

The X-ray view of Planck SZ clusters

M. ARNAUD CEA- Service d'Astrophysique On behalf of the Planck collaboration With inputs from non-Planck scientists



The Planck catalogue of SZ sources



189 SZ sources with S/N > 6

- First SZ measure for ~80% of known clusters
- 20 new clusters; 19 confirmed



1227 SZ sources with S/N > 4.5

- 683 identified with known clusters
- 178 confirmed new clusters
- 366 cluster candidates Class 1,2,3
- Position & S/N for all clusters and candidates
- \Rightarrow Y- Θ from blind detection
- ⇒ z when available
 - and more ...

X-ray and SZ observations



$$F_{\nu} \propto \int_{\Omega} \left(P = n_e T \right) d\Omega$$

$$E_X \propto \int_V n_e^2 \Lambda(T) dV$$

Probing the same ICM component

Lesson learned from combined X-ray/Planck information The use of X-ray information in catalogue construction

X-ray and SZ catalogues



Planck detect rarest and most massive clusters over the whole sky

Easily studied with XMM-Newton (and Chandra)

47th ESLAB conference

Planck + XMM pressure profile



 62 ESZ clusters with XMM archive data

$$F_{\nu} \propto \int_{\Omega} (P = n_{e}T) d\Omega$$

- Deprojection/deconvolution of profile from Planck SZ map
 - \Rightarrow 0.02-5 R₅₀₀ from X-ray+SZ

- \Rightarrow X-ray and SZ view consistent , No 'missing' hot baryons
- \Rightarrow Low dispersion
- \Rightarrow Shallower than expected by simulations at high radius

SZ-X scaling relation



$$\frac{D_{\rm A}^2 Y_{500}}{C_{\rm XSZ} Y_{\rm X}} = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\langle n_{\rm e} T \rangle_{R_{500}}}{\langle n_{\rm e} \rangle_{R_{500}} T_{\rm X}}$$
$$Q = \frac{\sqrt{\langle n_{\rm e}^2 \rangle_{\rm dr}}}{\langle n_{\rm e} \rangle_{\rm dr}},$$

- Ratio consistent with X-ray P shape
- Error now dominated by systematics
 ⇒ limit clumpiness constrain

 Low scatter relation
 ⇔ Y_{SZ}/Y_X good mass prox

Planck 2013 results XXIX Update of Planck Early Results XI,IX +Planck Intermediate Results I,IV See also, Rozo, Vikhlinin & More, 2012 (Planck + Chandra)

Calibration of the Y_{SZ} - mass relation



Planck 2013 results XX

Using XMM calibration of Y_{X^-} M relation using HE mass

Y_{SZ}- Mass error dominated by systematics (calibration, HE bias, correction of selection effect..)

- ⇒ Input for cosmo from clusters counts
- ⇒ Y- Θ degeneracy breaking and M estimate for clusters with z

X-ray validation method

based on source extent and consistency X/SZ

Unique XMM capability to distinguish



The XMM-Newton Validation (DDT)



43 confirmed incl. 4 doubles & 2 triples (SC) 51 new clusters ; 32 with good z_x from FeK line

⇒Planck performance Verification (eg flux threshold; position accuracy; z range)
 ⇒Catalogue validation optimisation (e.g. definition SZ quality Flag; RASS use)



Planck Intermediate Results IV

RASS properties of XMM confirmed & false Planck candidate

47th ESLAB conference

RASS and **Planck** catalog construction



- Use RASS S/N for
 - optical ID consolidation
 - candidate classification

• RASS S_X provided

X-ray versus SZ selection



New SZ selected clusters versus X-ray selected

- more morphologically disturbed ; flatter core
- lower X-ray luminosity at given mass on average



Larger $M-L_X$ dispersion and smaller normalisation than thought? Dynamically perturbed clusters under-represented at limit of X-ray surveys ?

XMM-LP on disturbed Planck clusters





250 ks XMM ; VRI multi-band imaging with ESO WFI

[PI G. Pratt & PC]



- \Rightarrow Pressure centrally suppressed
- ⇒ High central entropy; structure in kT maps
- ⇒WL analysis to be added in near future

47th ESLAB conference

A new merging galaxy cluster found with Planck/Chandra/VLA



Key objects to understand merger physics and non thermal phenomena

See also Bagchi et al, 2012 PLCK G287.0+32.9

Clusters scaling properties of purely SZ (Planck) selected sample

As probe of formation physics & inputs for cosmology





Chandra XVP(PI C.Jones) GT (S.Murray) + Archive (P.Mazzotta) *All ESZ z<0.35 clusters* XMM LP (PI M.Arnaud & PC)

33 0.5<z<0.9 clusters

Conclusion

Strong synergy between SZ+X-ray

- Consistent X+SZ view of the average thermal content of clusters within [0.01-3] R_{500} ($\delta \approx 50-100$)
- ► Local Y_{sz}-M/Y_x scaling laws (now) dominated by systematics
- Some evidence of a more perturbed cluster population than previously thought BUT Y_{SZ}/Y_X good mass proxy
- SZ surveys do provide representative (mass selected) samples.
- Planck (+) catalogue plus multi- λ follow-up: a gold mine for understanding cluster formation.

The scientific results that we present today are a product of the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 100 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada

