

# Constraints on the rate of particle acceleration using late X-ray observations of GRB afterglows

A. Martin-Carrillo and L. Hanlon School of Physics, University College Dublin e-mail: antonio.martin-carrillo@ucd.ie

# - GRB afterglows: models and observations

## The fireball model

- Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are the most luminous explosions in the universe and have central engines that drive the outbursts in highly relativistic jets (e.g. Mészáros 2006).
- The <u>afterglow emission</u> consists of synchrotron radiation produced by the interaction of a forward shock and the surrounding medium (Fig. 1).
- Two types of environments based on the density profile: ISM (homogeneous) and wind (inhomogeneous).
- Emission is characterised by six parameters: kinetic energy (E<sub>k</sub>), initial Lorentz factor (Γ), electron spectral index (p), equipartition fractions of electrons (ε<sub>k</sub>) and magnetic fields (ε<sub>B</sub>) and the density of the circumburst environment (n, or A for the wind model).

#### X-ray GRB afterglows

- ⇒ The observed flux can be described as:  $F \propto v^{\beta}t^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\beta$  is the energy spectral index.
- The X-ray light curve (Fig. 2) is characterised by a series of temporal segments with different decay slopes (e.g. Nousek et al. 2006).
- At 10<sup>6</sup> s after the GRB trigger the observed flux is F<sub>obs</sub> < 10<sup>-13</sup> erg cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> limiting the number of GRBs with detections at such late times (Fig. 3). This can also explain the lack of late jet breaks in the *Swift* sample (Fig. 4).





# • T < 1 Ms • 1 Ms $\leq$ T < 2 Ms • 2 Ms $\leq$ T < 5 Ms • T $\geq$ 5 Ms

Fig. 3: Percentage of GRB afterglows detected by *Swift*/XRT up to 1 Ms, 2 Ms and 5 Ms after the burst trigger. The sample includes a total of 646 GRBs since 2004.



Fig. 4: Histogram indicating the typical location of the jet break time from the burst trigger for CRBs with prominent jet breaks shown in Racusin et al. (2009).

## Particle acceleration in GRB afterglows

#### Maximum observed energy

- In the forward shock model, the particle acceleration is produced through diffusive shock acceleration in weakly magnetised relativistic collisionless shocks (e.g. Piran 2005).
- The maximum observed energy is limited by Confinement (Conf), Synchrotron or Inverse Compton (IC) as shown in Fig. 5.



These limits depend on:

- o forward shock emission parameters,
- o upstream magnetic field (Bu~10 μG) o diffusion efficiency (g~1-10), that
- describes the rate at which the acceleration happens considering the deflection suffered by the electrons in the upstream region (e.g. Sagi & Nakar 2012).

In X-rays the IC limit is the most important, except at late times in the wind environment when  $v_{obs} > v_c$  when Confinement is more important

#### Steepening of the afterglow light curve

- Maximal observed energy of the electrons crossing the X-ray band, assuming an electron spectral index p=2.4 and a power-law with exponential cutoff distribution (Fig. 6).
- Breaks are smooth with index, |s|~0.66.



# Results

## **Prediction:**

### Type 1: Jet breaks

- Change of slope (Kumar & Panaitescu 2000): o ISM: Δα~0.7
  - o Wind: Δα~0.4
- No spectral variations.

#### Type 2: End of X-ray emission breaks

- Temporal breaks caused by the inability of the shock to accelerate electrons that emit in the X-ray band will be observed at late times (t >10<sup>6</sup> s after the GRB trigger).
  o ISM/Wind (IC limit): Δα~0.3
  - o Wind (confinement limit):  $\Delta \alpha \sim 1.0$
- No difference between ISM and wind when vobs > vc.
- Softening of the spectrum.

107 10-1 erg 10-1 ŝ 10-1 GRB 080411 10- $\Delta \alpha = 0.78 \pm 0.4$ ă, 10<sup>-1</sup> 2.0 Softening?? +++10<sup>5</sup> Time since trigger (s) 10 Fig. 7 10



GRB 080411- modelled with a type 2 break o  $v_{obs} > v_c$  (post-break) in ISM o  $\Gamma(t_{break})\sim 6$ 

**a)** No B<sub>u</sub> amplification: B<sub>u</sub>~10  $\mu$ G => g~5 **b)** B<sub>u</sub> amplification: B<sub>u</sub>~0.1 mG => a~500

 $y = b_u = mp(mcation, b_u \sim 0.1 mG => g \sim 500$ 

If <u>Buis amplified</u>, the efficiency of particle acceleration decreases for shocks moving at mildly relativistic velocities

GRB 120711A- modelled with a type 2 break o  $v_{obs} > v_c$  (post-break) in wind-like o  $\Gamma(t_{break})\sim 10-30$ 

**a)** No B<sub>u</sub> amplification:  $B_u \sim 10 \ \mu G \Rightarrow g \sim 23-240$ **b)** B<sub>u</sub> attenuation:  $B_u \sim 1 \ \mu G \Rightarrow g \sim 2-24$ 

Strong indication of decreasing efficiency of particle acceleration at late times when compared with GeV observations (g~1)

### References

Kumar & Panaitescu 2000, ApJ, 541, L9 Mészáros, P. 2006, RPPhysics, 69, 2259 Nousek et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 389 Piran, T. 2005, Rev. Modern Physics, 76, 1 Racusin, J. L. et al. 2009, ApJ, 698, 43 Sagi & Nakar 2012, ApJ, 749, 80

#### Acknowledgements

AMC and LH acknowledge support from Science Foundation Ireland under grant 09/RFP/AST/2400