X-ray observations of supersoft binaries: Status and perspectives

Klaus Reinsch¹, Iris Traulsen², Robert Schwarz², Vadim Burwitz³

¹ Institut für Astrophysik, Universität Göttingen
² Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam
³ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garching





Supersoft X-ray binaries: observational characteristics



Supersoft X-ray binaries: standard model



Supersoft X-ray binaries: model components



White dwarf + main sequence donor + accretion disk + rim + wind + jet + circumbinary material Nuclear burning WD directly visible or partially/ temporarily absorbed + scattered

radiation

Spectral energy distribution

QR And: Two spectral components visible

Soft X-ray/ EUV: hot WD $n_{H} = (0.84 \pm 0.05) \ 10^{21} \ cm^{2} \ kT_{bb} = (18.5 \pm 0.5) \ eV$ IR – UV: accretion disk, no signature of donor star



X-ray variability on the binary period

QR And P_{orb} = 15.85 h seen in optical and UV, possibly in X-rays (ROSAT), not confirmed by XMM-Newton observation



Beuermann et al. 1995

Sub-orbital time-scale X-ray variability



QR And: XMM-Newton X-ray and UV (OM) Origin of variation unknown

Reinsch et al., in preparation

High-resolution spectroscopy

Complex spectra: absorption + emission-line features, Doppler-shifted components (expanding envelope, wind)

Inclination effect?



X-ray on- and off-states



Reinsch et al. 2000, A&A 354, L37

RX J0513.9-6951

anti-correlated X-ray and optical variability

Suggested models:

Mass-transfer rate changes triggered by illuminated accretion disk + viscosity changes (Reinsch et al. 2000)

Accretion wind evolution (Hachisu & Kato 2003)

X-ray on- and off-states





RX J0513.9-6951

Spectral variability and physical parameters

McGowan et al. 2005, MNRAS 364, 462

Long-term X-ray variability and burning stability

QR And: optical

Episodes of rapid brightness changes

X-ray

Indications for long-term flux variation



Greiner & Wenzel 1996, A&A 294, L5

Open questions and problems

- Nature of the donor star?
 - Thermal time-scale vs. wind-driven mass transfer
 - Distance and luminosity of the system
- Origin of the X-ray emission?
 - Hot WD vs. scattering corona
 - Structure of surrounding environment
- Long-term stability of nuclear burning?
- Net mass balance in SSS phase?
- Where are the missing systems?
 - Predicted vs. observed population density

Observations of supersoft X-ray binaries with future X-ray missions



eROSITA/ SRG

Simulation of 50 ksec pointed observation scaled to the EPIC/pn flux of QR And

- black body
- WD atmospheres (TMAP, Rauch et al.)

Energy range (designed): 0.5 – 10 keV Low-energy limit proposed by WG compact objects: 0.3 – 10 keV

Simulation: I. Traulsen

Observations of supersoft X-ray binaries with future X-ray missions



Athena/ X-IFU

Simulation of 50 ksec pointed observation scaled to the EPIC/pn flux of QR And

- black body
- WD atmospheres (TMAP, Rauch et al.)

=> High-resolution spectroscopy

- detailed study of absorption/ emission components

- temporal variability

Simulation: I. Traulsen

Summary and conclusions

- Current X-ray missions (XMM-Newton, Chandra, Swift) still provide high potential for the study of (persistent) supersoft X-ray binaries
 - Unique soft X-ray capabilities, long mission duration/ timebase with same instrumentation
- eROSITA/ SRG could provide the first all-sky census of supersoft sources since ROSAT if the energy cutoff would be lowered to 0.3 keV
- Detailed spectral diagnostics of the supersoft X-ray binaries, their environment, and their dynamics will become available with Athena+