

Calibration of star formation rate tracers for shortand long-lived star formation episodes: far infrared and soft X-ray luminosities



L_{FIR} vs. Time

-- Z=0.02

Time / Myr

Z=0.000 Z=0.008

N

(erg s

log [

Héctor Otí-Floranes & Jose Miguel Mas-Hesse, CAB (INTA-CSIC)

To derive the history of star formation in the Universe a set of calibrated star formation rate (SFR) tracers is required. Using evolutionary synthesis models, we have computed the predicted evolution of different estimators of the SFR assuming nearly-instantaneous (IB) and continuous star formation (EB) regimes, and the effect of interstellar extinction and metallicity.

A self-consistent calibration of a complete set of SFR tracers in the whole electromagnetic range has been obtained, focusing here on the far infrared and Xray luminosities (FIR & Lx). We will show the web tool implemented to use the calibration developed and compare the predictions with previous calibrations of general use. Also, we will show how the estimators predictions can reproduce the FIR and Lx values of a sample of star-forming galaxies assuming usual ages of starburst regions, and using typical values for the parameters considered: extinction, and efficiency in the conversion from mechanical energy injected into the medium to X-rays emission.

Finally, we stress that in order to measure the intensity of star formation episodes we should distinguish between IB regimes, for which star formation strength (M_{\odot}) should be used, and EB regimes, for which the more common SFR (M_{\odot} yr⁻¹) should be used.

THE CALIBRATION OF STAR FORMATION TRACERS

Emission over the whole electromagnetic range, from X-rays to radio energies, were calculated with CMHK02 (Cerviño & Mas-Hesse 1994, Cerviño et al. 2002) and Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) evolutionary population synthesis models in order to obtain a self-consistent calibration of star formation tracers to be applied on starbursts to characterize the star formation and quantify its intensity. The calibration is available as a web tool in http://www.laeff.cab.inta-csic.es/research/sfr/ The main features of these models are:

· SFH: extended bursts with constant star formation (EB), as well as instantaneous bursts (IB)

- EB models: extended models. They assume constant star formation and are characterized by the value of the star formation rate (SFR) measured in Me yr¹. Evolutionary states considered are Age=10, 30 and 250 Myr

- IB models: instantaneous models. No further star production after time=0 is assumed. Here, initial mass of the burst (star formation strength, SFS) is calculated in M_{\odot} . Ages=4, 5 and 6 Myr.

• IMF: nominally we assumed a Salpeter profile (ϕ (m)=A m^{2.35}) with mass ranges considered being 2-120, 0.1-100, 1-100 M_☉. Special care must be taken when comparing results from these models with other models which use different mass limits.

Magnitudes: SFR/SFS can be calculated with the value of the number of ionizing photons, L(Lyα), L(Hα), continuum at 1500, 2000, 3500, 4400, 5500 and 22200 A, FIR for E(B-V)=0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 1, radio luminosity at 1.4 GHz or L_X(0.4-2.4 keV).

Metallicity: a solar metallicity Z_☉ is assumed as nominal, but different values were considered in order to study its effect on the estimators.

SOFT X-RAY LUMINOSITY

The soft X-ray luminosity is calculated in the 0.4-2.4 keV energy range. The sources which produce such emission are:

Diffuse gas heated by the mechanical energy released into the ISM by stellar winds and supernovae

Supernova remnants

These contributions are modeled by a composite Raymond-Smith thermal plasma at k_BT =0.23, 0.76 and 1.29 keV for the latter and a fixed temperature k_BT =0.5 keV for the former (Cerviño et al 2002). There is actually one free parameter e_{eff} the fraction of mechanical energy released that ends up heating the gas up to X-rays temperatures.

Some other X-ray radiation sources are ignored for this study:

· HMXBs: their contribution to the X-ray emission peaks at harder energies.

LMXBs: they have not had time to form in the starburst, but contamination by an underlying population of the galaxy could be present.

· Stellar emission: intrinsic X-ray luminosity from the stars is negligible in our case

L_{softx} AND L_{softx} TO L_{FIR} RATIO In these graphs we present the evolution of the X-ray luminosity (the scaling is similar to the case of $L_{\rm p(b)}$ for different ${\bf s}_{\rm eff}$ values and the ratio ${\bf L}_{\rm polt}/{\bf L}_{\rm pin}$ of certain models which can reproduce the observational data (composed of three samples taken from the literature) represented by its total histogram.



