Winds and jets in XRBs

M. Diaz Trigo (ESO)

L. Boirin, S. Migliari, J. Miller-Jones, A. Parmar

With thanks to C. Done, J. Kaastra, T. Kallman, M. Mendez, L. Sidoli



What you should remember from this talk

- Photoionised plasmas
 - Equatorial & (most likely) ubiquitous in LMXBs
- Winds

Consistent with a thermal launching mechanism except maybe in one case

- Wind/jet anti-correlation
 - Still an open question



Winds present in all kinds of accretion powered objects

Importance of characterising the outflows:

- Dynamics of the system
- Feedback to their environment

The first warm absorbers



GRO J1655-40, Ueda et al. 1998

Warm absorbers everywhere...



... but also emitting plasmas...



2A 1822-371, Cottam et al. 2001

Her X-1, Jimenez-Garate et al. 2005

... and outflows



Characteristics of the plasma

- **Highly ionised**: often only Fe XXV and Fe XXVI are present
- **Photoionised** (based on responses to changes in continuum, triplet ratios in emitting plasma, recombination edges)
 - 2 cases of likely "hybrid" plasmas: EXO 0748-676 (van Peet et al. 2009) and Cir X-1 (Schulz et al. 2008, Iaria et al. 2008)
- Changes in the plasma not only due to the change in continuum, but also phasedependent plasma changes in column density and degree of ionisation in dipping sources
- Flat ("pancake") geometry above the disc => probably ubiquitous to all XRBs
- Distance to the central source $\approx 10^{10}$ - 10^{13} cm
- Outflows preferentially detected in BHs (200-1500 km/s)



Geometry



Jimenez Garate et al. 2002

Photoionised plasma



Photoionised plasma



Photoionised plasma





Boirin et al. 2005





The properties of the warm absorber change during dipping:

- ionization stage decreases
- column density increases

Boirin et al. 2005, Diaz Trigo et al. 2006



The properties of the warm absorber change during dipping:

- ionization stage decreases
- column density increases

Boirin et al. 2005, Diaz Trigo et al. 2006



The properties of the warm absorber change during dipping:

- ionization stage decreases
- column density increases

Boirin et al. 2005, Diaz Trigo et al. 2006

Outflows preferentially detected in BHs (but see Cir X-1, GX 13+1 & IGR J17480–2446)



Outflow velocities ≈ 300-1000 km/s

mass outflow rate ≤ mass accretion rate

This component certainly plays an important role in the overall properties of the system and in its evolution.

Different phenomenon to WAs?

- Launching mechanism (we need to know the launching radius):
 - Magnetic
 - Thermal
 - Line-driven (UV radiation pressure)
- Feedback:

Is the mass expelled important enough to affect the dynamics of the system or the environment?

 $\xi = L / n_e r^2$

If the flux varies on a certain time the variability of the WA provides a lower limit on the density => Upper limit on the distance

Other methods: detection of excited levels associated with a given collision strength and decay rate or metastable levels

 $n_e \approx 10^{12} - 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ r $\approx 10^{10} - 10^{12} \text{ cm}$

- Launching mechanism (we need to know the launching radius):
 - Magnetic
 - Thermal
 - Line-driven

- Launching mechanism (we need to know the launching radius):
 - Magnetic
 - Thermal



Thermal winds



Proga & Kallman 2002



Diaz Trigo et al. 2007





Miller et al. 2006, Kallman et al. 2009 (see also Netzer 2006, Luketic et al. 2010)





Miller et al. 2006, Kallman et al. 2009 (see also Netzer 2006, Luketic et al. 2010)





Miller et al. 2006, Kallman et al. 2009 (see also Netzer 2006, Luketic et al.2010)

r < 2x 10⁹ cm => Magnetic driving (Miller et al. 2006) r ≈ 10¹¹ cm => Thermal driving (Netzer et al. 2006)



Relation between winds and jets



Homan & Belloni 2005

The case of GRS 1915+105



Ueda et al. 2009 (Soft, "A", state)

Lee et al. 2002 (Hard, "C", state)

The case of GRS 1915+105



Neilsen & Lee 2009

Does the wind suppress the jet?

Is GRS 1915+105 a general case?



Broad Fe line co-exists with strong wind

Interpretations for the wind/jet relation

- The wind suppresses the jet (Neilsen & Lee 2009)
- The wind is fully ionised in the hard state (e.g. Ueda et al. 2010)
- The scale height of the wind may be too low to be observed (Ueda et al. 2010)

Open issues

- To which extent is the hard X-ray flux responsible for the disappearance of the winds?
- Are winds and jets really exclusive?
- What is the launching mechanism of the wind?

The future



Conclusions

- A highly ionized atmosphere or wind is present above the accretion disc in LMXBs
- It is detected as a warm emitter and/or absorber in many LMXBs seen relatively close to edge-on.
- Photoionisation is the dominant ionization mechanism.
- The bulge where the accretion stream impacts the disk is seen as a less strongly ionised absorber in dippers
- Winds are consistent with a thermal launching mechanism except for GRO J1655-40
- Correlation between winds and jets is being investigated

Warnings

- The availability of high resolution spectra impacts our ability to probe the physical conditions around the compact object.
- Ionised absorption should be properly accounted for to correctly model the continuum emission, any broad Fe emission line and any soft excess in LMXBs.