EW > 1 keV.

Many studies have shown that CTAGN provide important contribution to the cosmic X-ray background spectrum (10-25% at 30keV; e.g. Gilli et al. 07). Since they are very weak in X-rays, this indicates that their population should be fairly numerous. In fact according to Matt et al. 00, of the three AGN that we know within D < 4 Mpc, two are CT. This corresponds to a fraction of 75% of the AGN population! However, to date only 20 bona fide CTAGN are identified at D < 200 Mpc (Goulding et al. 12), which accounts for <<1% of the expected AGN population within this volume. This tells us that we don't have a complete census of their population beyond 4 Mpc. Therefore, a representative AGN sample is needed in order to constrain their population, starting at the local universe

## 3. IDENTIFYING COMPTON-THICK CANDIDATES

Mid-IR AGN sample within D < 15 Mpc from A. D. Goulding & D. M. Alexander (2009) was used as the parent sample for this work. Three other known AGN within D < 15 Mpc were then added to complete the sample

CTAGN can be identified via several methods:

- X-ray  $N_{\rm H}$  measurements, strong Iron K $\alpha$  line (EW > 1 keV) and
- flat photon index (Г≤1) below 10 keV.

 $\begin{array}{rl} \mbox{Advantages:} & - Traces the intrinsic continuum directly. \\ & - Direct measurement of N_{\rm H} values. \\ \mbox{Disadvantage:} & - Misses heavily CTAGN (N_{\rm H} > 10^{25}\,{\rm cm}^2). \end{array}$ 

2

- U	pucai
٠	F <sub>2-10keV</sub> / F <sub>10IIII.corr</sub> < 1 (Bassani et al. 99)
٠	Advantage: - Traces ionized gas in the narrow line
	region which extends beyond the torus.
٠	Disadvantage: - Narrow line region can be obscured by
	the host galaxy.

3. Mid-IR

F2-10keV / F12µn	n < 0.04
Advantage:	- Most of the absorbed radiation is re-
	emitted in Mid-IR by the torus.

Diasdvantage: - Contamination by the host galaxy.

We first looked into literatures for published  $N_{\rm H}$  values for the sources from current X-ray studies. However, only about half of them have high signal-to-noise X-ray spectroscopic data available, which allows accurate  $N_{\rm H}$  measurements to be done. We then tried to further identify CT candidates within the

sample using the mid-IR approach. X-ray fluxes were collected from the literature and archives based on various X-ray observations. X-ray data from high resolution *Chandra* observations were preferred to minimize contamination from other X-ray sources near the nucleus. Mid-IR fluxes at  $\sim$ 12µm were obtained from Asmus et al. (2014) that provides mid-IR data from high-angular resolution observations ( $\leq 0.4$ "), minimizing from neural contamination from the loss various (2.6.4.7), minimizing contamination from the loss galaxy. For those with no mid-IR high-angular resolution observations, the 12 $\mu$ m emission were estimated from their [NeV] $\lambda$ 14.32 $\mu$ m fluxes (A. Annuar et al. in prep.). The observed 2-10 keV luminosity of the sources were then plotted against their 12µm luminosity, and we defined those that lie >25x below the intrinsic relation by Gandhi et al. (2009)

as highly likely to be CT (Rovilos et al. 14). We also tried to identify CT candidates within the sample using the X-ray:optical diagnostic by Bassani et al. (1999), and the final classification of the sources were made based on all three ethods used

We present an updated census of Compton-thick AGN (CTAGN) population in the local universe using a volume-limited sample of mid-IR selected AGN complete to D=15Mpc. 20% of the sample has been identified as bona fide CTAGN from current X-ray studies. Further CT candidates are then identified using mid-IR:X-ray and optical [OIII]*i*.5007:X-ray diagnostics. Based on these analyses, we find that 25.45% of the AGN in our sample are CT. However due to lack of data, we believe that this fraction could also be as high as 70%. Of the three diagnostics used, we find that the mid-IR approach provides the most unbiased method to identify CTAGN as it yields the highest CTAGN fraction within the sample. Finally, we estimate the intrinsic  $N_{\rm H}$  distribution of the AGN population in the local universe. This work provides a well-defined local benchmark for AGN obscuration studies.



## **5. FINAL RESULTS**

AGN	Optical	MIR	log N <sub>H</sub> [cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	Final Classification
(1)	(2)	(3)	(A-1 ay) (4)	(5)
Circinus	СТ	СТ	24.6 <sup>1</sup>	СТ
ESO 121-G6	?	?	?	?
NGC 0613	No	No	23.6 <sup>2</sup>	No
NGC 0660	СТ	СТ	?	CT?
NGC 1068	СТ	СТ	> 25.03	СТ
NGC 1448	?	СТ	?	CT? <sup>‡</sup>
NGC 1792	?	No	?	?
NGC 3486	СТ	?	?	CT? <sup>†</sup>
NGC 3621	No	СТ	?	?
NGC 3627	СТ	СТ	?	CT?
NGC 3628	No	?	?	?
NGC 4051	No	No	23.34	No
NGC 4565	No	?	21.45	No
NGC 4945	No	No	24.76	СТ
NGC 5033	No	No	< 20.96	No
NGC 5128	No	No	23.07	No
NGC 5194	СТ	СТ	24.7 <sup>8</sup>	СТ
NGC 5195	?	СТ	?	?
NGC 5643	СТ	СТ	?	CT*
NGC 6300	No	No	23.39	No
%	35%	45%	20-25%	25-45%

