The South Pole Telescope (SPT)

Bradford Benson (University of Chicago)

The South Pole Telescope (SPT)

- •10-meter sub-mm quality wavelength telescope
- At 100, 150, 220 GHz, angular resolution of 1.6, 1.2, 1.0 arcmin
 - Well-matched to high-z clusters, e.g., $r_{500} (z=1.0) \sim 2 \operatorname{arcmin}$
- Three Surveys: SPT-SZ, SPTpol, SPT-3G

2007: SPT-SZ

960 detectors 100,150,220 GHz 2012: SPTpol 1600 detectors 100,150 GHz +Polarization

2016: SPT-3G ~15,200 detectors 100,150,220 GHz *+Polarization*



The SPT-SZ Survey (2007-2011):

Covering 2500 deg² ~ 6% of sky



Zoom in on an SPT map 50 deg² from 2500 deg² survey

CMB Anisotropy -Primordial and secondary anisotropy in the CMB

Point Sources - High-redshift

dusty star forming galaxies and Active Galactic Nuclei **Clusters** - High signal to noise SZ galaxy cluster detections as "shadows" against the CMB!





SPT: CMB Power Spectrum



Finding Clusters in the SPT Survey



Example Massive SPT Clusters



2337-5942 (z=0.78)



2344-4243 (z=0.60)

(Most X-ray luminous cluster known).





2106-5844 (z=1.13)

(Most massive cluster known at z > 1) (2 + 29 + 21 + 121 + 171 + 2



354.30



316.6 316.5 316.4 R.A.

SPT Cluster Sample Properties

Cluster Mass vs Redshift



• SPT has nearly redshift independent selection for $M_{500} > 2.5 \times 10^{14} M_{sun}/h_{70}$

• 440 clusters with measured redshifts and SPT S/N > 4.0 (~400 at S/N > 5; ~95% purity level)

~75% are newly SZdiscovered clusters

 Optical analysis on-going; full 2500 deg² catalog due out summer 2013

Bleem et al. (in prep)

SPT Significance as a Mass Proxy



 For any cluster survey, challenge is to link cluster "observable" to cluster mass

• *Ysz* should have low scatter (Kravstov 2006, Battaglia 2012)

 From simulations, signalto-noise in spatial filtered SPT map is a relatively good mass proxy (Vanderlinde et al 2010)

• Need to calibrate SZ significance to cluster mass!

SPT Significance-Mass Calibration

Use X-ray (Yx-M) relation to calibrate SPT significance-mass relation:

- X-ray observations calibrate slope, scatter, redshift evolution
- Weak Lensing calibrates mass normalization (~10-15% accuracy)



Weak Lensing Mass Calibration

$$M_{500}(Yx) = (1.02 + - 0.08) M_{500}(WL)$$
$$M_{500}(SPT) = (1.00 + - 0.08) M_{500}(WL)$$



Cosmological Analysis: Combine X-ray Measurements with SZ Cluster Survey

Developed Markov-Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to vary cosmology and cluster observable-mass relation simultaneously, while accounting for SZ selection in a self-consistent way

6 Cosmology Parameters (plus extension parameters)

- ΛCDM Cosmology
 - $\Omega_{\rm m}h^2$, $\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$, $A_{\rm s}$, n_s , au, hetas
- Extension Cosmology
 - $w, \Sigma m_{v}, f_{NL, N_{eff}}$

9 Scaling Relation Parameters

- X-ray (*Yx-M*) and SZ (ζ -*M*) relations (4 and 5 parameters):
 - A) normalization,
 - B) slope,
 - C) redshift evolution,
 - D) scatter,
 - F) correlated scatter

Benson et al 2011, arXiv: 1112.5435

ACDM Constraints:

Test X-ray Mass Calibration on 18 clusters (Benson et al. 2011)



• SPT_{CL}+H₀+BBN Λ CDM fit best constrains:

 $-\sigma_8(\Omega_m/0.25)^{0.30}=0.785$ +/- 0.037

- Limited by accuracy of cluster mass calibration!
- Adding SPT_{CL} to CMB improves σ_8 and Ω_m

constraint by factor of 1.5:

