

Nobuhiro Okabe

Kavli IPMU (Univ. of Tokyo)





Galaxy <u>Clusters</u>

 Most massive gravitationally bound objects •10^14 ~ 10^15 M_sun Dark Matter (DM) plays a dominant role to the formation Seen with various wavelengths

Boylan-Kolchin+09



Optical Galaxies

Okabe+14a



Weak-Lensing Dark Matter

Okabe+14a





Suzaku Low and st

Low and stable background

 $r_{
m vir}$

 r_{500}

80-90 % of the volume of clusters was unexplored.

Cluster Outskirts

Unexpected Feature of the ICM in Cluster Outskirts



Temperature drops at $r > r_{500}$.

density (monotonously) decreases.

Entropy flattens at r > r₅₀₀.

Correlation between the outskirts temperature (or density) and surrounding large scale structure is found in several clusters.



A1689 : Kawaharada+10

Entropy Profile $K = \frac{k_B T}{n_e^{2/3}}$

Walker+2012



Possible interpretations<u>Temperature drops</u>

1: non-thermal pressure (Kawaharada+10) 2: $T_i \neq T_e$

(Hoshino+10) 3: the efficiency of accretion shock heating is weakened due to cosmic expansion (Lapi+10)

•<u>Number density</u>

overestimated by gas clumpiness (Nagai+Lau11)





Gravitational Lensing Effect =directly "see" invisibles

•independent of dynamical state

complementary to X-ray studies

Subaru Telescope: Best facility for WL measurement

1.High photon collecting power (8.2 m mirror) (limitting mag ~26ABmag with short exposure ~30 min)

2.Good seeing (~0.7")

3. High imaging quality

4.Large Field-of-view

(0.25 sqdeg ~ 1 pointing
covers virial radius of clusters

@ z > 0.15)



Subaru Weak-Lensing (WL) Study

 $r_{
m vir}$



Weak-lensing

Chandra/XMM

500

Suzaku

Hot gas

HST

Strong-lensing

Subaru

Total mass (DM)

A comparison of X-ray and weak-lensing cluster mass estimates provides a stringent test of the level of hydrostatic equilibrium out to viral radius.

Weak Gravitational lensing Distortion

Intrinsic shape of a background galaxy

· · · · · /.

$arepsilon \sim 0.3$ $arepsilon^{ m obs} \simeq arepsilon + \gamma^{ m GL}$

Step 1: Quantify the shape of each galaxy in terms of its surface brightness profile

Weak Lensing = A statistical lensing

Step 2: Assumption of random orientation of intrinsic ellipticity \Rightarrow Averaging over galaxies

<u>coherent signal</u>

$\langle \varepsilon_i^{\rm obs} \rangle \simeq \langle \gamma_i^{\rm GL} \rangle \pm \langle \varepsilon_i \rangle$

(1) + (1) /

 $S/N \simeq \gamma^{\rm GL}(M) \sqrt{N_g}$

<u>'coherent signal</u>

1 14

11

1 1 1

1

1.1.

1 . 1 . . .

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$

1.1.1

1 1

1111

111

X N.

1 1 1 1

1 7

1 1

1 1 1 1 1 1 1

1 1 1 1 1

111

.

1 1 1 1



Importance of a secure background selection

background galaxies (lens signal)

member galaxies (no signal)



images

Contamination of member galaxies $\Delta \Sigma_{+} \simeq \gamma_{+} \Sigma_{\rm cr} = \Sigma(< r) - \Sigma(r)$





An average mass profile for clusters

Universal Mass Profile

Simulation-based predictions: the appearance of a characteristic, universal density profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 96, 97; <u>NFW profile</u>)



Navarro+04

$$O_{\rm NFW}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(1+r/r_s)^2}$$

Cluster Mass M

M_{vir}

Concentration parameter

$$c_{\rm vir} = r_{\rm vir} / r_s$$

The mass and concentration are correlated.

A test of the CDM hierarchical structure formation scenario

Stacked Weak-lensing analysis for 50 clusters (LoCuSS) intrinsic properties = Mass, Concentration, subhalos, triaxiality....

subhalos

Stacking lens signals triaxiality =less sensitive to individual properties.



cluster 1

cluster 2

cluster 3





z~0.2

Okabe+13



Joint Suzaku X-ray and Subaru Weak-Lensing Analysis

Observations are expensive!!

