



The gas distribution in the outer regions of galaxy clusters A&A 541, 57 (2012) A&A 529, 133 (2011)

Dominique Eckert

ISDC Data Centre for Astrophysics, University of Geneva

Collaborators: Franco Vazza (Jacobs Univ. Bremen), Silvano Molendi, Mariachiara Rossetti, Fabio Gastaldello (IASF Milano), Stefano Ettori, Mauro Roncarelli (OA Bologna), Daisuke Nagai, Erwin Lau (Yale), Steve Snowden (GSFC) Why study cluster outskirts?

- Where structure formation takes place
- The region where transition between virialized gas from clusters and infalling material from LSS occurs
- Calibrate X-ray mass measurements



Vazza et al. 2011

Why study cluster outskirts?

- Where structure formation takes place
- The region where transition between virialized gas from clusters and infalling material from LSS occurs
- Calibrate X-ray mass measurements



Vazza et al. 2011

A breakthrough was achieved recently with Suzaku

ROSAT had several advantages with respect to Suzaku

- Large FOV (25 times Suzaku)
- Low and stable instrumental bkg
- Better PSF (25" on-axis)
- ... But limited spectral capabilities



Eckert et al. 2011

ROSAT had several advantages with respect to Suzaku

- Large FOV (25 times Suzaku)
- Low and stable instrumental bkg
- Better PSF (25" on-axis)
- ... But limited spectral capabilities



Eckert et al. 2011

 \rightarrow Excellent instrument to study the gas distribution in low-SB regions

- First cluster with T measurements at *R*₂₀₀ (George et al. 2009)
- SB profile inconsistent with ROSAT (Eckert et al. 2011)
- Original analysis affected by incorrect bkg modeling, see the revised analysis by Walker et al. 2012



Eckert et al. 2011

- First cluster with T measurements at *R*₂₀₀ (George et al. 2009)
- SB profile inconsistent with ROSAT (Eckert et al. 2011)
- Original analysis affected by incorrect bkg modeling, see the revised analysis by Walker et al. 2012



Eckert et al. 2011

Measurements in cluster outskirts are difficult, cross-check is important (see S. Molendi's talk)

- We analyzed a sample of 31 nearby clusters (0.04 < z < 0.2), expanding on the works of Vikhlinin et al. 1999 and Neumann et al. 2005
- Emission-measure and deprojected density profiles for all clusters
- R₂₀₀ values from scaling relations



Eckert et al. 2012

Stacked emission-measure profiles

- We stacked self-similar scaled EM profiles and divided the sample into CC and NCC
- Beyond ~ 0.3R₂₀₀ NCC profiles exceed CC



Eckert et al. 2012

Stacked emission-measure profiles

- We stacked self-similar scaled EM profiles and divided the sample into CC and NCC
- Beyond ~ 0.3*R*₂₀₀ NCC profiles *exceed* CC
- When integrating out to R₂₀₀ CC and NCC *include the same gas mass*



Eckert et al. 2012

The same gas mass is redistributed between the central regions and the outskirts

- We extracted stacked deprojected density profiles for the full sample and the CC/NCC populations separately
- Emission detected out to $1.2R_{200}$
- The density **steepens** with increasing radius:



Eckert et al. 2012

$eta_{0.2-0.4}$	$eta_{0.4-0.65}$	$eta_{0.65-1.2}$
0.661 ± 0.002	0.710 ± 0.009	0.890 ± 0.026

Azimuthal scatter profiles

 Azimuthal scatter (Vazza et al. 2011) in N = 12 sectors: quantifies deviations from azimuthal symmetry

$$\Sigma^{2}(r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(SB_{i}(r) - \langle SB(r) \rangle)^{2}}{\langle SB(r) \rangle^{2}}$$

- In the central regions $\Sigma_{CC} \ll \Sigma_{NCC}$
- Beyond $\sim R_{500}$ all populations exhibit a large level of scatter (60 - 80%)



Eckert et al. 2012

Azimuthal scatter profiles

 Azimuthal scatter (Vazza et al. 2011) in N = 12 sectors: quantifies deviations from azimuthal symmetry

$$\Sigma^2(r) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N rac{(SB_i(r) - \langle SB(r)
angle)^2}{\langle SB(r)
angle^2}$$

- In the central regions $\Sigma_{CC} \ll \Sigma_{NCC}$
- Beyond $\sim R_{500}$ all populations exhibit a large level of scatter (60 - 80%)

Even in "relaxed" clusters there is large asymmetry in the outskirts due to accretion occurring along preferential directions



Eckert et al. 2012

Comparison with numerical simulations

- We compared our density profile with 3 different types of numerical simulations
- Non-radiative simulations are too steep, better agreement beyond R₅₀₀



Eckert et al. 2012

Comparison with numerical simulations

- We compared our density profile with 3 different types of numerical simulations
- Non-radiative simulations are too steep, better agreement beyond R₅₀₀
- Including cooling+star formation does not improve
- Clumping (Nagai & Lau 2011) leads to better agreement, but low gas fraction (~10%)



Eckert et al. 2012

- We obtained 250 ks with XMM to detect clumps in A2142 and Hydra A
- According to ENZO simulations we should detect ~ 40 clumps per cluster $(z = 0.1, F_{lim} = 2 \times 10^{-15}$ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻²)



Vazza, DE et al. in prep

- We obtained 250 ks with XMM to detect clumps in A2142 and Hydra A
- According to ENZO simulations we should detect ~ 40 clumps per cluster $(z = 0.1, F_{lim} = 2 \times 10^{-15}$ ergs s⁻¹ cm⁻²)
- Our program will give strong constraints on the amount of clumping in cluster outskirts



- We analyzed a sample of 31 clusters observed with *ROSAT*/PSPC
- \bullet Emission detected out to $\sim 1.2 R_{200},$ EM profiles steepen beyond R_{500}
- NCC profiles exceed CC beyond $0.3R_{200}$, we explain this by redistribution of the same gas mass within the cluster volume
- Beyond R_{500} all clusters exhibit a high level of asymmetry (sector-to-sector scatter $\Sigma \gtrsim 60\%$)
- Simple NR and CSF simulations are too steep to reproduce the data, clumping slightly improves the agreement
- We obtained an *XMM* program to map the outskirts of 2 clusters (A2142 and Hydra A) with high azimuthal scatter to look for accreting clumps

Backup Slides

Systematics in ROSAT analysis

- Bkg dominated by cosmic components, total non-cosmic ~20% of the total bkg
- SB analysis of 5 blank fields from the center of the observation, fit with a constant
- Excess scatter in the data of 6% of the background value, includes both systematic error and cosmic variance
- A systematic error of 6% is propagated when subtracting the bkg



Eckert et al. 2012

Intrinsic vs statistical scatter

• The total azimuthal scatter is the sum of the intrinsic and statistical scatter:

$$\Sigma^2 = \Sigma_{\textit{int}}^2 + \Sigma_{\textit{stat}}^2$$

• Method 1: subtraction of the statistical scatter

$$\Sigma_{stat}^2 = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N rac{\sigma_i^2}{\langle SB
angle^2}$$

- Method 2: ML estimator (Maccaccaro et al. 1988)
- Good agreement between the 2 methods



Eckert et al. 2012

Observed scatter vs simulations

- We compared the observed azimuthal scatter profile with simulations
- NR simulations predict *too large* scatter, including cooling predicts *too low* scatter
- Cooling makes clusters more spherical (Lau et al. 2011), too much because of "cooling catastrophe"

ENZO ART NR ART CSF



Eckert et al. 2012