# MASS INDEX AND MASS OF THE GEMINID METEOROID STREAM

AS FOUND WITH RADAR, OPTICAL, AND LUNAR IMPACT DATA

Rhiannon Blaauw

June 6, 2016

NASA Meteoroid Environments Office/ APL Jacobs ESSSA

#### Outline



- □ In 2015, Geminid fluxes were found in 3 size ranges
  - Lunar Impact
  - Wide-Field
- □ These fluxes will be used to
  - 1) derive a Geminid mass index
  - 2) derive a Geminid mass the Earth encountered in 2015
  - 3) derive a minimum total mass of the Geminid meteoroid stream
- □ Similar work shown for 2015 Perseids.





| Date (UTC)                  | Flux (gems/km²/<br>hr) | Limiting Mass<br>(grams) | ZHR   |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| 00:00 -24:00<br>December 14 | 5.92e-02               | 1.8e-04                  | 101.9 |
| 00:00-24:00<br>December 15  | 2.27e-02               | 1.8e-04                  | 39.1  |











JACOBS ESSSA Group

### Geminid Fluxes – Wide Field

| Date (UTC)                    | Flux (gems/km²/<br>hr) | Limiting Mass<br>(grams) | ZHR  |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| 23:30-12:00<br>December 14/15 | 1.89e-03               | 2.01e-3                  | 29.7 |









## Geminid Fluxes – Lunar Impact



| Date (UTC)                    | Flux (gems/km²/<br>hr) | Limiting Mass<br>(grams) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 23:30-02:00<br>December 14/15 | 3.7e-06                | 30                       |

- $\Box$  33 impacts were seen over the 2.5 hours on a collecting area of 4 million km<sup>2</sup>
- □ The Geminid geometry: 89% of unlit portion of Moon exposed to radiant





#### Raw Fluxes



Finding a mass index with these 3 raw values:







- 1) Need to scale all fluxes to the same time period.
- 2) Used a profile of the 2015 Geminids from CMOR



#### **Scaled Fluxes**





| From this mass index, those flux values, and the |
|--|
| activity profile of the Geminids, we can derive  |
| the total mass of Geminids the Earth encounters  |
| throughout the stream.                           |

| Study                     | Mass<br>Index    | Data   |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| Blaauw et al (2011)       | 1.69             | 2007,2008,2009 radar<br>data (CMOR)                        |
| Zigo et al (2009)         | 1.73             | 1996-2007 forward-scatter<br>radar data                    |
| Jones & Morton (1982)     | 1.69 +/-<br>0.07 | Radar, avg +8 magnitude                                    |
| Babadzhanov et al (1992)  | 1.67             | Radar observations from<br>Tadjikistan                     |
| Chenna Reddy et al (2008) | 1.65-1.75        | 2003 and 2005 Geminids<br>from Gadanki Radar (+3.5<br>mag) |
| Chenna Reddy et al (2006) | 1.75             | 2004 Visual Data   |
| Arlt & Rendtel (2006)     | 1.7              | 2004 Visual Data   |



#### Used activity profile to find total Geminid Flux Earth encounters in 2015





- □ Mass range to integrate over:
  - Lower Limit:
    - Mass index is skewed toward more massive particles, 10<sup>-6</sup> grams chosen as less than that doesn't matter.
  - Upper Limit:
    - What size Geminid does this plot have 1 particle/year hitting Earth.
    - Claims each year a 10<sup>9</sup> gram Geminid should hit. Slightly smaller than Chelyabinsk.



- □ Mass range to integrate over:
  - Lower Limit:
    - Mass index is skewed toward more massive particles, 10<sup>-6</sup> grams chosen as less than that doesn't matter.
  - Upper Limit:
    - What size Geminid does this plot have 1 particle/year hitting Earth.
    - Claims each year a 10<sup>9</sup> gram Geminid should hit. Slightly smaller than Chelyabinsk.





- □ Mass range to integrate over:
  - Lower Limit:
    - Mass index is skewed toward more massive particles, 10<sup>-6</sup> grams chosen as less than that doesn't matter.
  - Upper Limit:
    - What size Geminid does this plot have 1 particle/year hitting Earth.
    - Claims each year a 10<sup>9</sup> gram Geminid should hit. Slightly smaller than Chelyabinsk.







