

# Luminosity functions of LMXBs in different stellar environments

Zhongli Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Marat Gilfanov<sup>1</sup>, Ákos Bogdán<sup>4</sup>, Rasmus Voss<sup>2</sup>, Gregory Sivakoff<sup>3</sup>, Ralph Kraft<sup>4</sup>, Nicola Brassington<sup>4</sup>, Arunav Kundu<sup>5</sup>, Andrés Jordán<sup>6</sup>, Craig Sarazin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics, Garching, Germany
 <sup>2</sup> Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 <sup>3</sup> University of Virginia, USA
 <sup>4</sup> Harvard/Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, USA
 <sup>5</sup> Eureka Scientific, Oakland, USA
 <sup>6</sup> Pontificia University, Santiago, Chile

# Introduction

- Low-mass X-ray binary
- XLFs of LMXBs in different stellar environments
  *dependence on stellar density and velocity*
  - globular cluster vs. galaxy field
  - globular cluster vs. galaxy nucleus
- ➤ N(LMXB)/M<sub>\*</sub> dependence on stellar age
- LMXB spatial distribution ( effect of supernova kicks? )

# Summary

# Low-mass X-ray binary

**LMXB:** A low mass donor star (< 1  $M_{\odot}$  main sequence, WD, red gaint ...) transfers mass to a compact accretor (NS/BH) by Roche-Lobe filling

### *N (LMXB) ~ M*\* (old stellar system) Universal XLF:



### Large fraction of X-ray emission in E/S0

## Chandra ( 0.5")



# Formation of LMXB

## Primodial channel :

binary star evolution (loss of orbital momentum, or evolution of the donor star) — X-ray active phase is deplayed

## Dynamical channel :

1) Tidal capture of a NS by a non-degenerate single star.

2) A collision between a NS and an evolved single star. (subgiant, RGB, AGB)

3) A NS exchanges place with a star in a binary system.

# XLF (GC vs. field)

**N** (LMXB) / M<sub>\*</sub> is few hundred times higher in galactic globular clusters than in the field (Clark 1975).

**GC-LMXB:** dynamical formation **Field LMXB:** primodial formation

**Debate:** whether field LMXBs are formed in GCs or formed in situ.

We make the **most accurate LF of GC-LMXBs to date** and compare with field LMXBs.

Sample: the Milky Way, M31, Centauraus A, M81, Maffei1, NGC 3379, NGC 4697, NGC 4278 CenA (Voss et al. 2009)





### (185 GC-LMXBs, 496 field LMXBs)



Entire shapes are different! Not all the field LMXBs are formed in GCs!

# XLF (GC vs.Galaxy nucleus)



Stellar velocities in galactic nuclei is 5~10 times higher than in GCs

**In GC:** tidal capture of NS by MS star (>  $0.3M_{\odot}$ ) **In Galactic nuclei:** tidal capture of NS by MS star (<  $0.3 M_{\odot}$ ) (Voss and Gilfanov, 2007b)

# N(LMXB)/M\* via stellar age

#### **Primodial formation channel:**

nuclear evolution time scale of the donor star and/or binary orbit decay  $(1 \sim 10 \text{ Gyr time scale})$ 

# stellar age can be secondary correlation to scale $N_{LMXB}$

#### Sample selection:

 1) E or S0, no recent star formation.
 2) D < 25 Mpc (detection sensitivity > 5.e37 erg/s)
 3) *L<sub>k</sub>* > e10 *L<sub>k,O</sub>* 4) Galaxy age: 1Gyr ~ >10 Gyr.

## A preliminary result 20 galaxies



## LMXB spatial distribution



In some galaxies X-ray point source distribution is broader than K-band light distribution. Is this effect of supernova kicks?

LMXBs gain average system velocity of 180± 80 km/s (2 ~ 20 kpc in E07 ~ E08 yr)

## Summary

> We study environment dependence of population of LMXBs in early- type galaxies, which includes dependence on stellar density (glob. clusters and galactic nuclei vs. field), stellar velocity (glob. clusters vs. galactic nuclei) and stellar age (young vs. old elliptical galaxies)

> XLF of GC and field LMXBs differ in the whole luminosity range, with the ratio of faint GC-LMXB much less than field population. This may be caused by helium accretion system in GCs. And proves that not all field LMXBs were formed in GCs.

> XLF of GC and LMXBs in nuclei of M31 differ in the bright end, which proves different dynamical formation channel based on different stellar velocity.

> N(LMXB)/M\* differs between young and old galaxies with significance >  $3\sigma$  (ongoing)

➢ In some galaxies the spatial distribution of LMXBs is broader than the K-band light distribution, which is possibly an effect of supernova kicks. (ongoing)