 $-\sigma_8 = 0.795 + - 0.016$

$$-\Omega_{m}$$
= 0.255 +/- 0.016

 σ_8 , Ω_m - 68, 95% Confidence Contours

 $H_0 = 73.8 + -2.4 \text{ km} / \text{s} \text{ Mpc}$ (Riess et al 2011) CMB: WMAP7 + SPT (Komatsu et al 2011, Keisler et al. 2011) BBN: $\Omega_{\text{b}}h^2 = 0.022 + -0.002$ (Kirkman et al. 2003)

Benson et al 2011, arXiv: 1112.5435

ACDM Constraints: Now use 100 clusters (Reichardt et al. 2012)



- SPT increased cluster sample by ~5x in Reichardt et al. (2012) improving constraints in σ₈-Ω_m plane by 1.8x in area
- In direction orthogonal to CMB constraints, cluster constraints limited by mass calibration
- However, cluster data is an independent "growth of structure" based cosmological constraint (tests "new physics", e.g., dark energy, gravity, neutrino mass, etc.)

SZ Constraints on *o*₈: SZ Cluster Survey, SZ Power Spectrum, SZ Bispectrum

SZ data measures σ_8 three different ways, each with different systematic uncertainties and cosmological dependences



- High-mass clusters (>3e14 Msun) at all redshifts (z > 0.3)
- Limited by uncertainty of weak-lensing mass calibration (Hoekstra+2012)
- Low-mass clusters (~1.5e14 Msun) at high-z (0.5 < z < 1.0)
- Limited by uncertain gas physics (Shaw+2010, Battaglia+2010)
- **High-mass** clusters (~6e14 Msun) at **mid-***z* (0.3 < *z* < 0.5)
- (Less) limited by uncertain gas physics (Bhattacharya +2012)

SZ Constraints on *o*₈: SZ Cluster Survey, SZ Power Spectrum, SZ Bispectrum



• SZ data measures different cluster populations and has different cosmological degeneracies, allowing systematic check of constraints

How do these results change for new Planck cosmology?

CMB Constraints on $\sigma_{8,} \Omega_{m}$



	WMAP7	WMAP7+SPT	Planck-CMB	
σ_8	0.819 +/- 0.031	0.795 +/- 0.022	0.829 +/- 0.012	(WMAP7) Komatsu
$arOmega_{\sf m}$	0.276 +/- 0.029	0.250 +/- 0.020	0.315 +/- 0.016	+2011 (SPT) Story+2012
				Planck XX 2013

Planck XVI 2013

CMB Constraints on $\sigma_{8,} \Omega_{m}$



	WMAP7	WMAP7+SPT	Planck-CMB	
σ_8	0.819 +/- 0.031	0.795 +/- 0.022	0.829 +/- 0.012	(WMAP7) Komatsu +2011 (SPT) Story+2012
$arOmega_{m}$	0.276 +/- 0.029	0.250 +/- 0.020	0.315 +/- 0.016	
				Dianak VV 0010

Planck XX 2013 Planck XVI 2013

Constraints adjusted for Planck Cosmology: *SZ Cluster Survey, SZ Power Spectrum, SZ Bispectrum*



Planck-CMB: *σ*₈ = 0.828 +/- 0.012

 SZ measurements consistently low by ~2-3-sigma -> would require a factor of 1.5x cluster mass bias across all masses and redshifts

"Missing" Baryons and the Gas Fraction



Cosmic Baryon Fraction inferred from CMB data, compared to clusters

-WMAP7+SPT: $(\Omega b/\Omega m) = 0.169$ -Planck: $(\Omega b/\Omega m) = 0.155$

Correction to gas fraction from stars and gas profile shape *lowers* measured value relative to cosmic ("gas depletion")

• X-ray gas fraction implies a baryon fraction of ~0.151, consistent with new Planck value! (i.e., no "missing" baryons)

• However, a factor of 1.5x cluster mass re-calibration would screw this up!

SPTpol:

A new polarization-sensitive camera for SPT

Science from SPTpol -

"B-mode" CMB Polarization:

- 1. Detection of "B-mode" power spectrum
- 2. Neutrino mass from CMB lensing

+/- 0.1 eV constraint from CMB alone!