- □ Mass range to integrate over:
  - Lower Limit:
    - Mass index is skewed toward more massive particles, 10<sup>-6</sup> grams chosen as less than that doesn't matter.
  - Upper Limit:
    - What size Geminid does this plot have 1 particle/year hitting Earth.
    - Claims each year a 10<sup>9</sup> gram Geminid should hit. Slightly smaller than Chelyabinsk.





- At some point, the power law mass index breaks down or Geminids that large do not exist
- In last 3 years, the largest Geminid seen in the All-Sky cameras was ~600 grams. Currently the upper limit is set at 1000 grams
  Range: 10<sup>-6</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> grams

#### **Geminid Results**



#### 0.271 grams/km<sup>2</sup>

Geminid mass fluence Earth encountered throughout the 2015 Geminids.

• Accounts for the range of masses, the date range of activity, and the varying flux.



Total amount of Geminid mass the whole Earth encountered during 2015 Geminids.



- $M_c = 3.5^{*}10^7$  grams = total mass collected by the Earth during stream transit
- t = 16 days = equivalent duration of shower
- $V_{F}$ = 30.28 km/s = Earth's heliocentric velocity
- $R_{F} = 6371$  km = radius of Earth
- $\varepsilon$  = angle the Earth's path is inclined to the orbit of the stream 22 degrees
- $V_{G} = 35 \text{ km/s}$  =geocentric velocity of the meteoroids

$$V_{H}$$
= 33 km/s = Meteoroid's heliocentric velocity

Diameter: 5.10 km \* (estimated diameter from IRAS observations)

**All Points** 

(Hughes & McBride, 1989)

#### **Geminid Results**

L



Total mass of the Geminid meteoroid stream.

$$= \frac{M_C t V_E^2 V_H \sin^2 \varepsilon}{R_E^2 4 V_G}$$

BUT there is a factor, f, always included. To account for Earth not travelling through the center of the stream. Usually f=10.

#### Perseid stream mass:





### Comparison



| Quantity                         | Perseids  | Geminids  | PER ∨s<br>GEM | H & M<br>1989<br>Perseids | H & M 1989<br>Geminids | H & M 1989<br>PER vs GEM |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mass Rate at Peak (grams/km²/hr) | 1.28 e-4  | 9.10 e-4  | 0.14x         | 1.01 e-4                  | 8.64 e-4               | 0.12x                    |
| Mass Fluence (grams/km²)         | 1.1 e-2   | 27.1 e-2  | 0.041 x       |                           |                        |                          |
| Mass at Earth/year (grams)       | 1.44 e+6  | 34.6 e+6  | 0.042x        |                           |                        |                          |
| Total mass of stream (grams)     | 33.0 e+16 | 1.64 e+16 | 20x           | 31 e+16                   | 1.6 e+16               | 19x                      |

\*H & M = Hughes & McBride





- □ Geminid mass index 1.68 +/- 0.04 over 5 orders of magnitude.
- □ Geminids: 5.1 km asteroid 3200 Phaethon.
- □ Perseids: 26 km comet Swift-Tuttle.

#### Conclusions



- □ Geminid mass index 1.68 +/- 0.04 over 5 orders of magnitude
- □ Geminids: 5.1 km asteroid 3200 Phaethon.
- Perseids: 26 km comet Swift-Tuttle.
- □ Geminid flux is much higher.
- Geminids deposit much more material.

#### Conclusions



- □ Geminid mass index 1.68 +/- 0.04 over 5 orders of magnitude
- □ Geminids: 5.1 km asteroid 3200 Phaethon.
- Perseids: 26 km comet Swift-Tuttle.
- □ Geminid flux is much higher.
- Geminids deposit much more material.
- We see similar ZHRs for Perseids because of their speed: seeing smaller Perseids.
- BUT Perseid stream size is much larger because the orbit size.

#### Conclusions



- □ Geminid mass index 1.68 +/- 0.04 over 5 orders of magnitude
- □ Geminids: 5.1 km asteroid 3200 Phaethon.
- Perseids: 26 km comet Swift-Tuttle.
- □ Geminid flux is much higher.
- Geminids deposit much more material.
- We see similar ZHRs for Perseids because of their speed: seeing smaller Perseids.
- BUT Perseid stream size is much larger because the orbit size.
- Implies 3200 Phaethon has only shed ~ 1/10<sup>th</sup> of its mass, or shed a 70 meter shell of material.
- Implies Swift-Tuttle has shed about 3% of its mass, or 150 meter shell of material.