FIR LUMINOSITY

Z° 36,5

(erg s

LFIR

08 [

Here, we show the $L_{\rm FR}$ over time for both EB (scaled over SFR, right axis) and IB (scaled over the mass converted into stars, i.e. the total mass of the burst) models for various metallicity Z values. Once the most massive stars start to die, $L_{\rm FR}$ decreases dramatically in the IB models. In the EB models these stars are replaced and therefore $L_{\rm FR}$ approaches a steady state asymptotically. When lower Z values are considered, stellar lifetimes are higher and the accumulation of stars produces a higher FIR emission

continuum

Concerning the **FIR luminosity**, a thermal equilibrium of dust is assumed, which implies all energy absorbed by dust being reemitted in the infrared range. The

E(B-V): extinction produced by the dust

 L_{FIR} saturates very rapidly for E(B-V) > 0.5, so E(B-V) = 1 can be considered an upper

Completely obscured stars, whose radiation would be totally converted into

• 1-f: fraction of Lyman conti absorbed by dust, assuming 1-f = 0.3.

value and we will assume it hereafter.

parameters present here are:

Completely

emission

FIR, are not considered.





Here we display the $L_{\rm setty}$ and $L_{\rm FiR}$ values from the data samples from Ranalli et al. (2003) (which we shall refer to as Ran), Tuilmann et al. (2006) (Tul) and Rosa-González et al. (2007) (Rosag) together with the predictions of our models for several values of $c_{\rm set}^{-0}$. 001, 005 and 0.1 at times 2, 10 and 30 Myr for EB and 2, 4 and 6 Myr for IB.

It can be seen in the plots that the observational It can be seen in the plots that the observational data can be well reproduced by the synthesis models considering that the efficiency in conversion of mechanical energy is around 1-10%, a value which is consistent with previous studies. Moreover, our results show that the dispersion observed in the L_{solt} to L_{FIR} ratio can be explained as an evolutionary effect, i.e. using a standard value as standard SFR calibration can be emisleading in some cases, and the effect of starburst evolution should be taken into account

Therefore, we can infer from the results of our models that the sample from *Tul* is composed by younger bursts than *Ran* because early-time values then to have a lower ratio $L_{\rm subr}/L_{\rm FIR}$ -once a standard value for $\epsilon_{\rm eff}$ is assumed-.



N.B. 1: In order to construct our sample, we took the values from *Tul* for those sources also present in the sample of *Ran*. Also, we removed three sources from the sample from *Rosag*, present in the sample of *Nan*. Also, we removed three sources from the sample from *Rosag*, which may harbour an AGN, and one whose main X-ray component comes from LMXBs. **N.B.** 2: L_{adx} are measured in the 0.5-2 keV energy range for the sources from *Ran*, in 0.3-2 keV for those from *Tul* and in 0.2-2 keV for those from *Rosag*. Hence, using $L_{a,2,2,4\,keV}$ needs a correction, which given the Raymond-Smith model assumed, is expected to be negligible: $\delta(\log |L_{adt}/L_{FIR}|) < 0.05$.

The expected values for the different magnitudes are:	
$N_{Lyc} = 1.46e + 54 s^{-1}$	$L_{5500} = 8.33e + 39 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$
L(Lyα) = 1.73e+43 erg s ⁻¹	$L_{22200} = 5.00e{+}38 \ \text{erg s}^{\text{-}1} \ \text{\AA}^{\text{-}1}$
$L(H\alpha) = 1.99e + 42 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	$L_{FIR}(E(B-V)=0.1) = 2.22e+44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$L_{1500} = 1.82e + 41 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$	$L_{FIR}(E(B-V)=0.2) = 3.00e+44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$L_{2000} = 9.09e + 40 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$	$L_{FIR}(E(B-V)=0.3) = 3.33e+44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$L_{3500} = 2.31e + 40 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$	$L_{FIR}(E(B-V)=1) = 4.00e+44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$L_{4400} = 1.43e + 40 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}$	$L_{rad}(at 1.4 \text{ GHz}) = 1.40e+29 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$

 L_{poltX} dominates as time increases and therefore low values of ϵ_{eff} are needed if we want to obtain values consistent with those of the samples during the complete periods shown. The effect of time is more acute in IB models, as can be

We can then extract the **calibration of SFR as a function of L**_{soft} purely from the L_{soft} values predicted by our models, which we split into two according to the evolutionary status of the burst; young burst (-10 Myr) and evolved burst (in the asymptotic phase). Respectively ctively

SFR(L_{softX}) (M_☉ yr⁻¹) = 8×10⁻⁴¹L_{softX} (erg s⁻¹) SFR(L_{softX}) (M_☉ yr⁻¹) = 2×10⁻⁴¹L_{softX} (erg s⁻¹)

The expression for unevolved bursts is in accordance with the empirical relation proposed by Ranalli et al. (2003) within the uncertainties of the models, once the latter is corrected for the IR range and IMF mass limits used

Also, in the case of IB bursts we can write a similar expression for Star Formation Strength, i.e. the total mass transformed into stars since the onset of the burst:

 $SFS(L_{coffX})$ (M_@) = 2×10⁻³⁴L_{soffX} (erg s⁻¹)