

The Space Mission Planning Advisory Group

- status report

Detlef Koschny, ESA



Mandate of SMPAG



- ☐ SMPAG was officially established in February 2014
- □ SMPAG Terms of Reference were finalized in June 2014
- □ SMPAG has established a work plan in November 2015, which is a living document
- ☐ The most recent SMPAG meetings:
 - Meeting #14 (Feb 2020) in Vienna, Austria
 - Meeting #15 (Sep 2020) virtuell
 - Meeting #16 (Mar 2021) virtuell



Mandate of SMPAG



Official members with nominated delegations:

AEM (Mexico) ISA (Israel)

ASI (Italy) JAXA (Japan)

Belspo (Belgium) KASI (South Korea)

CNES (France) NASA (USA)

CNSA (China)

Czech Republic ROSA (Romania)

DLR (Germany) ROSCOSMOS (Russian Federation)

ESA SSAU (Ukraine)

FFG (Austria) SUPARCO (Pakistan)

IAWN (ex officio) UKSA (UK)

ESPermanent Observers: ASE, IAA, IAU, UNOOSA, ESO, COSPAR

SMPAG work status



- Task 1 Criteria and thresholds for threat response (NASA) Done, see SMPAG-RP-003
- Task 2 Mitigation mission types + technologies (UKSA) ongoing
- Task 3 Mapping of threat scenarios to mission types (ESA) unchanged
- Task 4 Reference missions (ASI) ongoing
- Task 5 SMPAG action in case of credible threat (NASA/ASI) see exercise
- Task 6 Communication guidelines (NASA) ongoing
- Task 7 Road map for future work (DLR) ongoing
- Task 8 Consequences of mitigation missions (ESA/FFG) ongoing
- Task 9 Criteria for deflection targeting (ROSA) ongoing
- Task 10 The nuclear device option literature ongoing
- Task 11 -Toolbox for characterisation payload (CNES) ongoing

Note the outgoing chair's proposal for restructuring the work plan - next page

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

0

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Officia

Annex 1

SMPAG Workplan Items (including Chair's proposal, Point 3 of meeting minutes)

- 5.1 Criteria and thresholds for impact response actions (NASA)
 - o Criteria have already been implemented in several documents of member states
- 5.2 Mitigation mission types and technologies to be considered (UKSA)
- 5.3 Mapping of threat scenarios to mission types (ESA)
- 5.4 Reference missions for different NEO threat scenarios (ASI)
- 5.5 A plan for action in case of a credible threat (NASA/IAA)
 - SMPAG has supporting role as defined in ToR
- 5.6 Communication guidelines in case of a credible threat (NASA)
 - Could be combined with IAWN activity on communication
- 5.7 Roadmap for future work on planetary defense (DLR)
 - Living document
- 5.8 Consequences, including failure, of NEO mitigation space missions
 - Draft will be distributed soon for review
- 5.9 Criteria for deflection targeting (ROSA)
- 5.10 Study the nuclear device option (all)
 - Provide more references and text for introduction
- 5.11 Toolbox for a NEO characterization payload (CNES)

Provide input for database of instruments and capabilities

- Activities 5.2, 5.9, and perhaps parts of 5.3 could be combined into 1 activity (Mitigation technologies)
- Activities 5.3, 5.4, and potentially 5.5 could be combined as well (Mitigation missions)
- · The development of visible output should be accelerated.
- The aim is to produce reports, databases or tools for practical applications at the engineering level.
- Some activities could be completed with higher priority and support from all members. An update of the workplan is envisaged



0 Oct 2021, dvk

SMPAG work status



- □ Progress in most work items see detailed reports later
- No real progress in restructuring the work plan keep in mind for exercise
- □ Legal WG: Discuss how to keep it active
- □ Request for all (some already did it): Check the Excel sheet with the member list each Delegation should have leader identified, and 3-4 members.
- □ Proposal for now to next meeting: Focus on SMPAG exercise

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use