Constraints on radio jets from known stellar tidal disruption events



Sjoert van Velzen Radboud University Nijmegen

Dale Frail, Heino Falcke, Elmar Körding, Hendrik van Eerten

Tidal Disruption events and AGN outbursts workshop June 2012, Madrid

Learn from tidal disruption jets

- For single events:
 - Disk + B-field
 - Calorimetry
- Discovery:
 - Radio not absorbed
 - Upcoming radio transient surveys
- Accretion states

Black hole accretion modes

- Two accretion states, divided by Eddington rate
- Radio jets below ~2% \dot{M}_{Edd}
 - Observed for stellar mass accreting binaries (McClintock & Remillard 2004; Fender et al. 2004)
 - Also the case for SMBHs? (Körding et al. 2006; Best & Heckman 2012)
- With TDEs we probe these rates for a single SMBH



Radio observations -- "Status of the field"

< 2011 No detections \approx 3 events followed up

> 2011 Two detections ('Swift events'), more followed up

Two models

- External model (Giannios & Metzger 2011; Metzger, Giannios, Mimica 2011)
 - Interaction of forward/backward shock with environment
 - On-axis (or isotropic)
 - Inspired by GRB afterglows

- Internal model (van Velzen, Falcke, Farrar 2010, van Velzen, Körding, Falcke 2011)
 - Emission from matter injected in the jet from the disk
 - Doppler boosting
 - Inspired by AGN jets

Standard AGN jet model

- Conical jet model (Blandford & Königl 1979)
- Jet power is a linear function of disk luminosity (Rawlings & Saunders 1991; Falcke & Biermann 1995)
- Well constrained by observation



$$L_{\nu} = C_{\rm eq} \delta^2 \int_{z_{\rm ssa}}^{\infty} dz \, z^2 \epsilon_{\rm syn}(z, \nu/\delta) \propto (q_j L_d)^{17/12}$$

Add time dependence

- Disk luminosity given by fallback rate
- Self-absorption radius sets the emission timescale
- Three scenarios for order of accretion modes

$$q_{j} = \begin{cases} 0.2 & \text{all times} & \text{Radio-loud} \\ 0.002 & \dot{M}(t) > 2\% \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} & \text{Eddington triggen} \\ 0.2 & t < t_{\text{fallback}} & \text{Radio burst} \end{cases}$$

Model light curves



Delay could explain existing non-detections



Jansky VLA observations at 5 GHz

name	integration time (min)	$\sigma(F_{ u}) \ \mu { m Jy}$	Δt (yr)
TD 04A	30	9	8.0
TD-04B	18	8	7.6
VV-1 ?	28	10	5.4
D SG-2?	28	8	4.8
TDE2	25	12	4.3
PTF10iya	18	8	1.6

No detections

Exclusion for the external model

- Find largest jet angle consistent with non-detection
- Probability for at least one detection:
 Always radio loud:
 99.002%
 Radio flare:
 50%
 Only below 2% Eddington:
 33%

Sw 1644+57 late-time light curve

- few mJy after 10 yr! (if $\Gamma > 1$)
- Scale to off-axis observers
 - Knowledge of frequency structure required
 - Assume 'blob'



Sw 1644+57 off-axis: Γ(t>1yr) = 2



$\Gamma(t) = \sim t^{-0.2}$; Sedov-Taylor if $\Gamma < 2$



Not all TDF launch jets?

- Radio upper limits for optically discovered TDF inconsistent with current jet models
- But optical TDF (may) have different:
 - Environment
 - Black hole mass; accreted matter
 - Delay with respect to time of disruption

Good news: areal rate of Sw 1644+57



Outlook

- Wait for Sedov phase of Sw 1644+57
- Analyze Sw 2058+05
- Include other non-detections
- Keep observing!

Lessons from multi-frequency radio data (Zauderer et al. 2011)

- ~days delay between 4.9 GHz and 6.7 GHz
- For conical jet:

$$z_{\rm ssa} = z_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^{-1}$$

 $z_0 \sim 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{pc}$

• Much smaller than equipartition for $q_j = 0.2$



Predicted rate and observed upper limits



Sw 1644+57 off-axis: $\Gamma(t) = \sim t^{-0.2}$

