#### Thermal properties of three Fermi pulsars

# Andrey Danilenko<sup>1</sup>, Anna Karpova<sup>1,2</sup>, Aida Kirichenko<sup>1,2</sup>, Yury Shibanov<sup>1,2</sup>, Peter Shternin<sup>1,2</sup>, Sergey Zharikov<sup>3</sup> & Dmitry Zyuzin<sup>1</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>Ioffe Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

<sup>2</sup>St. Petersburg State Polytechnical Univ., St. Petersburg, Russia

<sup>3</sup>Observatorio Astronómico Nacional SPM, UNAM, Mexico

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Motivation

#### Results

Summary

#### Motivation

Results

Summary

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲□▶

# Thermal radiation from INS. Why important

- $\blacktriangleright$  from  $\sim 10^2$  till  $\sim 10^5$  years (middle-aged) NSs cool down via neutrino emission
- neutrino emissivity depends on state and composition of supranuclear matter inside NSs
- also superfluidity
- $\blacktriangleright$  observations in soft X-rays and UV give us  ${\cal T}_{\rm eff}$
- ► comparison of T<sub>eff</sub> with predictions of the cooling theory gives us neutrino emissivity

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Nearby middle-aged pulsars, such as Vela and "Three Musketeers" (Geminga, B0656 and B1055), are of particular importance.

#### several promising targets (see Abdo et al. 2013)

PSRs J0357+3205, J1741-2054 and J0633+0632

- $\blacktriangleright$  their ages are  $\sim 10^4 \text{--} 10^5$  years and they are not farther than 1 kpc (Abdo et al. 2013)
- ► J0357+3205 and J0633+0632. X-ray data were analyzed previously (De Luca et al. 2011, Marelli et al. 2013, Ray et al. 2011)
- ▶ J1741-2054 X-ray PWN and H<sup>α</sup> bow-shock were detected (Romani et al. 2010)
- Large number of J1741–2054 photons were collected during 300 ks Chandra observations, PI Roger Romani, "A Legacy study of the relativistic Shocks of PWN"
- ▶ J1741-2054. phase-resolved spectroscopy of the non-thermal component of the X-ray emission based on XMM data (Marelli et al. 2014)

We analyzed X-ray data available in *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* archives. We also observed J0357+3205 in the optical with GTC. For details see papers by Kirichenko et al. 2014 and Karpova et al. 2014

several promising targets (see Abdo et al. 2013) PSRs J0357+3205, J1741-2054 and J0633+0632

- $\blacktriangleright$  their ages are  $\sim 10^4 \text{--} 10^5$  years and they are not farther than 1 kpc (Abdo et al. 2013)
- ► J0357+3205 and J0633+0632. X-ray data were analyzed previously (De Luca et al. 2011, Marelli et al. 2013, Ray et al. 2011)
- ▶ J1741-2054 X-ray PWN and H<sup>α</sup> bow-shock were detected (Romani et al. 2010)
- Large number of J1741–2054 photons were collected during 300 ks Chandra observations, PI Roger Romani, "A Legacy study of the relativistic Shocks of PWN"
- ▶ J1741-2054. phase-resolved spectroscopy of the non-thermal component of the X-ray emission based on XMM data (Marelli et al. 2014)

We analyzed X-ray data available in *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* archives. We also observed J0357+3205 in the optical with GTC. For details see papers by Kirichenko et al. 2014 and Karpova et al. 2014

Sar

several promising targets (see Abdo et al. 2013) PSRs J0357+3205, J1741-2054 and J0633+0632

- $\blacktriangleright$  their ages are  $\sim 10^4 \text{--} 10^5$  years and they are not farther than 1 kpc (Abdo et al. 2013)
- ► J0357+3205 and J0633+0632. X-ray data were analyzed previously (De Luca et al. 2011, Marelli et al. 2013, Ray et al. 2011)
- ► J1741-2054 X-ray PWN and Hα bow-shock were detected (Romani et al. 2010)
- Large number of J1741–2054 photons were collected during 300 ks Chandra observations, PI Roger Romani, "A Legacy study of the relativistic Shocks of PWN"
- ▶ J1741-2054. phase-resolved spectroscopy of the non-thermal component of the X-ray emission based on XMM data (Marelli et al. 2014)

