

# 2016 Euclid Photometric Calibration Workshop: Objectives

René Laureijs and Stefanie Wachter Roland Vavrek On behalf of the Euclid Calibration Working Group SOC, LOC 20/09/2016

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For Official Use

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

European Space Agency



### Initial question: understanding absolute photometric calibration

Driven by the top level calibration requirements (CalCD-A)

- What is meant by absolute photometric calibration? What are the assumptions...in the visible, in the near-infrared? How far can we go in accuracy using standards?
- Which cases need absolute photometric calibration? For the core science, ...for legacy?
- □ What can we achieve with Euclid?
- Need separate specification of the photometric calibration for the different subsystems? VIS, NIP, NIS blue and red
- □ How do we extract the fluxes....OUs?



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 2





Several positive and constructive responses. It became apparent that we needed to revisit the objective:

### Gigi Guzzo:

".... for GC the most stringent requirements are in fact on **\*relative**\* calibration, which we require to be <u>spatially</u> (over detectors/fields/survey) and <u>temporally</u> homogeneous. This is where we need to finalise fully our calibration scheme. So I believe the workshop should broaden a bit and assess Euclid "photometric/spectro-photometric calibration" in general."

"I am taking for granted that 'photometric calibration' includes by default 'spectrophotometric calibration', which for the Euclid redshift survey is the most important one.

I think we also need to clarify where constraints are in fact absolute or relative...."



## Workshop Objective



### Address the following issues

- Absolute photometric calibration
- Relative photometric calibration
- Spectro-photometric calibration
- > Core science and legacy science  $\rightarrow$  for a common "photometry" view
- Methodologies
- > (Euclid) Standards and conventions  $\rightarrow$  interaction with/among OUs

...to obtain an overview and common understanding of the envisaged photometric calibration and associated aspects...

...specific to the demands of the Euclid community.



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 4





- The designated groups within the Euclid science ground segment responsible for the data processing algorithms - the Organisation Units
  - are invited to present their calibration tasks.
  - ➢ Driven by needs of OU-PHZ ← MER, NIR, VIS, EXT
  - ➢ Spectrophotometry SPE ← NIS
- We also invite presentations addressing specific photometry needs for legacy science projects.
- An important workshop element is the sharing of experiences and lessons learnt from other ground and space based projects in the light of the Euclid needs.

Gaia, Spitzer, HST, DES, JWST ...



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 5



### Sessions



- 1. Euclid capabilities and spectro-photometry calibration
- 2. Photometric systems and absolute photometry
  - Panel: Need for absolute photometry?
- 3. Photometric calibration of imaging datasets
- 4. Spectro Photometry
- 5. Photo-z Estimation and Calibration
  - Panel: How to obtain throughput curves for VIS, NIR, as well as ground based surveys.
    What about variations in throughput curves as a function of position within the instruments and changes over time? Is there a plan for handling this?
- 6. Methods, improvements, enhancements
- 7. Euclid technicalities



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 6

\*

### **Organising Committees**



### Scientific

#### Local

Ruyman Azzollini (UCL/MSSL) Anne Ealet (CPPM) Knud Jahnke (MPIA) René Laureijs (ESTEC) Yannick Mellier (IAP) Joe Mohr (Univ. Munich) Marc Sauvage (CEA/IRFU/SAP)

Roland Vavrek (ESAC)

Stefanie Wachter (MPIA)

Bruno Altieri (ESAC) Meiert Grootes (ESTEC) John Hoar (ESAC) René Laureijs (ESTEC) Roland Vavrek (ESAC)



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 7



"Timely" aspect stressed in the workshop title:

### 2016 EUCLID PHOTOMETRIC CALIBRATION WORKSHOP

And may be repeated at a later stage during the project lifetime. Proceedings of this workshop will help future discussions.

Proceedings: publishing process to be worked out Refereed vs. non-refereed



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 8



Thanks for your attention!



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 9

+

+

European Space Agency



### **CalCD A calibration requirements/goals were the trigger**

**R-GC.1-13**: For galaxies that meet the flux limit specified by R-GC.2.1-1, with good redshifts as defined by R-GC.1-3 within the redshift range in R-GC.1-5, the rms fluctuation in galaxy counts within patches of 0.5 deg<sup>2</sup> area induced by flux calibration errors shall be less than 1.0%.

**R-WL.2.1-21**: The post calibration relative photometric error in NISP imaging shall be less than 1.5%.

**R-WL.2.1-26**: After all calibrations, the relative photometric error of the VIS Instrument shall be < 1.0%.

**G-WL.2.1-27**: After all calibrations, the absolute photometric error of the VIS Instrument shall be < 5.0%.

**G-WL.2.1-28**: After all calibrations, the absolute photometric error of the NISP-P shall be < 5.0%.

**G-GC.2.1-13**: After all calibrations, the absolute spectrophotometric error of the NISP-S shall be < 5.0%.



EST#13 | René Laureijs | 07/07/2016 | SCI | Slide 10



#### **Survey Related calibration requirements**

**G-WS.2.2-16**: Euclid will achieve an absolute photometric calibration of <3% across the survey area in the NIR imaging channels.

**G-DS.2.2-19**: The post calibration relative photometric error, based on PSF photometry, for NISP imaging in a single filter shall be less than 0.5%.

**G-DS-2.2-21**: The calibration zero-point in each band shall be measureable to an accuracy <0.5% from standard stars as bright as 15 mag.

**G-DS-2.2-23**: After all calibrations, the absolute spectro-photometric photometric error in the wavelength range  $0.92 < \lambda$  (micron) < 1.3 should be similar to that of the wide spectroscopic survey as per R-GC.2.1-12.