Notes: Column (1): Name of the sources, (2): Optical diagnostic ('?': lack optical data/X-ray upper limits), (3): Mid-IR diagnostic ('?': those with 12 $\mu$ m upper limits), (4): N $_{\rm H}$  values from X-ray spectra fittings ( $N_{\rm H}$  values are only given for those with high signal-to-noise X-ray spectroscopic data available, (5): Final classification based on all three diagnostics (' $\ddagger$ ': spectroscopic data available, (5): Imat classification based on at three diagnosus ( $v_i$ ): Through private communication with A. D. Goulding, "v: This object has been suggested to be CT based on a flar photon index at 2-10 keV; e.g Cappi et al. 06, "v". This object has been indirectly identified as CT in X-ray based on the presence of strong iron Kα line. However, the N<sub>H</sub> value is not well-constrained; e.g. Maiolino et al. 98). *References*: (1) Marinucci et al. (2012), (2) Castangia et al. (2013), (3) Matt et al. (2000), (4) *Brightman* and Nandra (2011), (5) Chiaberge et al. (2006), (6) Diamond-Stanic (2009), (7) Grandi et al. (2003), (8) Fukazawa et al. (2001), (9) Guanazzi (2002).



In conclusion, the population of CTAGN is very difficult to constrain, even in the nearest universe. So far, we found that 25-45% of our mid-IR selected AGN sample within D < 15~Mpc are CT. This is comparable to what found in the X-ray-selected (~20%; Burlon et al. 11) and optically-selected (~30%; e.g. Risaliti et al. 99) AGN sample. However, we can treat this fraction as a lower limit as there are still a lot of the sources in the sample with uncertain classification. If we assume that all the sources with '?' in column (5) of table 1 are CT, this will push up the fraction to as high as 70% of the AGN c), this will push up the interior to as light as 70% of the AGN population! This would agree with what found by Matt et al. (2000) within D < 4 Mpc, and suggest that their population is indeed numerous. In addition, we also found that of the three diagnostics used, the mid-IR approach provides the most unbiased method to identify CTAGN as it yields the highest CTAGN fraction within the sample



D. Asmus, S. F. Honig, P. Gandhi, A. Smette, W. J. Duschl, 2014, MNRAS, 439, 1648 L. Bassani, M. Dadina, R. Maiolino et al., 1999, ApJS, 121, 473 M. Brightman and K. Nandra, 2011, MNRAS, 414, 3084 D. Burlon, M. Ajelio, J. Greiner, 2011, ApJ, 728, 38 M. Cappi, F. Panessa, L. Hankel, M. Kadler, A. Tarvhi, 2015, MNRAS, 436, 3388 M. Chiaherge, R. Gilli, F. D. Macchetto, W. B. Sparks, 2006, ApJ, 651, 728 M. Diamond, Shanie, G. H. Ricket, and J. R. Righy, 2009, ApJ, 651, 728 M. Diamond, Shanie, G. H. Ricket, and J. R. Righy, 2009, ApJ, 689, 623 Y. Fukazawa, N. Iyomoto, A. Kubota, Y. Matsumoto and K. Makishima, 2001, A&A, 74, 73 74,73

P. Gandhi, H. Horst, A. Smette et al., 2009, A&A, 502, 457 R. Gilli, A. Comastri and G. Hasinger, 2007, A&A, 463, 79 R. Gilli, A. Comastri and G. Hasinger, 2007, A&A, 463, 79 A. D. Goulding, D. M. Alexander, F. E. Bauer et al., 2012, ApJ, 755, 5 A. D. Goulding and D. M. Alexander, 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1165 P. Grandi, M. Fiocchi, G. G. Perola, et al., 2003, ApJ, 593, 160 M. Guninzzri, 2002, MNRAS, 329, L13 R. Maiolino, M. Salvari, L. Bassani, et al., 1908, A&A, 338, 781 A. Marinueci, S. Bianchi, F. Nicastro, G. Matt and A. D. Goulding, 2012, ApJ, 728, 130 G. Matt, A. C. Fabian, M. Guninnzzi, et al., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 173 G. Paneti, D. Marcinez, M. Schueir, 1009, ApJ, 627, 1000, MNRAS, 318, 173

G. Risaliti, R. Maiolino, M. Salvati, 1999, ApJ, 522, 157 E. Rovilos, I. Georgantopolous, A. Akylas et al., 2014, MNRAS, 438, 494