We analyzed X-ray data available in *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* archives. We also observed J0357+3205 in the optical with GTC. For details see papers by Kirichenko et al. 2014 and Karpova et al. 2014

several promising targets (see Abdo et al. 2013) PSRs J0357+3205, J1741-2054 and J0633+0632

- ► their ages are ~ 10<sup>4</sup>-10<sup>5</sup> years and they are not farther than 1 kpc (Abdo et al. 2013)
- ► J0357+3205 and J0633+0632. X-ray data were analyzed previously (De Luca et al. 2011, Marelli et al. 2013, Ray et al. 2011)
- ► J1741-2054 X-ray PWN and Hα bow-shock were detected (Romani et al. 2010)
- Large number of J1741–2054 photons were collected during 300 ks Chandra observations, PI Roger Romani, "A Legacy study of the relativistic Shocks of PWN"
- ▶ J1741-2054. phase-resolved spectroscopy of the non-thermal component of the X-ray emission based on XMM data (Marelli et al. 2014)

We analyzed X-ray data available in *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* archives. We also observed J0357+3205 in the optical with GTC. For details see papers by Kirichenko et al. 2014 and Karpova et al. 2014

Sac

#### Motivation

#### Results

Summary

▲ロト ▲母 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● りへで

# Standard cooling theory predictions

NSs cool down via MURCA, no enhanced neutrino emission, no superfluidity



(see Yakovlev & Pethick 2004 and Yakovlev et al. 2011)

A D > A D > A D > A D >

∍

Sac

## J1741-2054 and "Three Musketeers"

blackbody + power-law,  $T_{
m eff}$  = 60  $\pm$  2 eV, R = 17  $\pm$  3  $D_{
m kpc}$  km



Karpova et al. 2014

3

590

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Multiwavelength spectrum of B1055–52

UV observations show that the surface may be cooler.



Mignani, Pavlov & Kargaltsev 2010

590

문 🕨 🗉 문

#### Standard cooling scenario

Here "3'" marks temperature of B1055–52 measured from UV



Karpova et al. 2014

3

Sac

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### J0633+0632 requires faster cooling processes?

hydrogen atmosphere + power-law,  $\mathit{T}_{\rm eff}$   $\approx$  40  $\pm$  15 eV



500

#### Motivation

Results

#### Summary

▲ロト ▲園 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 → りへぐ

- ► J1741-2054 looks similar to PSR B1055-2054, it is hotter than the standard cooling scenario predicts.
- J0633+0632 is likely the coldest NS on the neutrino cooling stage. Requires faster cooling processes?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

What to do next

- ▶ Observations of J1741–2054 in UV (Hubble).
- Observations of J0633+0632 with XMM-Newton.

- ► J1741-2054 looks similar to PSR B1055-2054, it is hotter than the standard cooling scenario predicts.
- ► J0633+0632 is likely the coldest NS on the neutrino cooling stage. Requires faster cooling processes?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What to do next

- ► Observations of J1741-2054 in UV (Hubble).
- ▶ Observations of J0633+0632 with XMM-Newton.

## The Chandra/ACIS-S spectrum of J0633+0632



### Is there a line? Posterior predictive check



Figure: None of 1000 simulations has LRT greater than the observed one, that is, *p*-value is less than 0.001.

・ロト ・四ト ・日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日・

#### T vs R for NSA+PL



Figure:  $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2 - \chi^2_{min} =$  2.3, 4.61, and 9.21

Kirichenko et al. 2014

◆ロト ◆聞 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ◆ 臣 ● 今へで

#### Multiwavelength spectrum of J0357+3205



Kirichenko et al. 2014

590

Э

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)



Karpova et al. 2014

æ

590

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# The best-fit BB+PL model



Karpova et al. 2014

#### $N_H$ and $T_{eff}$ vs the distance



Figure:  $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2 - \chi^2_{min} =$  2.3, 4.61, and 9.21

Karpova et al. 2014

Ð

< ⊡ >

500

E

# Field of J0633+0632





◆ロ ▶ ◆母 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● 日 ● ● ● ●

What have been done

- J1741 looks like forth Musketeer
- ► J0633 is probably cool and has an absorption feature

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = つへぐ

What to do next

- ▶ UV observations of J1741 (Hubble)
- XMM observations of J0633

What have been done

- J1741 looks like forth Musketeer
- ► J0633 is probably cool and has an absorption feature

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

What to do next

- UV observations of J1741 (Hubble)
- XMM observations of J0633