X-ray spectral variability of LINERs selected from the Palomar sample*
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1. Introduction & Aims

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are divided into type 1 (broad permitted lines) and 2 (narrow lines) at optical wavelengths. From the viewpoint of the unified model (UM) of AGN (Antonucci 1993) the differences are due to orientation effects relative to a dusty torus surrounding the nuclear black hole. Low luminosity nuclear emission line regions (LINERs) are usually seen as scaled-down versions of Seyfert galaxies, but the way to introduce them within the UM is still controversial (Ho 2008). Although variability is a general property of AGN (Peterson 1997), how these changes occur is not yet clear. The first evidence of the variable nature of LINERs was the work done by Maoz et al. (2005). Variations have been found for some objects at X-rays (Younes et al. 2011, Hernández-García et al. 2013), but the main driver of the changes is still an open question. The main purpose of this work is to investigate the X-ray variability in LINERs, including the main driver of such variations, and to search for eventual differences between type 1 and 2 LINERs.

2. Data & Methodology

We use 18 LINERs from the Palomar Sample (Ho et al. 1997) with data from Chandra and/or XMM-Newton archives at different epochs. The galaxies are divided in two groups: AGN candidates (point-like source at hard X-rays) and non-AGN candidates (otherwise, see Table 1) (González-Martin et al. 2009). All the spectra from the same object are simultaneously fitted (see Fig. 1) to study long term variations. The nature of the variability patterns are studied allowing different parameters to vary during the spectral fit.

Short term variations are studied from the analysis of the light curves, and UV variations when data are available from the optical monitor onboard XMM-Newton (see Fig. 2).

3. Results

See Table 1, with the same color code:
- No short term variations are reported in X-rays.
- The three LINERs classified as non-AGN in X-rays do not show variations at those frequencies, all are Compton-thick candidates (i.e., \( N_e > 1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) and two of them show UV variations.
- Long term X-ray variations can be analysed in 12 out of the 15 AGN candidates, seven of them showing variability. At UV frequencies, five out of six AGN candidates with available data are variable.
- 13 AGN candidates are analysed at UV and/or X-rays, 10 of them variable at least in one energy band. Therefore, long timescale variability is very common in LINERs.
- The main driver of these X-ray variations is related to changes in the nuclear power, while changes in absorption are found only in the case of NGC 1052. We do not find any difference between type 1 and 2 LINERs, either in the number of variable cases (three out of five type 1s and four out of seven type 2s) nor in the nature of the variability pattern.
- McHardy et al. (2006) reported a relation between the bend timescale for variations, \( T_b \), black hole mass and bolometric luminosity. Fig. 3 show it vs. the shortest period where variations were observed, \( T_{\text{var}} \). All the variable objects in our sample are consistent with this relation, under which LINERs would have the same accretion mechanism than other AGN.
- The accretion in LINERs is though to be dominated by radiatively inefficient accretion flows. An indication would be the anticorrelation between \( \Gamma \) and the Eddington ratio. We can not conclude such relation based in this set of data (Fig. 4).

Table 1. Properties and results of the sample galaxies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Morph. Type</th>
<th>Optical class</th>
<th>X-ray class</th>
<th>X-ray variability</th>
<th>Flux variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 315</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L1.9</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 327</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4241</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4636</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5181</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5813</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6000</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7218</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7271</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7469</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7703</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 8712</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2.0</td>
<td>AGN</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

We found that X-ray variations are due to changes in the continuum of the AGN. These results agree well with the expected variations according to their BH masses and accretion rates. Thus, LINERs behave as more powerful AGN in X-rays. We can not conclude if a different accretion mechanism operates in LINERs.

On the other hand, the result that some type 2 LINERs vary at UV frequencies may suggest that a naked AGN can be observed at these wavelengths, what could be explained in the scenario where the torus dissapears at low luminosities.
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