3. Energy scale of inflation

Temperature Survey:

4. Deeper cluster survey

Status:

- First light Jan. 26, 2012.
- Started a 4-year, 500 deg² survey
- Finished 1st year of survey!

(360x) 100 GHz detectors, (Argonne National Labs)

(1176x) 150 GHz detectors (NIST)





SPTpol 1st Year: 100 deg² CMB Polarization Maps

• Visible detection of E-modes in SPTpol maps!

 3x deeper than SPT-SZ survey, ~6 uK-arcmin; will eventually cover 500 deg² to similar depth

- Projected 40- σ detection of CMB lensing!
- Tensor-to-scalar ratio, r < 0.03 at 95% confidence



Maps by Abby Crites

SPTpol 1st Year: 100 deg² CMB Polarization Maps

- Cluster density ~6x SPT-SZ;
 - Expect ~100 clusters in 100 deg² SPTpol map
- Overlap with XMM-XXL (25 deg²), Spitzer (100 deg²), Herschel (100 deg²), DES (4000 deg²)



Maps by Abby Crites

SPT-3G: The Next Generation Camera for the SPT

2007: SPT 960 detectors



2012: SPTpol ~1600 detectors



2016: SPT-3G ~15,200 detectors



SPT-3G will increase SPT detector count by order of magnitude! Increase driven by multichoric detectors; *each SPT-3G pixel simultaneously measures 100, 150, 220 GHz*

SPT-3G: Cluster Survey



SPT-3G will survey 2500
deg² to a level 10x deeper
than SPT-SZ survey

 >10x increase in number of clusters over SPT-SZ

- 4000 clusters at 99% purity threshold

• CMB-cluster lensing would provide a 3% cluster mass calibration

- competitive with stacked weaklensing (Rozo et al. 2011)

Credit: B. Benson

Summary

SPT-SZ Survey Complete!

- ~500 cluster catalog of massive ($M_{500} > 3x10^{14} M_{sol}/h_{70}$), high-redshift (0.05 < z < 1.5) clusters; *catalog due out summer 2013* !
- SZ Measurements give multiple probes of cosmology; all have some tension with the high- Ω_m, σ_8 universe suggested by Planck CMB:
 - Naively, would suggest clusters masses are low by a factor of 1.5x across all masses (>1x10¹⁴ M_{sol}/h_{70}) and redshifts
 - However, this would be in significant tension with X-ray and weak lensing measurements
 - Would also imply a significant "missing" baryon problem
- CMB polarization experiments will weigh in; SPTpol has completed first year of four year survey:
 - CMB lensing measurements will check / confirm high- $\Omega_m h^2$ universe
 - Will also provide ever larger catalogs of high-z, massive clusters

Thank You!

SPT Cluster Mass Calibration: X-ray XVP-80 Sample

Chandra X-ray observations of 80 most significant clusters at z > 0.4 from SPT-SZ survey



Primary Goals:

- I) Dark Energy, w Calibrate SPT cluster mass with ~10% accuracy to obtain systematics limited constraint on w of ~15%
- 2) Angular Diameter Distance relation Combine Ysz, Yx to use clusters as "standard ruler", constrain geometry of universe to high-z
- 3) Cluster Evolution High-redshift properties of massive clusters; e.g., cool core fraction, evolution of gas observables, metallicity, ...

Chandra observations finished Feb 2013!

SPT-CL J2344-4243: The "Phoenix Cluster"

Phoenix Cluster z=0.596

ptical

- Most X-ray luminous cluster known in the Universe
- Largest star formation rate observed in a cluster's brightest central galaxy:
- (~800 +/- 40 Msun / year)
- Star formation efficiency of ~30%;

"classical" X-ray cooling rate of 2850 Msun / year is efficiently turning into stars





McDonald et al. (2012, 2013)

the galaxies and intracluster plasma in the galaxy cluster SPT-CLJ2344-4243. ure 1 of "A massive, cooling-flow-induced starburst in the core of a luminous cluster of galax published in Nature Vol 488, 349-352 (August 16, 2012).