X-ray : typical integration time of outskirts

> 200 ksec

~ 4 hours

~20 - 50 ksec (<r500)

 WL : Subaru observation of very nearby clusters (z<0.1 : ~4 deg^2)

~ 1 hour	(z~0.2)
----------	-----------

Name	z	L_X	$\langle k_B T \rangle$	M_{200}
		$[10^{45} \mathrm{erg s}^{-1}]$	$[\mathrm{keV}]$	$[h_{70}^{-1}10^{14}M_{\odot}]$
Hydra A	0.0538	0.27	3.0	$3.72^{+2.11}_{-1.44}$
A 478	0.0881	0.72	7.0	$13.05_{-3.30}^{+4.12}$
A 1689	0.1832	1.25	9.3	$16.73^{+4.88}_{-3.44}$
A 1835	0.2528	1.97	8.0	$10.35_{-2.40}^{+2.80}$

X-ray hydrostatic to WL total mass ratios



MH.E. < MwL at $\Delta > 1000$ MH.E.~70% MwL at $\Delta = 500$

Radial dependence (3 sigma)

important for cluster cosmology.

Okabe+14b arXiv:1406.3451

Gas Mass Fraction



Normalized Entropy Profile

Traditional method



Step 1 : **Estimates** normalization factors using scaling relation. Step 2 : Normalized the profile to unity at a pivot radius. Step 3 : Fitting the normalized profile with given function. **Error propagation** becomes very difficult

New method Mass-observable scaling relation X radial profile $f_K(M_\Delta, \tilde{r}) = K_0 E(z)^{-4/3} \left(\frac{M_\Delta E(z)}{10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot} \right)^a \times (\tilde{r}/\tilde{r}_0)^\alpha \left(1 + (\tilde{r}/\tilde{r}_0)^\beta \right)^{-\alpha/\beta}$

scaling relation radial profile Simultaneously fitting multiple data points of all clusters with WL mass and overdensity radius.

All errors from X-ray observables and WL masses are considered.

This new method enables us to measure an average profile, which is complementary to the stacked method (we don't need re-analysis of stacked X-ray profile).

Normalized Entropy Profile



 Thermalization mechanism over the entire cluster region is controlled by the gravity.

 Heating efficiency in cluster outskirts needs to be modified from the standard law.

Okabe+14b arXiv:1406.3451

Normalized Pressure Profile



Pressure slope steepens

Consistent with the average Planck SZ profile

Joint fit with Number Density and Temperature Profiles



Caused by the steeping T profile, rather than the flattening n profile.

Summary

 Several research groups reported that outskirts entropy flattens beyond ~0.5 rvir.

2.Weak-lensing mass is a key to understand the ICM in cluster outskirts.

3.Мн.е./MwL is decreasing from 70% (r500) to 40% (rvir).

4. Gas profiles normalized by the weak-lensing mass and over-density radius are surprisingly self-similar.

5.The flattening entropy is caused by the steeping of the temperature profile, rather than by the flattening of the density profile.

6. Future.....

Subaru New Prime Focus Camera

Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC)



FoV: 1.5 sqdeg



(Credit: HSC Project / NAOJ)

Subaru/HSC



Typical Apparent Diameter of the Moon (0.5 degrees)



Suprime-Cam First Light Release January 1999

Suprime-Cam Image Release September 2001

Hyper Suprime-Cam Image Release July 2013

(Credit: HSC Project / NAOJ)

HSC Survey started !



Figure 11: The location of the HSC-Wide, Deep (D) and Ultradeep (UD) fields on the sky in equatorial coordinates. A variety of external data sets and the Galactic dust extinction are also shown. The shaded region is the region accessible from the CMB polarization experiment, ACTPol, in Chile.

1400 sqdeg
full 5-bands : g'r'i'z'y'
good seeing : ~0."7
reconstruct DM distirubtion up to z ~1.5
2014-2019 (300 nights)
overlapped with ACT (ACT-pol: SZ) region

A joint study will encourage the Xray, optical and WL community.

Future Joint Analysis

~ 2-4ksec

Astro

eROSITA

Subaru/HSC 1: high quality photometric data 2: optical-identified cluster sample 3: WL masses